

INTERIM RECOVERY PLAN NO. 117

# AQUATIC ROOT MAT COMMUNITY OF CAVES OF THE SWAN COASTAL PLAIN, AND THE CRYSTAL CAVE CRANGONYCTOID INTERIM RECOVERY PLAN 2003-2008

by

Val English, Edyta Jasinska and John Blyth on behalf of the  
Aquatic Root Mat Community of Caves of the Swan Coastal Plain



2003

Department of Conservation and Land Management  
Western Australian Threatened Species and Communities Unit  
PO Box 51, Wanneroo, WA 6946



## FOREWORD

Interim Recovery Plans (IRPs) are developed within the framework laid down in Department of Conservation and Land Management (the Department) Policy Statements Nos 44 and 50

IRPs outline the recovery actions that are required to urgently address those threatening processes most affecting the ongoing survival of threatened taxa or ecological communities, and begin the recovery process.

The Department is committed to ensuring that Critically Endangered ecological communities are conserved through the preparation and implementation of Recovery Plans or Interim Recovery Plans and by ensuring that conservation action commences as soon as possible and always within one year of endorsement of that rank by the Department's Director of Nature Conservation.

This Interim Recovery Plan replaces number 74 *Aquatic Root Mat Community of Caves of the Swan Coastal Plain*, in order to incorporate recovery actions for the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid, a critically endangered species facing the same threats as the threatened ecological community. This IRP will operate from July 2003 to June 2008 but will remain in force until withdrawn or replaced. It is intended that, if the community is still ranked Critically Endangered, this IRP will be reviewed after five years and the need for a full Recovery Plan assessed.

This IRP was approved by the Director of Nature Conservation on 11 July 2003. The provision of funds identified in this Interim Recovery Plan is dependent on budgetary and other constraints affecting the Department, as well as the need to address other priorities.

Information in this IRP was accurate at June 2003.

## SUMMARY

### Name: **Aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain, and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid**

**Description:** At Yanchep and on the Leeuwin Naturaliste Ridge, permanent streams and pools occur in caves and some support dense growths of root mats. The root mats provide a constant and abundant primary food source for some of the richest aquatic cave communities known. The communities comprise a complete food web; the rootlets and their associated microflora providing the primary food source, and invertebrate assemblages include root mat grazers, predators, parasites, detritivores and scavengers, completing the trophic interactions. In addition, the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid (Undescribed Crangonyctid sp. WAM#642-97 – *Hurleya* sp (WAM642-97), occurs in the nearby Crystal Cave, in association with a small area of roots that penetrate into the cave waters. Because the threatened community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid are both CR and both facing the same threats they are treated together in this IRP. It replaces IRP no. 74 which is now withdrawn.

To date, following nine years of intensive searching in Yanchep National Park, six caves (YN99, Cabaret Cave, Carpark Cave, Twilight Cave, Water Cave and, in the past, Gilgie Cave) are known to contain streams or pools fed by groundwater from the Gngangara Mound that contain root mats from Tuart trees (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*). These caves are defined as containing one community type because there is considerable overlap of animal species between the five caves, and water chemistry is very similar in all caves. Nevertheless the faunal assemblages vary both in species composition and relative abundance of species. Aquatic cavernicoles (cave animals) at Yanchep include night fish, gilgies, leeches, microscopic worms, mites, snails and insects and crustaceans. Some of the species appear to be endemic to these cave streams, and some are confined to a single cave (Jasinska 1996, 1997). In addition, Crystal Cave contains a small pool, but has few fauna species in common with the other six caves and is consequently not considered to contain the same root mat community. It does, however, contain the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid, that is listed as threatened under the Western Australian *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*. The Crangonyctoid is covered under this IRP as there are similar threatening processes acting on both the threatened root mat community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid.

A total of 100 species of fauna have been located in the six caves that contain the root mat community. About a third of these are newly discovered. Furthermore, at least six newly discovered species of crustaceans that occur in the community at Yanchep are relicts from when Australia was part of the supercontinent of Gondwana.

Four caves on the Leeuwin Naturaliste Ridge also contain root mat communities (Jasinska, 1997). These are considered to be different from the community at Yanchep as the species composition differs significantly, and these are the subject of a separate Interim Recovery Plan (IRP).

**Department Region:** Swan

**Department District:** Swan Coastal

**Shire(s):** Shire of Wanneroo

**Recovery Team:** The Department (representatives from Swan Coastal District and WA Threatened Species and Communities Unit); University of Alberta; University of Western Australia; Water and Rivers Commission; Speleologists Group; Water Corporation; Forest Products Commission; City of Wanneroo.

**Current status:** Community assessed 18 April 1996 as Critically Endangered by the Department and Endangered under the Commonwealth *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity*

*Conservation Act 1999*. Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is listed as threatened under the *Western Australian Wildlife Conservation Act 1950*.

**Habitat requirements:** The habitat for the aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain community is the six individual caves, the six cave streams (Note: Gilgie Cave represents a possible rehabilitation/reintroduction site), the trees that have roots in each of the caves, and the catchments for the streams that flow through the caves. This includes: the caves (Carpark Cave (YN18), Gilgie Cave (YN27), Cabaret Stream Cave (YN30, YN394) Boomerang Gorge stream Cave (YN99) Twilight Cave (YN194) Water Cave (YN11)) and their cave-streams, areas of the Gngangara mound catchment between the caves and the top of the mound, and the superficial water table that supplies the water to the cave-streams. The habitat for the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is Crystal Cave, the cave stream and pools, the trees that have roots in the cave, and the catchment for the stream that flows through the cave, areas of the Gngangara mound catchment between Crystal Cave and the top of the mound, and the superficial water table that supplies the water to the Crystal Cave-stream.

Caves containing the aquatic root mat community at Yanchep occur where sandy soils underlie superficial limestone and where the waters of the Gngangara Mound seep through the sand to form a system of subterranean pools and streams, a few of which have been permanent in historical times.

The roots from living Tuart trees branch out forming root mats in five (or in the past - six) such permanent cave streams. The aquatic rootlets contain extensive growths of microscopic fungi within their tissues that probably increase the nutritional value of the mats (Jasinska 1995). The root mats house more than 50 percent of the animals that occur in any one cave stream at Yanchep (Jasinska 1995). The remainder occur in open water, root detritus, and among the sand interstices of the stream bed (Jasinska 1995). None of the Gondwanan relicts that occur in the Yanchep caves appear to have drought resistant stages (Jasinska 1997). This indicates they are entirely dependent on permanent water for survival.

The persistence of the root mat communities depends on the presence of permanent water in caves. The streams or pools need to be sufficiently warm, and not too deep below the ground-surface, for tree roots to reach and grow in the water (Jasinska 1995).

The main source of water for the cave streams was shown to be groundwater emerging into the stream-bed within the cave (Jasinska 1990) most likely driven by hydraulic head of the Gngangara Mound (Jasinska and Knott 1991; Jasinska 1995; Bastian 1996). This has been confirmed by a recent study comparing the reduced levels (height above sea level) of each of the cave-streams that contain root mats with the level of the Gngangara Mound at those locations (Glasson 1997). The results showed that it is unlikely any of the caves receive water from a perched groundwater supply, so cave streams are almost certainly fed by waters of the Gngangara Mound.

Each of the caves containing the root mat communities at Yanchep contains somewhat different species assemblages. This is despite the fact that the six caves (including the one cave that dried out) are within a radius of 2.5 kilometres and are all supplied by waters of the Gngangara Mound (Jasinska 1997), and indicates that many of the cave species are unlikely to be able to migrate between the caves (Jasinska 1997). Consequently, the ability of the root mat fauna to recolonise a cave, following a drying event for example, is likely to be limited. Therefore the survival of a root mat community within any cave would be seriously threatened by drying of the stream in that cave. One such occurrence, in Gilgie Cave, seems to have been destroyed following drying out in the mid 1990s. The Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is only known from a seventh cave, Crystal Cave, and is also unable to survive drying.

**Critical habitat:** The critical habitat for the aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is composed of the seven individual caves, the seven cave streams, the trees that have roots in each of the caves, and the catchments

for the streams that flow through the caves.

**Habitat critical to the survival of the species, and important populations:** Given that the aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid are listed as Critically Endangered, all known habitat for the community is considered critical habitat and all occurrences are important ones.

**Benefits to other species/ecological communities:** There are a suite of invertebrates that are only known from one or more of the seven caves covered by this plan. Recovery actions implemented to improve the habitat of any caves are likely to improve the condition of the habitat for these taxa. The community of Tumulus Springs (organic mound springs) of the Swan Coastal Plain occurs on the eastern side of the Gngangara mound, and also appear to be completely dependent on maintenance of the water supply from the mound. The springs are listed as Critically Endangered in Western Australia and Endangered under the EPBC Act. Actions implemented to maintain the water supply to the caves are also likely to be of benefit to these springs.

**International Obligations:** This plan is fully consistent with the aims and recommendations of the Convention on Biological Diversity, ratified by Australia in June 1993, and will assist in implementing Australia's responsibilities under that Convention.

**Role of indigenous people and their knowledge:** Aboriginal beliefs relate to some of the cave sites at Yanchep. According to the WA Department of Indigenous Affairs (August 2003), Yanchep caves are registered under the Aboriginal Heritage Act (1972) as site number 17598. Significant Aboriginal consultation has taken place within the Park to ensure cultural protocols and heritage knowledge, and in this case threatened species, are considered in the management of the Park. This consultation has included site visits by Native Title Claimants and other indigenous stakeholders. In addition, a local Aboriginal elder is a member of the Yanchep National Park Advisory Committee and is kept informed of management actions that relate to these caves. Additional broader consultation on the groundwater situation and proposed remedial actions will be undertaken with indigenous stakeholders in late 2003.

**Social and economic impact:** There are a number of development plans that have the potential to impact upon the level and quality of the cave waters. For example, further development of the aquifers that supply the caves to supply Perth's water has the potential to impact cave hydrology. The implementation of this recovery plan therefore has the potential to have social and economic impact, where development proposals may impact the caves. Recovery actions refer to continued negotiations between stakeholders with regard to these developments.

**Evaluation of the Plan's Performance:** The Department of Conservation and Land Management, in conjunction with the Recovery Team will evaluate the performance of this IRP. In addition to annual reporting on progress with listed actions and comparison against the criteria for success and failure, the plan is to be reviewed within five years of its implementation.

**Guide for decision makers:** Section 1 provides details of current and possible future threats. Any on-ground works (clearing, drainage works, roadworks etc), or developments that may impact hydrology of the seven caves will require assessment. On-ground works or proposals for water abstraction should not be approved unless the proponents can demonstrate that they will not have an impact on the community or on its potential habitat, or on the local surface or groundwater catchments such that hydrology of the wetland habitat would be altered.

