

Mirning Marine Park

Joint Management Plan 2024

Management Plan 105





Conservation and Parks Commission
Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions 17 Dick Perry Avenue Technology Park, Western Precinct KENSINGTON WA 6151 Phone (08) 9219 9000

dbca.wa.gov.au

© State of Western Australia 2024 November 2024

This joint management plan was prepared by the Conservation and Parks Commission through the agency of the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) in consultation with Mirning Traditional Owners.

Warning: This plan shows photographs of, mentions names, and/or quotes Aboriginal people who may have passed away.

This work is copyright. All traditional and cultural knowledge in this joint management plan is the cultural and intellectual property of Mirning Traditional Owners and is published with the consent of Mirning Traditional Lands Aboriginal Corporation on their behalf. Written consent from Mirning Traditional Lands Aboriginal Corporation must be obtained for use or reproduction of any such materials. Any unauthorised dealing may be in breach of the Commonwealth *Copyright Act 1968*.

NB: The spelling of some of the traditional language words for Country and species of plants and animals may vary.

The recommended reference for this publication is:

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (2024) *Mirning Marine Park management plan 2024* (*Management plan 105*). Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth, Western Australia.

Front cover image and details of painting throughout this plan

"Mirning Coast" by Naomi Bonney represents the connection of our people to the Pirlaya (Sea) and the protected species within them. The Mirning coastline stretches from Caiguna to Eucla on the southern edges of Western Australia and can be seen featured in this painting. Within these waters, represented are the southern right whales, humpback whales, rock lobsters, white sharks, salmon, herring, seals and the sea dragons that are connected through the generations of our Mirning people. The colours used represent the sandy white dunes of Bilbunya, the blues of the salty water, and the warm corals of the Mirning country itself. The Mirning people have protected and been protected by the many species in this piece as our people can be seen painted on the land looking out to the sea as they watch over them.

This document is available in alternative formats on request.

Contents

| Acknowledgments | 5 |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 6 |
| 2. The management plan | |
| 2.1 Purpose of the plan | |
| 2.2 Development of the plan | 9 |
| 2.3 Structure of the plan | 9 |
| 2.4 Vision | |
| 2.5 Strategic objectives | |
| 3. Management setting | 12 |
| 3.1 Definition of area and tenure | |
| 3.2 Legislative context | 14 |
| 3.3 Joint management | 14 |
| 4. Caring for culture | 15 |
| 4.1 Relationship to Country – living cultural landscapes | |
| 4.2 Caring for Country | |
| 4.3 Tjukapa (lore and knowledge) and language | |
| 4.4 Being on Country and customary use | 20 |
| 5. Caring for Country | 21 |
| 5.1 Geomorphology | |
| 5.2 Water and sediment quality (KPI) | 26 |
| 5.3 Seagrass communities | 29 |
| 5.4 Macroalgae and rhodolith communities (KPI) | |
| 5.5 Subtidal soft-sediment communities | |
| 5.6 Filter feeder communities | |
| 5.7 Invertebrates | |
| 5.8 Finfish, sharks and rays (KPI) | |
| 5.9 Seabirds and shorebirds | |
| 5.10 Pinnipeds (KPI) | |
| 5.11 Cetaceans (KPI) | |
| 6. People on Country | |
| 6.1 Mirning economic development opportunities | |
| 6.2 Visitation, tourism and safety | 50 |
| 6.2.1 Visitor safety | 50 |
| 6.3 Recreational fishing | 53 |
| 6.4 Commercial fishing | |

| 6.5 Industry, mining and development proposals | |
|--|----|
| 6.5.1 Development proposals | |
| 6.5.1.1 Proposed Western Green Energy Hub (WGEH) | |
| 6.5.2 Mining exploration and development | |
| 6.5.3 Mooring and anchoring | |
| 7. Understanding Country | |
| 7.1 Research and education | 60 |
| 7.2 Monitoring | 63 |
| 8. Climate change | |
| 9. Plan implementation and operation | |
| 9.1 Customary governance | |
| 9.2 Administration and governance | |
| 9.3 Zoning and permitted activities | |
| 9.3.1 Zoning design | |
| 9.3.2 Sanctuary Zones | 73 |
| 9.3.3 Special Purpose Zones (cultural protection) | 74 |
| 9.3.4 General use zones | 74 |
| 9.3.5 Permitted uses | 74 |
| 9.4 Community stewardship and compliance | 77 |
| 10. Assessing management effectiveness | |
| 10.1 Annual reviews | |
| 10.2 Periodic assessments | |
| 10.3 Revision of the management plan | |
| References | |
| Appendix 1 – Design principles | |
| Appendix 2 – Commercial fisheries operating on the South Coast | |
| | |
| Figure | |