**IRP Objective(s):** To maintain or improve the overall condition of the aquatic root mat communities of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid, and reduce the level of threat with the aim of reclassifying them from Critically Endangered to Endangered or Vulnerable.

**Criteria for success:**

- No drying out of known occurrences of the root mats (apart from Gilgie Cave).

- Maintenance of all Gondwanan species in the aquatic root mat assemblages (as described in Jasinska 1995; Jasinska 1997)
- Maintenance of population/s of the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid
- Maintenance of trees that are currently supplying or are likely in future to supply roots to the caves that contain the aquatic root community.
- The Pinjar Pine Plantation to achieve the target basal area of 11m<sup>2</sup>/hectare.

**Criterion for failure:** Significant loss of area or further modification of the threatened ecological community, including the complete drying up of the root mats in any single cave additional to Gilgie Cave, or loss of individual faunal species, including the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid.

**Recovery Actions:**

Establish a Recovery Team	Manage water levels in likely catchment areas for cave streams.
Continue to monitor cave fauna and respond to results of monitoring as appropriate	Monitor water levels in cave streams that contain the root mat community, and initiate short term management solutions where necessary
Establish a Cave Management Committee to recommend on cave management	Design and establish a semi- permanent system for remote monitoring and watering of caves
Draft specific regulations for cave management and protection and investigate the need for legislation in the longer term	Develop an <i>ex-situ</i> artificial system to maintain significant cave fauna
Implement a cave permit system for visitors and establish conditions to be linked to permits	Investigate water quality requirements of the root mat community
Classify caves for management	Manage water quality in likely catchment areas for cave streams.
Establish Cave Protection Zones	Determine if water in cave streams is connected only to groundwater or associated with perched water tables
Prepare a code of practice regarding management activities (particularly fire, dieback hygiene, use of heavy vehicles and road repairs	Ensure land use planning and development control processes effectively safeguard against potentially adverse impacts upon the cave systems
Monitor water levels in some caves to establish long term trends	Determine the location of trees with roots in caves, and monitor and protect them
Minimise impacts of current and future management practices in State Forest 65 on water levels in caves	Develop and implement a Tuart regeneration program if monitoring indicates the need
Liaise with other authorities regarding works which may affect the caves	Wherever possible create a buffer between the caves and any tracks or trails
Survey likely areas for additional occurrences of the community, and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid	Manage fire regimes
Disseminate information about the community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid	Map Critical Habitat
Undertake research	Report on success of management strategies
Review data from transect-bores near areas of private abstraction monthly	Identify and liaise with additional landholders/land managers
Continue to assess the adequacy of the bore network	

**1. BACKGROUND**

**1.1 History, defining characteristics of ecological community, and conservation significance**

There are several areas of caves in the south west of Western Australia. From north to south these are at Arrowsmith River, Jurien Bay, Nambung River, Moore River, Yanchep, Mandurah, Yallingup, Margaret River and Augusta (Bastian 1964). All the caves are formed in calcified sand dunes (aeolian limestone) by corrosion of calcium carbonate by percolating water (Bastian 1964). At Yanchep and on the Leeuwin-Naturaliste Ridge many caves have formed along subterranean streams.

Most dark caves throughout the world are inhospitable places for fauna to reside permanently mainly due to the lack of a reliable source of nutrients. Cave waters are generally too deep below ground surface for tree roots to reach them, or the cave conditions are unsuitable for the growth of aquatic roots e.g. high humidity in caves provide better conditions for growth of aerial roots only. The fauna of the Yanchep caves is unusual in that there is exceptionally high species diversity and abundance (Jasinska *et al.* 1996). The root mat fauna consists mainly of invertebrates, but fish are also occasionally present. Some species only occur in these cave streams and some, including the

Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid are Gondwanan relicts - species lineages from when Australia was part of the super-continent, Gondwana, at least 100 million years ago.

Root mat communities in Yanchep caves occur at the junction of the Bassendean sands and Tamala Limestone (Spearwood Dunes). In Yanchep National Park, caves occur where there is a surface limestone layer five to twenty metres thick over the Bassendean sands. The waters of the Gngangara Mound - a shallow unconfined aquifer that extends from Moore River to the Swan River - occur predominantly within the Bassendean sand with the greatest elevations in the water table lying to the east of the caves. On the western side of the mound, waters of this aquifer flow towards the coast and seep through the sand forming pools and streams in caves around Yanchep (Jasinska 1990; Jasinska 1995). The formation of the caves that contain the root mat community was caused, in part, by the flow of groundwater that has gradually developed into underground streams. The groundwater flows about 10 m below the surface, so the caves are very shallow.

The Australian Speleological Federation has recorded 315 caves in Yanchep National Park. However only 46 of these caves are known to contain pools or seeps, and of those just 10-15 contain permanent water. Of the latter, six caves with permanent streams and root mats contain a level of faunal species diversity greater than elsewhere in the world for subterranean waters (Jasinska *et al.* 1996; Jasinska and Knott 2000). Some of the fauna are endemic to these cave streams. The Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is endemic to Crystal Cave. Six of the caves that contain the root mat community each contained 30-40 species of fauna, while three to six species tends to be the norm for aquatic caves elsewhere in the world (Jasinska *et al.* 1996). It is also possible that other occurrences of the community may occur in caves on public lands and have not yet been located, or in caves on private land in the general area.

All the roots that grow into the six root mat caves, and Crystal Cave at Yanchep belong to Tuart trees (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*), while the cave streams are all fed by the same groundwater source - the Gngangara Mound. Due to these similarities and resemblance between the assemblages, for the purposes of this Interim Recovery Plan all the six caves containing root mats at Yanchep are considered to contain the same community type, even though the species composition and abundance varies to some degree from cave to cave.

The presence of tree roots that form thick mats in the six caves at Yanchep provides a constant and reliable primary food source, as well as a complex habitat, and allows a complete and intricate ecosystem to exist. Microscopic fungi grow within the tissues of the rootlets (mycorrhizal associations) and may increase the nutritional value of the mats (Jasinska 1995; Jasinska *et al.* 1996). More than half of the species of each cave at Yanchep occur in the root mats, with the remainder in open water, root detritus, and sand in the stream bed (Jasinska 1995; Jasinska *et al.* 1996). The roots fringe the cave streams and form dense mats about 10 cm thick and 15 cm wide. A handful of the root mats generally contains about 500 animals (Jasinska 1995).

The fauna that inhabit the caves at Yanchep include night fish, leeches, microscopic worms, crustaceans, insects, mites and snails. The most common species encountered in the community are *Lobohalacarus* sp. nov. 1 (eyeless) and *Soldanellonyx* sp. 1 (Order Acarina); *Aeolosoma tracanvorens* aiyer (Phylum Annelida); *Cherax quinquecarinatus* (Gray), *Janiridae* sp. nov. 1, *Gomphodella* aff. *maia de dekker* (Class Crustacea); *Chromadorida* sp. 1, *Iotonchus* sp. 1, *Ironus* sp. 1 (Class Nematoda); *Stenostomum* sp., *Dalyellioida* sp. 1 (Phylum Platyhelminthes); *Philodina* sp. 1 (Class Rotifera). At least six newly discovered species of crustaceans in this community, and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid are Gondwanan relicts.

The caves at Yanchep National Park are not large, having a vertical range of less than 20m. The caves that contain aquatic root mats are particularly small, the length of accessible stream chambers ranging from 3 to 25 m (Jasinska 1997). Crystal Cave is a relatively large cave, however, at around 310 m in length.



The cave streams that support the growth of aquatic root mats in the Yanchep caves were extremely shallow throughout the 1990s. Only the pools in Water Cave at that time were of greater depth (up to one metre). For example, the stream in Cabaret Cave is generally only 2-3 cm deep, around 2 m wide and, within the cave, it flows for approximately 20 m. Some of the stream channels in the cave were up to 20 cm deep, mainly along the edges. The streams are of groundwater that flows through each of the caves. Hence, quite small alterations in the groundwater level had the potential to impact the community. Increased flow has been noted to cause diversion of the course of streams, increased sedimentation, scouring of the banks and may ultimately cause cave collapse (Jasinska and Knott 1991). Alternatively, small decreases in the level of the groundwater may cause the streams to completely dry out. The year 2001 was one of the driest years on record. When streams and fauna were monitored in October 2001, all of the cave streams were found to be very shallow. This could have disastrous consequences for communities containing species that have no drought-resistant stages and therefore would be unable to survive drying.

Even though the caves that contain the root mat community are in close proximity to each other and are being fed by the same water mound they all contain at least one species that is found in no other cave (Jasinska 1995). This indicates it is unlikely that species exchange can occur between the caves, with the possible exception of Carpark Cave and Twilight Cave. Fauna studies indicate these two caves in fact may be connected. However, in most cases it is unlikely that a species only occurring in one cave would be able to recolonise from another known cave.

It is not known whether conditions in any non-accessible or undiscovered caves are suitable for these invertebrate assemblages, or if so, whether such assemblages are related to those in the known caves. The presence of drought-intolerant species indicates it is extremely unlikely that natural fluctuations in the groundwater level in the caves in the past have ever resulted in the complete drying of the cave streams or of some other connected refuge area. In addition, the origins of these species could provide information about historical and current flow patterns in the Gnangara Mound. These data could help determine what factors cause fluctuations in the water table, and to predict and possibly control such fluctuations in future (Jasinska 1995; Jasinska 1997).

Decline of groundwater levels, and loss of the trees that provide the tree roots that are the food source for the communities are immediate threats to the aquatic root mat ecosystems. Longer term threats to these communities also exist and include pollution of the groundwater. Macro-invertebrates are commonly used as water quality indicators as water quality can have significant influence on the taxa present and their growth and survival (Norris and Norris 1995; Davis *et al.* 1993).

A Management Plan is in place for Yanchep National Park (Department of Conservation and Land Management 1989). The status of the recommended management actions that may benefit conservation of the aquatic root mat community is listed under recovery actions in this IRP (refer section 3).

All of the caves known to contain root mat communities in the Yanchep area, and Crystal Cave, are located within Yanchep National Park (Class A Reserve No. 9868). The purpose of the reserve is "Protection and Preservation of Caves and Flora and for Health and Pleasure Resort".

All known occurrences are listed in Table 1. As mentioned, it is possible that other occurrences may exist on private land or in Yanchep National Park.

**Table 1. Extent and Location of Occurrences of the 'aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain' plus Crystal Cave**

<b>Area Number</b>	<b>Location</b>
Area 1	Carpark Cave (YN18)



Area 2	Gilgie Cave (YN27) community destroyed
Area 3	Cabaret stream Cave (YN30, YN394)
Area 4	Unnamed Cave (YN99)
Area 5	Twilight Cave (YN194)
Area 6	Water Cave (YN11)
Area 7	Crystal Cave (YN1) contains the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid

---

## 1.2 BIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY

The primary food source for the root mat community is the roots of mature Tuart trees that extend into the caves, and probably the extensive fungal growth within the tissue of the rootlets. The soil above the caves contains little water and growth of tree roots into the caves is promoted by the availability of permanent water in the cave streams. Other trees, such as Marri (*Corymbia calophylla* - formerly *Eucalyptus calophylla*) that naturally occur in the area do not form dense root mats (E. Jasinska, pers. comm.). Other tree species, Peppermint (*Agonis flexuosa*) and Karri (*Eucalyptus diversicolor*) that support root mat communities on the Leeuwin Naturaliste Ridge do not naturally occur at Yanchep. Tuart trees may be killed by hot fires. The susceptibility of the trees to dieback caused by *Phytophthora* species, and to other plant pathogens is not known. Tuarts are also affected by borers (longicorn beetles).

The aquatic community represents a complete ecosystem that includes the root mats and fungi as a primary food source, grazers, predators, parasites, detritivores, and scavengers (Jasinska and Knott 2000, see Appendix 3). More than half of the species that occur in the root mat communities at Yanchep are newly discovered species.

At least six species of newly discovered crustaceans that are Gondwanan relicts occur in the Yanchep caves that support the root mat community. These include five species of amphipods and one species of janirid isopod, none of which is able to survive drying (Jasinska 1997). The stream in Gilgie Cave dried out completely in 1996 for the first time in recorded history of the caves (since the early 1900s). When flow returned to the cave in spring 1996 the only fauna that recolonised the stream were species that occur in interstitial waters of that area of the Gngangara Mound. None of the larger sized fauna including the Gondwanan relicts recolonised the Gilgie Cave stream when the stream was flowing again (Jasinska 1996; Jasinska 1997).

None of the caves containing root mat communities at Yanchep contain exactly the same assemblage as any other, although they are considered to be the same community type. These differences occur even though the caves are supplied by the same groundwater and are only between a few hundred metres and three kilometres apart. This indicates that it is unlikely that caves that contain root mats are connected, with the possible exception of Twilight and Carpark caves. Appendix 3 provides a list of the fauna collected from caves containing this root mat community at Yanchep (Jasinska 1997).

Evolution and speciation appears to be currently occurring within these communities at Yanchep. Some of the species associated with root mats in the caves are white, have long antennae and reduced eyes, but are still able to interbreed with similar animals on the surface that are coloured, have short antennae, and large eyes (Jasinska 1995; Jasinska 1997).

## 1.3 HYDROLOGY AND WATER CHEMISTRY

The Gngangara Mound that feeds the cave streams is an extensive unconfined aquifer. The highest point in the Mound reaches 70 m above sea level east of Yanchep National Park (Allen 1981) and the overall direction of flow at Yanchep is from east to west. The natural water levels of the Mound depend predominantly on a balance between recharge through winter rainfall and discharge to springs, rivers and the ocean, evaporation, evapotranspiration (Davidson 1995) and land-use impacts. For example, dense pine plantations and abstraction can reduce levels and land-clearing

can increase levels. Urbanisation has the potential to significantly alter both water levels and water quality.

The chemical composition of the cave streams is consistent with the Gngangara Mound supplying water to the caves. This is further supported by precipitation of iron oxide in caves, the iron being in the soluble reduced (chemically) state in waters of the Gngangara Mound. The permanent streams in the caves that contain root mats are generally only 2 cm deep but have deeper channels, up to 20 cm, along the banks and in the narrowest stream sections.

The tree roots that are the basis of the food web in this aquatic root mat community can only occur in caves with permanent fresh water bodies. These ecosystems appear to be totally dependent on a supply of water of sufficient quantity and quality to sustain them.

#### Cabaret cave 1990

Detailed water flow and quality data were gathered by Jasinska (1995) in 1990 for Cabaret Cave at Yanchep, as follows (see also Appendix 1). The flow rate at Cabaret Cave varied from three centimetres per second in shallows to twenty centimetres per second in deeper channels and the stream depth was around two to three centimetres. All of the cave streams in the Yanchep area are typically this shallow. Cabaret cave was subject to hydrological study between 1989 and 1991 (Jasinska, 1995), and the cave stream did not flood or dry up in that time. The stream depth varied with season by around 2.7 cm. The stream discharge was around two litres per second and the course of the stream was altered by water build up behind a notch weir temporarily installed across the stream. This indicates that the conduits are very easily altered by disturbances. The water temperature deviated little from 19.3°C. Stream water was supersaturated with CO<sub>2</sub> at about 15 milligrams per litre. The physical and chemical conditions of Cabaret cave stream waters are very stable, and result from interactions between Gngangara Mound water and the local karst system with little direct contribution from rainfall.

The only exceptions to the chemical stability referred to above were rapid fluctuations in pH of Cabaret stream waters, for example, 6.45 to 6.77 in one minute. The total range was only 6.29 to 6.88, however, and may be indicative of the dynamic flux between carbon dioxide, bicarbonate and carbonate (CO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> respectively).

Ionic concentrations demonstrate that the Cabaret stream is fresh and stable, with conductivity between 0.430 and 0.470 milli siemens per centimetre. Ammonium is the common form of dissolved nitrogen in waters that are oxygen poor. This chemical is in very low concentrations in Cabaret stream. In addition, phosphates occur in low concentrations - 10 to 60 micrograms per litre, while nitrate concentrations are the most variable of all ions measured with the highest concentrations recorded within 2 months following a period of heavy rainfall. Following a period of drought the nitrates are low (Jasinska, 1996; 1997). The levels of these chemicals in waters of the Gngangara Mound are also typically low.

#### All caves – 1996

Water quality data including temperature, pH and concentrations of calcium, potassium, magnesium, chloride, bicarbonate, ammonium, nitrate, phosphate, and sulphate were collected for all of the Yanchep caves that contain root mats, except Water Cave, during October to November 1996 (Jasinska 1996 - see Appendix 2). Results indicate that water quality in Cabaret and Carpark streams had not altered markedly since 1990 (see also Appendix 1) (Jasinska 1990). The fauna in the root mats in Cabaret Cave were also very similar to those recorded in 1990, but, nematodes, which are a group that may indicate stressful physiochemical conditions, such as drying, were in greater abundance in Carpark Cave waters sampled in 1996. It is not known if the nematodes are indicative of such conditions in this cave. In addition, Gondwanan relicts (amphipods) were absent from a sample of dead rootlets taken from this cave, indicating that these species may require living root mats for survival.

Gilgie cave dried up in autumn 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000, and 2001 but the cave stream recommenced flowing later each year, except in 2000. The measured water quality parameters had not altered significantly from those recorded in 1994, with the exception of a slight increase in common ions. High levels of nitrate, for example, indicate waters in this cave may be polluted by this chemical as a by product of combustion from cars on Wanneroo Road, which is within 20 m of the cave.

Lower calcium levels and slight increases in other major ions in 1996 compared to those measured in 1994 were noted for waters of Twilight cave stream. These differences may be explained by a decline in stream flow in this cave, which would have resulted in less scouring of limestone from cave walls, therefore lower calcium concentration in the stream. Some of the root mats sampled were dead. An undescribed species and genus of a crangonyctoid amphipod that previously had only been recorded from this cave was absent. However, this species was collected from Carpark Cave for the first time during this sampling period. This may indicate that Twilight and Carpark Caves are linked and that the taxon is able to move between the two caves. Both Twilight and Carpark Caves dried up in 1998 and the root mats were artificially kept wet.

Water quality in cave YN99 had not altered significantly since 1994. Some of the root mats appeared to have dried up over the autumn of 1996 however (at the same time that flow in Gilgie cave stream ceased). Cave YN99 contains high densities of animals which is probably a reflection of the high flow rate and/or additional nutrients provided by plant and animal fragments that naturally occur in the waters of this cave (Jasinska, 1996).

An additional groundwater stream exposed to the surface environment for about 15 m in Boomerang Gorge (referred to as Boomerang Gorge stream hereafter) was sampled during the 1996 survey as it is only about 15 metres east of YN99. The stream contains no root mats, but it was found to contain significant fauna and similarities between taxa present indicate that it is the upstream section of YN99.

Water depth and flow may be critical in controlling diffusion rates of microbial and animal wastes out of the root mats and the levels of nutrients and dissolved gases within them (Jasinska 1995). Diffusion of these substances would be aided by fast flows through the root mats. Faster flows may, however, erode and corrode stream banks and change the course of the stream, and damage root mats (Jasinska 1995). Ultimately, increased flow may result in cave collapse. Lower flows may impede transfer of nutrients, allow increased deposition of sediments and also displace streams from their course (Jasinska 1995).

#### All caves – 1997 onwards

By 1998, water levels in several of the caves that contain extant root mat assemblages had declined to the point that it was necessary to supplement water flow artificially. This was done through establishment of a sump and pump system in the base of Twilight, Carpark and Crystal Caves in September 1998. Water chemistry and species composition of the source water is the same as the original pools in each cave, as the sump simply follows the local ground water down as it sinks below the floor of each cave. The pumps are automatically triggered by low water levels in the pool being supplemented and are powered by 12 volt solar powered batteries.

In 2000, water levels in Cabaret and Boomerang Gorge stream (YN99) had also declined such that artificial watering systems were required. These were placed in the caves in April 2000.

The water levels in Crystal Cave had declined below the natural ground level in the cave in recent years. A trial system of reflooding three pools in Crystal Cave was initiated in 2000. The water for the pools was pumped from a nearby groundwater bore that was found to have water chemistry and biological species composition that was compatible with water within Crystal Cave. A population of the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid has been established in each of two pools additional

to the one in which it remained. Monitoring data from early 2002 indicated that the populations were surviving well, and in one case, breeding.

Fauna was sampled in all caves that contained sufficient root mat material in December 1998, November 2000, September 2001 and January 2002. Artificial substrate positioned alongside root mats were also sampled in September 2001, but contained few animals. Twilight Cave was not sampled in 2002. Fauna numbers were in decline by the 2001 monitoring, and preliminary results from 2002 appeared pessimistic. With root mats so small and water so shallow, sampling effectively without damaging the root mats is difficult. Reasons for the declining numbers of animals are likely to include:

- oxygen deficiency due to lack of water flow
- animals retreating deeper into existing root mats and not being available for sampling
- animals surviving in sediments which were not sampled

#### **1.4 CRITICAL HABITAT**

Critical habitat is habitat identified as being critical to the survival of a listed threatened species or listed threatened ecological community. Habitat is defined as the biophysical medium or media (a) occupied (continuously, periodically or occasionally) by an organism or group of organisms; or (b) once occupied (continuously, periodically or occasionally) by an organism, or group of organisms, and into which organisms of that kind have the potential to be reintroduced (sections 207A and 528 of Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act)).

The critical habitat for the aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain community is the six individual caves, the six cave streams (Note: Gilgie Cave represents a possible rehabilitation/reintroduction site), the trees that have roots in each of the caves, and the catchments for the streams that flow through the caves. This includes: the caves (Carpark Cave (YN18), Gilgie Cave (YN27), Cabaret Stream Cave (YN30, YN394) Boomerang Gorge stream Cave (YN99) Twilight Cave (YN194) Water Cave (YN11)) and their cave-streams, areas of the Gngangara mound catchment between the caves and the top of the mound, and the superficial water table that supplies the water to the cave-streams. The critical habitat for the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is Crystal Cave, the cave stream and pools, the trees that have roots in the cave, and the catchment for the stream that flows through the cave, areas of the Gngangara mound catchment between Crystal Cave and the top of the mound, and the superficial water table that supplies the water to the Crystal Cave-stream.

#### **Habitat critical to the survival of the species, and important populations:**

Given that the aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid are listed as Critically Endangered, all known habitat for the community is considered critical habitat and all occurrences are important ones.

#### **Benefits to other species/ecological communities:**

There are a suite of invertebrates that are only known from one or more of the seven caves covered by this plan. Recovery actions implemented to improve the habitat of any caves are likely to improve the condition of the habitat for these taxa. The community of Tumulus Springs (organic mound springs) of the Swan Coastal Plain occurs on the eastern side of the Gngangara mound, and also appear to be completely dependent on maintenance of the water supply from the mound. The springs are listed as Critically Endangered in Western Australia and Endangered under the EPBC Act. Actions implemented to maintain the water supply to the caves are also likely to be of benefit to these springs.

### **International Obligations:**

This plan is fully consistent with the aims and recommendations of the Convention on Biological Diversity, ratified by Australia in June 1993, and will assist in implementing Australia's responsibilities under that Convention.

### **Role of indigenous people and their knowledge:**

Aboriginal beliefs relate to some of the cave sites at Yanchep. According to the WA Department of Indigenous Affairs (August 2003), Yanchep caves are registered under the Aboriginal Heritage Act (1972) as site number 17598. Significant Aboriginal consultation has taken place within the Park to ensure cultural protocols and heritage knowledge, and in this case threatened species, are considered in the management of the Park. This consultation has included site visits by Native Title Claimants and other indigenous stakeholders. In addition, a local Aboriginal elder is a member of the Yanchep National Park Advisory Committee and is kept well informed of management actions that relate to these caves. Additional broader consultation on the groundwater situation and proposed remedial actions will be undertaken with indigenous stakeholders in late 2003.

### **Social and economic impact:**

There are a number of development plans that have the potential to impact upon the level and quality of the cave waters. For example, further development of the aquifers that supply the caves to supply Perth's water has the potential to impact cave hydrology. The implementation of this recovery plan therefore has the potential to have social and economic impact, where development proposals may impact the caves. Recovery actions refer to continued negotiations between stakeholders with regard to these developments.

### **Evaluation of the Plan's Performance:**

The Department of Conservation and Land Management, in conjunction with the Recovery Team will evaluate the performance of this IRP. In addition to annual reporting on progress with listed actions and comparison against the criteria for success and failure, the plan is to be reviewed within five years of its implementation.

## **1.5 THREATENING PROCESSES**

### **1.5.1 Historical and current threatening processes**

The aquatic root mat community of caves in the Yanchep area and Crystal Cave have been subject to historical disturbance and are likely to be subject to future threats. The immediate threats are as follows;

- decline of the level of the water table
- destruction of the food source i.e. the tree roots
- vandalism

Longer term threats include the following:

- pollution of groundwater
- cave collapse
- invasion by exotic species

## **DECLINE OF THE GROUNDWATER TABLE**

The highest elevations of the Gngangara Mound are about 23 km north east of the caves. From there the groundwater flows in a south westerly direction towards the caves. Pine plantations, native bushland and National Park occur between the crest of the mound and the caves.

The level of the Gngangara Mound has dropped by up to five metres upstream of the caves since around 1976. Some of the impact can be attributed to below average rainfall since that time. Rainfall has been recorded since 1879, however, the current rainfall regime in relation to longer term climatic fluctuations is unknown.

Seasonal groundwater levels in the five cave streams at Yanchep vary from a few centimetres to approximately 0.5 metres as compared to a variation of around 1 metre in other areas of the Mound. The levels in Loch McNess and Lake Yonderup vary by only 0.1 metres or less due to karst in their catchments that moderate water level variations.

The water levels in Crystal Cave, which is located very close to cave YN 99 and Cabaret Cave, both of which contain root mats, are being monitored by the Water and Rivers Commission. Water levels in Crystal Cave had declined some 30cm from a peak of 13.1 m AHD (Australian Height Datum - metres above sea level) in 1987 to 12.7 m AHD in September 2000. Crystal Cave occurs at a higher altitude than the caves that contain the root mat community.

Other factors besides climate are likely to influence the hydrologic regimes of the caves. The factors include the location and density of pine plantations that can result in a decline in water levels, together with abstraction for public water supply and by private users mainly for market gardening.

Vegetation limits the amount of recharge to the aquifer through interception of rainfall and evapotranspiration. Pine plantations can significantly reduce recharge to groundwater. Once the pines have grown to a certain size and density a greater volume of water is intercepted by the trees and lost through transpiration than the amount intercepted and lost by native bushland, and recharge of the aquifer through rainfall decreases.

In several areas within the pine plantation upstream of the caves there has been no recharge to the water table over many years due to the impact of the pines. This is illustrated in hydrographs that indicate no seasonal variation, but a continuous fall in watertable levels. Water levels in monitoring bores in areas of a pine plantation east of Lake Pinjar that have been clear-felled have risen by up to two metres in the two years following clear-felling. A basal area of pines of between seven and eleven square metres per hectare utilises about the same amount of water as native bushland (Environmental Protection Authority 1987). The impact of the pine plantations on the water table varies with density of plantings and age of the pines (Greay 1993).

Until very recently, it was believed that over most of the Gngangara Mound groundwater extracted from deeper aquifers would not impact the level of the shallow Gngangara Mound. Recently, however, detailed studies indicate there is a greater interaction than previously thought and that water extraction from deeper sources could conceivably impact the level of the Gngangara Mound in the Yanchep area. The level of impact from this factor is not known, but is the subject of modelling studies.

Caves at the very southern end of the Park may also have been affected by shallow groundwater abstraction for market gardening at the northern end of Carabooda.

Additional monitoring wells have been installed in and upstream of the caves in 1995 - 1996 to gain a better understanding of the impact of pine plantations, and market gardening to the south. Review of this data and longer term data indicates that pine plantations are having a significant effect on water levels directly upstream and in the caves. Private abstraction is having some, mainly localised, impacts on water levels upstream of the caves. The area of impact of abstraction is not directly upstream of the caves, however, and the degree of draw-down is much smaller than

levels of draw-down observed in the area of the pines. Data on the effects of private abstraction on cave streams is inconclusive, and complicated by the impact of the pines. The water levels will continue to be monitored and the implications of the data reviewed.

## **DESTRUCTION OF THE TREE ROOTS**

Trees that have roots into cave streams may be destroyed by clearing, frequent or very hot fires, or possibly by a variety of pathogens. It is therefore important to locate, monitor and protect the trees that have roots in each of the caves at Yanchep. Fires of sufficient intensity or frequency to kill these trees should be avoided wherever possible. The potential pests and pathogens of the Tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) need to be investigated. It may be necessary to implement a Tuart regeneration program if monitoring indicates trees with roots in cave streams are in decline as a result of human influences.

## **VANDALISM**

Vandalism by direct physical destruction can also destroy root mat communities. Access to the caves in Yanchep National Park is currently not controlled. At least one cave that may have contained a root mat community on the Leeuwin Naturaliste Ridge has been vandalised through pollution of the cave stream with batteries (E. Jasinska *personal observation*). This type of vandalism may be minimised by keeping the location of the caves confidential as far as possible, and through an education program that provides information about the significance of cave stream communities and how to avoid adversely impacting them. Locking the caves and allowing entry only by permit and with experienced guidance may be necessary to ensure future protection of root mat communities in caves.

## **POLLUTION OF GROUNDWATER**

The pattern and management of future land developments particularly to the east of each of the caves is likely to be crucial in maintaining the quality and level of the cave streams.

All of the Yanchep caves that contain root mats and Crystal Cave are located in Yanchep National Park, with the boundary of the park being one to two kilometres east of the caves. The proposed Ridges extension to the National Park is covered by native vegetation and spans two to four kilometres immediately east of the park. A seven to nine kilometre width of pine plantations in State Forest 65 occurs adjacent to the eastern edge of Ridges. The pine plantations are currently planned for progressive harvesting and replacement with a variety of different vegetation types. Gngangara Water Reserve that has the purpose of protecting the Gngangara Mound occurs to the east of the pine plantations. The future uses of all these areas are important for the conservation of the aquatic root mat cave community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid. Long term planning would be required to ensure waters entering caves are not polluted with fertilisers, fungicides or pesticides used in agricultural production, by runoff from urban uses, or by waters carrying pollutants from land-uses such as rubbish tips or industrial areas.

The Gngangara Land Use and Water Management Strategy developed by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DPI) (previously Ministry for Planning) determined the boundaries of the Gngangara underground pollution control area. This boundary is approximately 5 km upstream of the caves. Development will not be permitted in this area as it is a priority one zone. An Ecological Maintenance Area (EMA) has also been proposed between this boundary and the caves. This area will be the subject of an Environmental Protection Policy to be prepared by the Environmental Protection Authority. The aim of the EMA will be to protect water quality upstream of highly significant wetlands. A small portion of the land near the caves at the southern end of Yanchep National Park falls outside these boundaries.

## **CAVE COLLAPSE**



As mentioned, relatively small changes in flow rates can cause the path of streams to be altered. If water levels were to increase significantly, for example, due to changes in land uses to the east of each of the caves, then presumably rapid erosion and corrosion of stream banks could occur. In the extreme situation, this erosion may result in cave collapse. In the case of the Yanchep caves, this may be avoided by ensuring the impact that actions such as clearing of pine plantations to the east of the caves has on flow rates, water depths and erosion of banks is monitored and managed.

Other possible causes of cave collapse may include heavy human or vehicular traffic over the caves and the use of explosives nearby. Wanneroo Road, which is a main road is close to caves that contain root mats and to Crystal Cave. Such physical impacts could be avoided by ensuring any tracks or commonly used walk trails do not occur above the caves, and by ensuring heavy machinery and explosives are not used near the caves. No further development should be permitted within or near the cave belt without due consideration for cave preservation.

## **INTRODUCTION OF EXOTIC SPECIES**

Introduced fauna such as Yabbies (*Cherax destructor*) may compete with other fauna in the community, alter habitat and represent a serious threat to the root mat communities and to the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid. Introduced crayfish have been recorded from caves at Dongara, and are thought to have had a significant impact on the cave fauna in that area (R. Shepherd<sup>1</sup> *personal communication*).

### **1.6 GUIDE FOR DECISION-MAKERS**

Section 1.5 above provides details of current and possible future threats. Proposed developments in the region of the six caves that contain this community require assessment. No developments should be approved unless the proponent can demonstrate that they will have no significant impact on the cave, its hydrology or its faunal community, or on the trees that have roots in the caves. Impacts on the Gngangara Mound aquifer, either leading to its depletion or pollution, would be expected to have a significant impact on the threatened ecological community or on the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid.

### **1.7 CONSERVATION STATUS**

The aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain meets the following criteria for critically endangered communities (from English and Blyth, 1997):

B (i) current distribution is limited, and currently subject to known threatening processes which are likely to result in total destruction in the immediate future (within approximately 10 years)

B (ii) current distribution is limited and there are very few occurrences, each of which is small and/or isolated and extremely vulnerable to known threatening processes.

The Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid meets the World Conservation Union (IUCN) criteria as Critically Endangered under criteria A2ac; B1ab(ii,iii,v)+2ab(ii,iii,v); C1+2a(i,ii) and D (IUCN 2000), as it is only known from a single population comprised of less than 50 mature individuals, with continued decline in the quality of the habitat. The main threats are decline of the level of the water table, destruction of the food source i.e. the tree roots, vandalism, pollution of groundwater, cave collapse, and invasion by exotic species.

### **1.8 STRATEGY FOR RECOVERY**

---

<sup>1</sup> Ron Shepherd - previously Department of Conservation and Land Management officer, Midwest Region

To identify and influence the management of the caves in which the community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid occur, and their catchments, so maintaining natural biological and non biological attributes of the sites and the current area covered by the community.

To conduct appropriate research into the ecology and hydrology of the community to develop further understanding about the management actions required to maintain or improve the condition of the community.

To maintain a hydrologic regime that provides permanent and adequate flow of water.

## **2. RECOVERY AIM AND CRITERIA**

**2.1** To maintain or improve the overall condition of the aquatic root mat community of caves of the Swan Coastal Plain and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid and reduce the level of threat, with the aim of reclassifying them from Critically Endangered to Endangered or Vulnerable.

### **2.2.1 Criteria for success**

- No drying out of known occurrences of the root mats (apart from Gilgie Cave).
- Maintenance of all Gondwanan species in the aquatic root mat assemblages (as described in Jasinska 1995; Jasinska 1997)
- Maintenance of population/s of the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid
- Maintenance of trees that are currently supplying or are likely in future to supply roots to the caves that contain the aquatic root community.
- The Pinjar Pine Plantation to achieve the target basal area of 11m<sup>2</sup>/hectare.

### **2.2.2 Criteria for failure**

Significant loss of area or further modification of the threatened ecological community, including the complete drying up of the root mats in any single cave additional to Gilgie Cave, or loss of individual faunal species, including the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid.

## **3 GENERAL RECOVERY ACTIONS**

### **3.1 Establish a Recovery Team**

Responsibility	Western Australian Threatened Species and Communities Unit (WATSCU)
Cost	\$0
Completion date	Completed, September 1997

### **3.2 Continue to monitor cave fauna and respond to results of monitoring as appropriate**

A number of monitoring programs are currently undertaken by Water and Rivers Commission, and are to be continued. Aquatic fauna and water quality in caves are monitored, analysed and reported annually. Cave water levels are monitored either continuously using a data logger, or monthly. Water level and water quality (salinity only) are surveyed in surrounding groundwater bores. In addition, a vegetation transect east of Loch McNess is monitored every three years for compositional changes that may indicate alteration to the hydrologic regime.

The composition and structure of the cave faunal community is likely to be a good indicator of changes in water quality or quantity. A reference collection of the cave fauna will be assembled to allow comparison with future samples. The numbers of the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid will continue to be monitored regularly. Fauna monitoring would also indicate the presence of introduced fauna such as yabbies.

Photographic monitoring of the habitat at specific sites would provide a record of physical condition and possibly extent of the root mats. This will be included in the monitoring program.

Water and Rivers Commission (WRC; now coupled with Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to form the Department of Environment, Water and Catchment Protection) will add artificial substrates in four of the five existing root mat caves to provide suitable habitat for the cave fauna. Sampling these artificial substrates will provide information about whether the hydrological system remains suitable for the cave fauna.

Care will be taken to ensure that regular visits to caves do not to establish obvious trails that indicate cave locations.

Responsibility	WRC; the Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team.
Cost	\$10,000 per year
Completion date	Ongoing

**3.3** Urgently implement recommendations in Management Plans for Yanchep National Park likely to benefit root mat communities, as follows (3.3.1- 3.3.9; adapted from Department of Conservation and Land Management 1989)

**3.3.1** Establish a Cave Management Committee to recommend on cave management

The majority of expertise and knowledge of caves at Yanchep is held by the WA Speleological Group and the Speleological Research Group. This recommendation was made in recognition of this, as the Cave Management Committee includes representatives of the Department and Speleological groups. The Recovery Team will liaise with the Cave Management Committee on cave management.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team
Cost	\$2,000 per year
Completion date	Completed

**3.3.2** Draft specific regulations for cave management and protection and investigate the need for legislation in the longer term

Regulations are currently in draft form (D. Hampton<sup>2</sup> *personal communication*).

Responsibility	The Department (Corporate Executive; in liaison with Swan Coastal District) Recovery Team
Cost	\$2,000 in Year 1
Completion date	Year 1

**3.3.3** Implement a cave permit system for visitors and establish conditions to be linked to permits.

Locking the caves and allowing entry only with experienced guidance may be necessary to ensure protection of some or all of the cave communities. This recommendation is being implemented on a cave-by-cave basis.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team
Cost	\$1,000 per year to administer permit system
Completion date	Year 1

---

<sup>2</sup> David Hampton – Senior Policy Advisor, Department of Conservation and Land Management

### 3.3.4 Classify caves for management

Caves in the Yanchep area are being classified on a case-by-case basis by the Cave Management Committee.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team
Cost	\$1,200
Completion date	Year 1

### 3.3.5 Establish Cave Protection Zones

The trees that have roots in the caves that contain the community will be located on the ground. This will indicate areas where land management activities may impact root mat communities and need to be strictly controlled (cave protection zones).

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team
Cost	\$3,000 for location of relevant trees; \$1,000 for signage
Completion date	Year 1

### 3.3.6 Prepare a code of practice regarding management activities (particularly fire, dieback hygiene, use of heavy vehicles and road repairs)

The Ranger in Charge at Yanchep National Park has determined some specific management requirements - such as prevention of burning of trees with roots in caves, and these practices are being implemented. The code will be included in the Management Plan for Yanchep National Park, which is currently being reviewed.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team
Cost	No immediate costs, actions are being implemented already
Completion date	Being implemented

### 3.3.7 Monitor water levels in some caves to establish long term trends

Levels are being monitored in Twilight Cave, Cabaret Cave, YN 99, Carpark Cave, and in Crystal Cave by Water and Rivers Commission. This monitoring will be continued.

Responsibility	Water and Rivers Commission
Cost	\$1,500 per year
Completion date	Ongoing

### 3.3.8 Minimise impacts of current and future management practices in State Forest 65 on water levels in caves

A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Pine Management was signed in December 1999 by the Department's Softwood Plantations Branch (now Forest Products Commission) and the Water and Rivers Commission. Under this MOU, pine densities in State Forest 65 will be reduced to and maintained at an average basal area of 11 m<sup>2</sup>/hectare. The aim of this is to reduce the water usage of the pine plantation to a level comparable to that of native vegetation.

The first priority in the schedule of thinning is the Pinjar Plantation to the east of the Yanchep caves. The Pinjar Plantation is to be thinned first in an attempt to increase the groundwater recharge to the Yanchep cave streams. Thinning has begun and the target basal area of 11m<sup>2</sup>/hectare is to be achieved across the Pinjar Plantation by December 2002.

The MOU outlines other commitments such as close monitoring of water levels, groundwater modelling to determine recharge rates to achieve Environmental Water Provisions and joint research and investigations into the impact of land uses on Gngangara Mound water resources.

State Forest 65 will be completely cleared over the next 20 years under the Gngangara Park Concept Plan (Department of Conservation and Land Management 1999). The Concept Plan outlines the conversion of the existing pine plantation on the Gngangara Mound to parkland and areas of native vegetation. The MOU is expediting the process of clearing the pines prior to the implementation of the Concept Plan.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Forest Products Commission, WRC, in liaison with Recovery Team
Cost	To be determined
Completion Date	Ongoing

### **3.3.9 Liaise with other authorities regarding works that may affect the caves**

To prevent cave collapse, the use of explosives or heavy machinery will not be permitted within the cave belt in Yanchep National Park without appropriate survey and approval from the Department's managers. Such specifications will be included in the Management Plan for the Park.

In addition, members of this Recovery Team and/or the Cave Advisory Committee will be consulted if developments or use of heavy machinery are planned within 200 metres of the caves.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team
Cost	Costs of all liaison \$4,000 per year
Completion date	Ongoing

In addition to the implementation of the above general recommendations held in the Management Plan for Yanchep National Park, the following specific recommendations relate to management of the community.

### **3.4 Survey likely areas for additional occurrences of the community, and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid**

Known caves in the Yanchep area that occur on public land have been surveyed for the community (L. Bastian *pers. comm.*). Other occurrences of the community or the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid may occur either in caves on public lands and have not yet been located, or in caves on private land. Data could be gathered opportunistically through liaison with caving groups (through the Cave Advisory Committee), and by requesting permission to survey for root mat communities in areas known, or likely to contain caves.

Any additional occurrences of the root mat community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid should then be subject to cooperative management actions as listed in this IRP, including assessment by the DEP of any development proposals that may impact occurrences.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team; in liaison with the Cave Management Committee and landholders
Cost	\$1,000 per year
Completion date	Ongoing

### **3.5 Disseminate information about the community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid**

Information will be disseminated about the community to help prevent accidental destruction or deliberate vandalism of the community.

A publicity campaign utilising media such as caving magazines, local media and poster displays in prominent areas will be undertaken to encourage awareness about this threatened ecological community. Interpretive signs and activities in wild caves that explain the significance of the community will also be utilised. The possibility of developing an interactive Compact Disk, and a saleable colour brochure on the caves will also be investigated.

Information about the community will be included in talks by cave guides in the Yanchep caves. Regular updates on recovery actions will be provided to the guides by the Recovery Team.

Responsibility	The Department (Strategic Development and Corporate Affairs Division, Swan Coastal District, WATSCU) responsible for general publicity; Cave Advisory Committee responsible for interpretive signs and activities including liaison
Cost	\$2,000 per year
Completion date	Year 2

### 3.6 Undertake research

The possibility of undertaking research to determine the location and size of catchment areas for all cave streams that contain the root mat community, and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid (Jasinska 1995; Jasinska and Knott 2000); developing a model to predict the effects of changes in the groundwater catchment on water regimes in the caves; and developing a water balance study to determine the source of water that enters cave streams will be investigated. The Centre for Groundwater Studies at the University of Western Australia has also expressed interest in investigating the hydrogeology of the cave catchments (A. Endres<sup>3</sup>, *personal communication*). A collaborative project may be possible with the WRC.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District), Recovery Team, Cave Management Committee; liaison with WRC, Centre for Groundwater Studies and other relevant tertiary institutions
Cost	\$20,000 per year to fund a PhD hydrogeological study; \$10,000 (in Year 1 to initiate investigations)
Completion date	After Year 3 for PhD study

### 3.7 Review data monthly from transect-bores near areas of private abstraction

A north-south transect bore line has been established at Carabooda to monitor the impact of private abstraction. Monthly review of monitoring data will ensure that if a significant decline in water levels occurs as a result of private abstraction, appropriate action can be initiated.

Responsibility	Water and Rivers Commission
Cost	Costs can be incorporated into review of other data
Completion date	Ongoing

### 3.8 Continue to assess the adequacy of the bore network

The adequacy of data from the bore network between the caves, and horticultural areas and the pine plantations, will be assessed three-monthly. Other bore data may need to be evaluated for comprehensive assessment of the impact of the pine plantations or abstraction of groundwater for horticultural uses.

Responsibility	Water and Rivers Commission
Cost	\$10,000 per year
Completion date	Ongoing

<sup>3</sup> A. Endres - Centre for Groundwater Studies, UWA

### **3.9 Manage water levels in likely catchment areas for cave streams**

Management practices in the Gngangara Water Reserve, Ridges area and other parts of Gngangara State Forest (State Forest 65) are likely to significantly influence water levels in the cave streams at Yanchep. Management strategies required include the reduction of water usage of pine plantations, and management of public and private abstraction in the vicinity of the caves and will be included in full Recovery Plan for the community

Minimum water level criteria will be set for monitoring bores upstream of the caves that will maintain flow of water to the caves.

Responsibility	Forest Products Commission; WRC; the Department (Swan Coastal District)
Cost	Costs of liaison included in 3.3.9; costs of setting criteria can be incorporated into the setting of environmental criteria for other areas
Completion date	Ongoing

### **3.10 Monitor water levels in cave streams that contain the root mat community, and initiate short term management solutions where necessary**

Water levels in cave pools can drop very rapidly in the drier months of the year. Response such as dredging cave pools may need to be initiated very quickly to ensure the survival of cave fauna that depend on water in pools. Weekly monitoring of pool levels over summer will be undertaken for streams at risk of complete drying out, and remedial actions such as dredging and lining of pools initiated when necessary. Water levels will also continue to be artificially maintained by pumping into cave streams, including Crystal Cave from shallow bores to provide emergency water supplies to maintain cave fauna in the event of imminent drying of cave streams.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District); liaison with Australian Speleological Federation for monitoring
Cost	\$6,900 for pump system in Crystal Cave; \$5,000 per year maintenance
Completion date	Pumps in Crystal Cave – completed; maintenance ongoing

### **3.11 Design and establish a semi- permanent system for remote monitoring and watering of caves**

The possibility of developing a remote system to deal with seasonal drying of caves e.g. a data logger linked to an electronic monitoring system, and including a permanent basin, will be investigated. The opportunity for the project being undertaken by a university electronics student will be examined.

Responsibility	WRC, the Department (Swan Coastal District)
Cost	To be determined
Completion date	Ongoing

### **3.12 Develop an *ex-situ* artificial system to maintain significant cave fauna**

Preservation of the cave fauna, in particular, the Gondwanic relics, including the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid is essential to guard against extinction if wild populations are lost. Jasinska (1997) developed an artificial system that allowed the cave faunae to be studied in the laboratory situation. This system is being trialled in Crystal Cave to produce an artificial environment to maintain populations of significant cave fauna. Samples taken from Crystal Cave, Boomerang Cave, Boomerang Gorge and Boomerang Gorge Stream occur in four aquaria in this cave.

Responsibility	University of WA; Edith Cowan University; the Department (Swan Coastal District)
----------------	--



Cost	\$2,000 pa
Completion date	Trials are continuing

### 3.13 Investigate water quality requirements of the root mat community

The levels of change of water quality that may constitute a threat to the root mat community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid, and what factors may cause such levels of change are not known, and require investigation. Such techniques are not currently available in Australia, but should become accessible in the near future. The results of such investigations would help indicate strategies for managing water quality necessary to maintain the root mat community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid (see 3.14). In the absence of such information it can be assumed that pesticides and herbicides are likely to adversely affect the community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid. Nitrates present in high concentrations (such as in Gilgie cave) have been reported to have detrimental effects on faunas elsewhere.

Responsibility	WRC; liaison with Agriculture Western Australia; Zoology Department, University of WA
Cost	To be determined when techniques become available
Completion date	To be determined

### 3.14 Manage water quality in likely catchment areas for cave streams

The use of fertilisers, fungicides or pesticides used in agricultural production, runoff from urban uses, or waters carrying pollutants from landuses such as rubbish tips or industrial areas may need to be managed in the cave catchments to protect water quality in the caves.

A cooperatively prepared Catchment Management Plan would be required to guide management of the catchment areas for the cave streams. Such a plan would help achieve water quality improvements through cooperative consultation and will be included in the full Recovery Plan for the community, if developed.

Responsibility	WRC; Water Corporation; Forest Products Commission; liaison with Ministry for Planning and Agriculture Western Australia
Cost	\$21,000 for plan preparation (\$7,000 per year for Years 1, 2 and 3)
Completion date	Ongoing

### 3.15 Determine if water in cave streams is connected only to groundwater or associated with perched water tables

Support for the view that caves streams are fed overwhelmingly by groundwaters of the Ngarangara Mound was gained by measuring the height of cave streams above sea level (Australian Height Datum (AHD)). Levels determined by Glasson (1997) are consistent with this view. Bastian (1996) also supports the inferences drawn from the results of the survey.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District)
Cost	\$2,000
Completion date	Completed

### 3.16 Ensure land use planning and development control processes effectively safeguard against potentially adverse impacts upon the cave systems

Developments in the catchments and adjacent to caves have the potential to impact the cave community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid through direct physical impacts such as cave collapse, or by indirect effects such as altering water quality or quantity in the caves. Operations that have potential to impact hydrology including irrigation projects, rubbish tips, and intensive

farming should undergo impact assessment in these areas. All developments in the catchment or adjacent to the cave belt should be referred to the DEP for assessment.

Under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (ie the statutory land use plan for the Perth metropolitan area) much of the North West Corridor to the west of Wanneroo Road is designated for urban development and associated uses.

In the vicinity of the study area, most lands to the east of Wanneroo Road are under Crown control (as either State Forest or National Park). There is, however, in the south of the caves area, a wedge of private rural land east of Wanneroo Road in the Nowergup - Carabooda localities.

Through the North West Corridor Structure Plan (WA Planning Commission, 1996) much of this rural wedge has been allocated (in the short to medium term) for limestone extraction and agriculture / horticulture, with the remainder being retained for rural living - lifestyle uses. In the very long term, however, particularly those areas now allocated to extractive industry and agriculture / horticulture may also become urban.

Unless sensitively planned and managed, land uses envisaged in the Nowergup - Carabooda localities could adversely affect the cave systems as outlined above.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District); liaison with WRC, DEP, DPI, City of Wanneroo
Cost	Costs of liaison included in 3.3.9
Completion date	Ongoing

### **3.17 Determine the location of trees with roots in caves, and monitor and protect them**

Tuart trees likely to have roots in caves should be monitored for detrimental parasitic diseases and infections, and their condition, size classes and density determined.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District)
Cost	\$6,250 for year 1 (for identifying tuarts, zone of influence and design of management). Two yearly monitoring \$500
Completion date	Year 1 for initial phase, monitoring ongoing

### **3.18 Develop and implement a Tuart regeneration program if monitoring indicates the need**

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District)
Cost	Costs to be determined if action necessary
Completion date	As required

### **3.19 Wherever possible create a buffer between the caves and any tracks or trails**

Any walk trails or tracks that pass close to or over the caves that contain the root mat community or Crystal Cave will be realigned to create a buffer adjacent to the caves. Any new paths / tracks will be aligned to avoid caves.

Responsibility	The Department (Swan Coastal District)
Cost	No realignment of tracks is currently required (but may be necessary if other caves are located)
Completion date	As required

### **3.20 Manage fire regimes**

Fires will be managed in a buffer area around trees with roots in caves, and Crystal Cave, to

prevent fires of sufficient intensity to kill mature trees. An environmental sensitivity map will indicate priority areas for fire control. No new fire breaks will be created, and heavy machinery will not enter these areas. This information will be included in the new draft of the Management Plan for Yanchep National Park.

Responsibility      The Department (Swan Coastal District)  
Cost                    \$3,000 (every three years)  
Completion date    Ongoing

### 3.21 Map Critical Habitat

It is a requirement of the EPBC Act that spatial data relating to critical habitat be determined. Although critical habitat is described in Section 1, the areas as described have not yet been mapped and that will be done under this action. If any additional areas are located, then critical habitat will also be determined and mapped for these locations.

Action:                Map critical habitat  
Responsibility:      The Department (Swan Coastal District, WATSCU) through Recovery Team  
Cost:                    \$2000 in Year 2  
Completion date:    End of Year 2

### 3.21 Report on success of management strategies

Reporting will be part of mid year and annual reports prepared by Recovery Teams.

Responsibility      The Department (Swan Coastal District); Recovery Team  
Cost                    Action could be incorporated into other monitoring with requirement for additional time for data analysis and report preparation. Report to be presented as part of recovery plan for community, if developed  
Completion date    Ongoing

### 3.22 Identify and liaise with additional landholders/land managers

If any other caves that contain the root mat community or the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid are located, relevant land managers will be identified and information provided as appropriate to mitigate threatening processes.

The community is considered to have been well searched-for in accessible caves and additional occurrences are considered unlikely to occur.

Responsibility      Department (Swan Coastal District); Recovery Team, liaison with landholders  
Cost                    Costs of liaison included in 3.3.9  
Completion date    As required, if other occurrences are located

**Table 3. Summary of costs for each recovery action**

<b>Recovery Action</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Year 3</b>	<b>Year 4</b>	<b>Year 5</b>
Establish a Recovery Team	-				
Continue to monitor cave fauna and respond to results of monitoring as appropriate	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
Establish a Cave Management Committee to recommend on cave management	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
Draft specific regulations for cave management and protection and investigate the need for legislation in the longer term	\$2,000				
Implement a cave permit system for visitors and establish conditions to be linked to permits	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Classify caves for management	\$1,200				
Establish Cave Protection Zones	\$4,000				
Prepare a code of practice regarding management activities	-	-	-		
Monitor water levels in some caves to establish long term trends	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500
Minimise impacts of current and future management practices in State Forest 65 on water levels in caves	To be determined				
Liaise with other authorities regarding works which may affect the caves	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
Survey likely areas for additional occurrences of the community, and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Disseminate information about the community and the Crystal Cave Crangonyctoid	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
Undertake research	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Review data from transect-bores near areas of private abstraction monthly	-	-	-		
Continue to assess the adequacy of the bore network	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000
Manage water levels in likely catchment areas for cave streams	-	-	-		
Monitor water levels in cave streams that contain the root mat community, and initiate short term management solutions where necessary	\$11,900	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000
Design and establish a semi- permanent system for remote monitoring and watering of caves	To be determined				
Develop an <i>ex-situ</i> artificial system to maintain significant cave fauna	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000
Investigate water quality requirements of the root mat community	To be determined				
Manage water quality in likely catchment areas for cave streams	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$7,000		
Determine if water in cave streams is connected only to groundwater or associated with perched water tables	\$2,000				
Ensure land use planning and development control processes effectively safeguard against potentially adverse impacts upon the cave systems	-	-	-		
Determine the location of trees with roots in caves, and monitor and protect them	\$6,750		\$500		\$500
Develop and implement a Tuart regeneration program if monitoring indicates the need	To be determined				
Wherever possible create a buffer between the caves and any tracks or trails	-	-	-		
Manage fire regimes	\$3,000	-	-	\$3,000	
Map Critical habitat		\$2,000			
Report on success of management strategies for cave communities	-	-	-		
Identify and liaise with additional landholders/land managers	-	-	-		

**Summary of costs over five years**

Year 1      \$81,350  
Year 2      \$67,500  
Year 3      \$66,000  
Year 4      \$61,500  
Year 5      \$59,000

**OVERALL TOTAL \$335,350**



## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The Australian Nature Conservation Agency (now Environment Australia) funded the project entitled "Identifying and conserving threatened ecological communities in the south west botanical province". The critically endangered status of the aquatic root mat communities in caves was determined as part of this project (English and Blyth, 1997).

Many thanks to Edyta Jasinska for provision of data, identification of the communities, and aspects of preparation of this Interim Recovery Plan.

Present and past members of the Recovery Team - Lex Bastian, Alan Briggs, Rod Hillman, Paul Holmes, Natasha Hyde, Jeff Kite, Brenton Knott, Michael Martin, Joe Miotti, Lyndon Mutter, Clayton Sanders, Alan Sands, Sharon Stratico, Paul Tholen, Paul Watt, John Wheeler and Nevin Wittber.

## REFERENCES

- Allen, A.D. (1981). Groundwater Resources of the Swan Coastal Plain near Perth, Western Australia. pp 29-80 In: Whelan B.R. (ed) *Groundwater Resources of the Swan Coastal Plain. Proceedings of the Symposium*. May 21-22, 1981. Perth.
- Bastian, L. (1964). Morphology and Development of Caves in the Southwest of Western Australia. *Helictite*; July, 105-118.
- Bastian, L. (1996). Speleogenetic Controls at Yanchep. *The Western Caver*. 36: 13-19.
- Davidson, W.A. (1995). *Hydrogeology and Groundwater Resources of the Perth Region, Western Australia*. Geological Survey of Western Australia. Bulletin 142.
- Davis, J.A., Rosich, R.S., Bradley, J.S., Grows, J.E., Schmidt, L.G. and Cheal, F. (1993). *Wetlands of the Swan Coastal Plain. Volume 6, Wetland Classification on the Basis of Water Quality and Invertebrate Community Data*. Water Authority of Western Australia. Perth.
- Department of Conservation and Land Management (1989). *Yanchep National Park Management Plan 1989-1999*. Management Plan No. 14. Perth.
- Department of Conservation and Land Management (1999). *Gnangara Park Concept Plan*. Perth.
- Department of Environmental Protection (1997). *System 6 update program unpublished site and area records and analysis*. EPA, Perth, Western Australia.
- English, V. and Blyth, J. (1997). *Identifying and Conserving Threatened Ecological Communities in the South West Botanical Province*. Project N702, Final Report to Environment Australia. Department of Conservation and Land Management. Perth, Western Australia.
- Environmental Protection Authority (1987). *Gnangara Mound Groundwater Resources. Water Authority of Western Australia. Report and Recommendations of the Environmental Protection Authority*. Bulletin 295. Perth, Western Australia.
- Glasson, R.L. (1997). *Cave Survey Yanchep National Park. Establishment of water levels with four limestone caves by theodolite survey*. Unpublished report to Department of Conservation and Land Management. Perth, Western Australia.
- Greay, J. (1993). *Yanchep Caves Groundwater Investigation*. Unpublished report for Water Authority of Western Australia. Perth.
- IUCN (2000) *IUCN red list categories prepared by the IUCN Species Survival Commission, as approved by the 51st meeting of the IUCN Council*. Gland, Switzerland.
- Jasinska, E. J. (1990) Root mat ecosystem in an epiphreatic stream: Cabaret Cave Yanchep National Park. Honours Thesis, Environmental Sciences, Murdoch University, Perth, 170pp.
- Jasinska, E. J. (1995). Water Requirements of Cave Dwelling Fauna in the Yanchep Area. In: *Review of proposed changes to environmental conditions. Gnangara Mound Groundwater Resources*. Section 46. Appendix 3. pp 113-119. Water Authority of Western Australia.
- Jasinska, E. J. (1996). *Monitoring of cave stream invertebrates at Yanchep National Park, W.A. Annual report to the Water and Rivers Commission*. Unpublished report. Perth.



- Jasinska E. J. (1997). *Faunae of aquatic root mats in caves of southwestern Australia: origins and ecology*. Unpublished PhD Thesis submitted to the Zoology Department, University of Western Australia.
- Jasinska, E. (2000). Physiochemical and biological analysis of water from potential sources of water at Yanchep National Park for use in rehabilitation of the Crystal Cave amphipod population. Report by E. Jasinska to Department of Conservation and Land Management. Perth, Western Australia.
- Jasinska, E. J., and Knott B. (1991). *Stability of root mat ecosystems in a groundwater stream. Cabaret Cave, Yanchep National Park, Western Australia*. Report to ANPWF, ESP project number 46.
- Jasinska, E. J and Knott, B (2000) Root-driven faunas in cave waters. In: *Ecosystems of the World – Subterranean Ecosystems* (Ed in Chief D. W. Goodall; Eds H. Wilkens, D.C. Culver and W. F. Humphreys), vol. 30, Chpt 15: 287-307. Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam.
- Jasinska, E. J., Knott, B., and McComb, A.J. (1996). Root mats in ground water: a fauna-rich cave habitat. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 15 (4): 508-519.
- Knott, B. and Storey, A.W. (2001). Environmental Monitoring and Investigations – Gngangara Mound. Yanchep Cave Stream Invertebrate Monitoring. Report prepared by Department of Zoology, University of Western Australia for Water and Rivers Commission. Perth, Western Australia.
- Norris, R. H. and Norris, K. R. (1995). The need for biological assessment of water quality: Australian perspective. *Australian Journal of Ecology*. 20; 1-6.
- Western Australian Planning Commission (1996). *North West Corridor Structure Plan* (March 1992 with minor modifications to 1996). Ministry for Planning, Perth. July 1996.

## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX 1

Comparison of physiochemical parameters: Cabaret stream and Carpark Cave, Yanchep (from Jasinska, 1995)

Location and sampling date	Temp °C	Cond mS/cm	Dissolved oxygen % saturation	Na <sup>+</sup> mg/l	Ca <sup>2+</sup> mg/l	Mg <sup>2+</sup> mg/l	K <sup>+</sup> mg/l	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> mg/l
Cabaret stream 11/08/90 ions: 19/06/90	29.0	0.420	79.5	40.6	29.7	5.9	2.1	7.15
Carpark Cave stream 05/08/90	18.5	0.561	84.5	53.5	47.0	6.7	1.9	8.75

## APPENDIX 2

Water quality data from caves containing root mats at Yanchep (from Jasinska, 1996 for all sites except Crystal and Water caves). Survey date November 1996 for all sites except Crystal Cave and Water Cave which were sampled in 2000 (Jasinska 2000; Knott and Storey 2001 respectively).

CAVE	Ca <sup>2+</sup> mg/L	K <sup>+</sup> mg/L	Mg <sup>2+</sup> mg/L	Na <sup>+</sup> mg/L	Cl <sup>-</sup> mg/L	HC O <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> mg/L	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> mg/L	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> mg/L	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> mg/L	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3+</sup> mg/L	E.C. μS/ cm	PH	T °C
Crystal Cave YN1	60	2	4	54	90	153	8	NS	0.59	NS	55.9	7.35	17.3
Water Cave (YN 11)	28	2	4	43	NS	NS	6	NS	0.08	0.01	413	9.42	18.6
(1) Cabaret Cave extension YN 354	35	2	5	45	89	85	8	0.04	0.04	>0.0 1	448	6.70	19.0
(2) Cabaret Cave extension YN 394	35	2	5	45	89	92	8	0.32	0.57	>0.0 1	450	6.80	19.0
(1) YN 99 (Boomerang Gorge)	38	2	6	49	94	100	8	0.03	0.02	>0.0 1	477	7.03	18.1
(2) YN 99 (Boomerang Gorge)	36	2	5	48	91	98	8	0.13	0.04	>0.0 1	470	6.97	18.0
(1) Gilgie Cave	81	3	11	69	150	150	17	0.03	9.4	>0.0 1	834	7.43	18.0
(2) Gilgie Cave	78	3	11	70	150	150	18	0.07	8.1	>0.0 1	821	7.22	17.9
(1) Carpark Cave	46	2	6	50	99	120	9	0.34	0.37	>0.0 1	527	7.21	18.5
(2) Carpark Cave	45	2	6	51	97	120	9	0.08	0.11	>0.0 1	528	7.12	18.4
(1) Twilight Cave YN 194	75	2	8	73	150	170	14	0.06	2.6	>0.0 1	790	7.32	18.2
(2) Twilight Cave YN 194	73	3	8	72	150	170	13	0.06	2.8	>0.0 1	791	6.98	18.2

EC = electrical conductivity (at 25°)

T = temperature of water

NS = not sampled

## APPENDIX 3

Fauna collected from five caves with aquatic root mat habitats and a surface stream in close proximity to YN 99 (Boomerang Gorge stream) in the Yanchep National Park (from Jasinska, 1997). Data for Water Cave are for one sampling period only and are from Knott and Storey (2001).

TAXON	Boomerang Gorge stream	Cabaret Cave	Carpark Cave	Gilgie Cave	Twilight Cave	YN 99 cave	Water Cave	Total occur
<b>INVERTEBRATA</b>								
<b>ACARINA</b>								
<b><u>Acaridida</u></b>								
Acaridae sp.1		1*						1
<b><u>Prostigmata</u></b>								
<b>HALACARIDA</b>								
<i>Lobohalacarus sp. nov. 1 (eyeless)</i>	1	1	1		1	1		5
<i>Soldanellonyx sp. 1</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1		6
<b>HYDRACARINA</b>								
<i>Tillia sp. nov. 1</i>						1*		1
<b>ORIBATIDA</b>								
<i>Hydrozetes sp. 1</i>		1				1		2
<i>Trimalaconothrus sp. 1 (eyeless)</i>	1					1		2
<i>Trhypochthoniellus sp. 1</i>		1*	1	1	1			4
Oribatida sp. 1		1*			1*			2
Oribatida sp. 2				1*				1
Oribatida sp. 3				1*				1
<b>ANNELIDA</b>								
<b><u>Hirudinea</u></b>								
Erpobdellidae sp. 1		1				1		2
<b><u>Oligochaeta</u></b>								
Aeolosomatidae sp. 1				1				1
<i>Aeolosoma sp. 1</i>				1		1		2
<i>Aeolosoma aff. leidyi Cragin</i>					1			1
<i>Aeolosoma tracanvorense Aiyer</i>		1	1	1	1	1	5	
<i>Aeolosoma sp. 2</i>					1			1
<i>Pristina longiseta Ehrenberg</i>		1	1	1		1		4
<i>Pristina aequiseta Bourne</i>						1		1
<i>Pristina sp. 1</i>				1		1		2
<i>Pristina sp. 2</i>			1					1
<i>Pristina sp. 3</i>				1				1
Enchytraeidae sp. 1		1		1	1			3
Enchytraeidae sp. 2				1		1		2
Enchytraeidae sp. 3			1					1
Phreodrilidae sp. 1		1	1		1		1	4

TAXON	Boomerang Gorge stream	Cabaret Cave	Carpark Cave	Gilgie Cave	Twilight Cave	YN 99 cave	Water Cave	Total occur.
Phreodrilidae sp. 2				1				1
<i>Insulodrilus ?lacustris</i> Benham		1	1		1			3
Tubificidae ("group A") sp. 1		1		1		1		3
Tubificidae (?Aulodrilus) sp. 2	1	1**		1				2
<b>CNIDARIA</b>								
<i>Hydra</i> sp. 1						1		1
<i>Hydra</i> sp. 2						1*		1
<b>CRUSTACEA</b>								
<b><u>Amphipoda</u></b>								
<i>Austrochiltonia subtenuis</i> (Sayce)	1	1		1		1		4
Paramelitidae (gen. nov.) sp. nov. 1			1*		1		2	
<i>Hurleya</i> sp. 1		1	1		1			3
<i>Perthia</i> sp. nov. 1		1*			1			2
<i>Perthia</i> sp. nov. 2					1			1
<b><u>Copepoda</u></b>								
CYCLOPOIDA								
<i>Ectocyclops rubescens</i> Brady	1							1
<i>Eucyclops</i> sp. 1	1							1
<i>Eucyclops linderi</i> Lindberg		1**						1
<i>Macrocyclops</i> sp. 1						1*		1
<i>Paracyclops</i> sp. 1	1				1	1		3
<i>Paracyclops</i> sp. 2		1	1	1	1		1	5
HARPACTICOIDA								
<i>Attheyella</i> sp. 1 (largest harpacticoid)	1					1		2
<i>Bryocamptus</i> ( <i>Limnocamptus</i> ) sp. 1		1	1	1	1		4	
<i>Elaphoidella</i> sp. 1	1					1		2
?gen. nov. aff. <i>Elaphoidella</i> / <i>Bryocamptus</i> sp. 1				1	1	1	3	
<i>Parastenocaris</i> sp. 1			1	1	1			3
?gen. nov. aff. <i>Epactophanes</i> sp. 2					1		1	
Harpacticoida sp. 1				1				1
Harpacticoida sp. 2			1		1			2
<b><u>Decapoda: PARASTACIDAE</u></b>								
<i>Cherax quinquecarinatus</i> (Gray)	1	1	1	1	1	1		6
<b><u>Isopoda</u></b>								
Janiridae sp. nov. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
<b><u>Ostracoda</u></b>								
<i>Darwinula</i> sp. 1	1					1		2
<i>Gomphodella</i> aff. <i>maia</i> De Dekker		1	1	1	1	1	5	
<i>Candona</i> sp. 1			1		1			2
Candoniidae sp. 1		1*						1
Cyprididae sp. 1	1							1
<b>ENTOGNATHOUS HEXAPOD sp. 1</b>		1*					1	
<b>INSECTA</b>								

TAXON	Boomeran g Gorge stream	Cabaret Cave	Carpark Cave	Gilgie Cave	Twilight Cave	YN 99 Cave	Water Cave	Total occur.
<b><u>Coleoptera: DYTISCIDAE</u></b>								
<i>Sternopriscus sp. 1</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1		6
<b><u>Diptera (larvae)</u></b>								
CHIRONOMIDAE			1*	1*				2
<i>Corynoneura sp. 1</i>						1		1
<i>Paramerina levidensis (Skuse)</i>	1					1		2
<i>Polypedilum sp. 1</i>		1				1		2
CERATOPOGONIDAE sp. 1	1				1	1		3
<b><u>CULICIDAE</u></b>								
<i>Anopheles sp. 1</i>	1							1
Tipulidae sp. 1		1*		1*	1*			3
<b><u>Trichoptera</u></b>								
Leptoceridae sp. 1		1*						1
<b><u>MOLLUSCA: Gastropoda</u></b>								
Hydrobiidae sp. 1	1			1		1		3
<b><u>NEMATODA</u></b>								
<b><u>Araeolaimida</u></b>								
<i>Aphanolaimus sp. 1</i>		1						1
Araeolaimida sp. 1			1					1
<b><u>Chromadorida</u></b>								
Chromadorida sp. 1		1	1	1	1	1		5
Chromadorida sp. 2			1		1			2
Chromadorinae sp. 1			1					1
<b><u>Dorylaimida</u></b>								
<i>Amphidelus sp. 3</i>		1	1	1				3
<i>Iotonchus sp. 1</i>		1	1	1	1	1		5
<i>Mesodorylaimus sp. 1</i>		1		1				2
<i>Mesodorylaimus sp. 2</i>					1	1		2
Alaimoidea sp. 1		1	1					2
Dorylaimidae sp. 1			1					1
<b><u>Enoplida</u></b>								
<i>Ironus sp. 1</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1		6
<i>Tobrilus sp. 1</i>	1		1					2
<i>Tobrilus sp. 2</i>			1					1
<b><u>Monohysterida</u></b>								
<i>Monohystera sp. 1</i>			1	1	1	1		4
Monohysterida sp. 1			1	1	1			3
<b><u>Tylenchida</u></b>								
<i>Atylenchus sp. 1</i>			1		1			2
<i>Hemicycliophora sp. 1</i>		1	1	1				3
Nematoda sp. 1						1		1
Nematoda sp. 2		1						1
Nematoda sp. 3		1						1
<b><u>PLATYHELMINTHES: Turbellaria</u></b>								
CATENULIDA								

TAXON	Boomeran g Gorge stream	Cabaret Cave	Carpark Cave	Gilgie Cave	Twilight Cave	YN 99 Cave	Water Cave	Total occur.
<i>Stenostomum sp. 1</i>		1	1	1	1	1		5
<i>Stenostomum sp. 2</i>		1	1		1	1		4
MACROSTOMIDA								
<i>Macrostomum sp. 1</i>		1				1		2
<i>Macrostomum sp. 2</i>				<b>1</b>	1			2
<i>Macrostomum sp. 3</i>	1	1				1		3
RHABDOCOELA								
Dalyellioida sp. 1	1		1	1	1	1		5
<i>Gyratrix hermaphroditus Ehrenberg</i>			1		1		2	
<i>Temnocephala sp. 1</i>		1						1
Typhloplanidae sp. 1		1						
<b>ROTIFERA</b>								
Bdelloidea sp. 1	1					1		3
<i>Philodina sp. 1</i>		1	1	<b>1</b>	1	1		5
Rotifera spp.	1			<b>1</b>	1			3
<b>TARDIGRADA: Eutardigrada</b>								
HYPHOSIBIIDAE								
<i>Hypsibius sp. 1</i>			1			1		2
VERTEBRATA								
<b>OSTEICHTHYES: Percichthyidae</b>								
<i>Bostockia porosa Catelnau</i>		1						1

Bold = Gilgie Cave stream fauna collected both before and after the drying.

Rare species (less than four individuals collected in total) are marked with an asterisk.

# Gondwanan relicts

Total occur = Total number of sites at which the species occurred.

For Crystal Cave (YN1), qualitative data on taxa only were obtained between 1990 and 1997. The cave contained amphipods (*Hurleya* sp. nov.), cyclopoid copepods, harpacticoid copepods, crawling mites, oligochaetes and nematodes, no algae (Jasinska 2000). The pool in Crystal Cave is known to contain predominantly the amphipods of *Hurleya* genus (new sp).

**GLOSSARY**

**Aeolian:** brought in by the wind

**Evapotranspiration:** the combined effect of transpiration by plants and direct evaporation

**Macroinvertebrate:** any animal without a backbone that is large enough to be seen with the naked eye.