| Figure 1 Structure of the plan | 9 |
|--|----|
| Table | |
| Table 1 Summary of permitted uses for the Mirning Marine Park | 75 |
| Maps | |
| Map 1 Locality of Mirning Marine Park (including native title boundaries) | 7 |
| Map 2 Tenure within and adjacent to Mirning Marine Park | 13 |
| Map 3 Marine Bioregions, bathymetry and Mirning Marine Park | 22 |
| Map 4 Known marine habitats and fauna within and adjacent to Mirning Marine Park | 23 |
| Map 5 Zoning for Mirning Marine Park | 71 |

Acknowledgments

The Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) and the Mirning Traditional Lands Aboriginal Corporation were greatly assisted in the preparation of the management plan by the considerable time and effort put into discussion and meetings by the Community Reference Committee for the Mirning Marine Park.

Many groups and individuals provided valuable input to the CRC through Sector Advisory Groups, out-of-session discussions and individual submissions.

During the reserve planning process, staff from various agencies including the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD); Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS); and local governments also provided valuable information and guidance relating to their areas of responsibility.



Low point near Mundrabilla. Courtesy of Madison Snow/MTLAC

1. Introduction

Located within Mirning Sea Country, on the South Coast of Western Australia, the Mirning Marine Park is a place of exceptional cultural and ecological value (Map 1). Mirning Traditional Owners are among the world's oldest people, existing for generations over thousands of years, protecting the land and sea since time immemorial (Aboriginal Land Services, 2023).

The marine park contains a diverse array of marine habitats and communities including seagrass, macroalgae and reef communities, as well as important foraging and breeding areas for a variety of threatened species. Southern right whales use the sheltered bays for breeding and calving between June and November each year, and Australian sea lions breed and forage in the area.

The area is also highly regarded for its social and economic values. Commercial fishing has been undertaken in the area for generations, providing livelihoods and fresh fish for local communities. Recreational fishing, both from shore and boat, is also highly valued. Visitation to the marine park is limited to the adventurous, as road access and amenities along the coast are limited.

This joint management plan outlines a contemporary management framework to conserve the values of the area. Importantly, the marine park will be jointly managed with Mirning Traditional Owners, represented by Mirning Traditional Lands Aboriginal Corporation (MTLAC) Registered Native Title Body Corporate (RNTBC), through a joint management body (JMB). The marine park will be the first reserve to be formally jointly managed with Mirning people.

The marine park will contribute to the conservation and enhancement of the outstanding cultural and ecological values of Mirning Sea Country. It will allow for multiple uses in recognition of the exceptional conservation status, economic value, and potential of the area. It aims to find a balance between protecting the unique cultural and environmental values whilst supporting recreational and commercial uses, for the benefit of present and future generations, as development and visitation to the area grow.

The establishment of the marine park was part of the Plan for Our Parks initiative, to create five million hectares of new national and marine reserves across Western Australia. The marine park will add a further 196,590 hectares (approximately) to Western Australia's marine reserve system and will contribute to the National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas.



Mirning Traditional Owners at Eucla. Courtesy of Madison Snow/MTLAC



Map 1 – Locality of Mirning Marine Park (including native title boundaries)

2. The management plan

2.1 Purpose of the plan

This joint management plan details how the Mirning Marine Park will be managed by the JMB to enhance nature conservation, preserve and promote culture and heritage and allow for ongoing sustainable recreational and commercial use.

The main outcomes of this joint management plan are listed below:

- The establishment of the marine park as a Class A Reserve over the State waters of Mirning Sea Country, to extend initially to the low-water mark, and broaden to the high-water mark in the future, subject to adjacent terrestrial tenure and addressing native title requirements under the *Commonwealth Native Title Act 1993*.
- The establishment of a joint management body (JMB) for the purposes of section 56A of the *Conservation and Land Management Act 1984* (CALM Act).
- The establishment of a joint management framework for the marine park between DBCA and MTLAC in accordance with the requirements of a Section 56A joint management agreement (JMA) under the CALM Act for Mirning Conservation Estate.
- Promotion and support for the continued exercise of Mirning native title rights, recognising their ongoing connection to, and responsibility for, Sea Country.
- Preservation of Mirning culture and heritage values of the marine park.
- The establishment of a framework to allow for ongoing sustainable shared use.
- Promotion and support to build the capacity of Mirning people and MTLAC RNTBC to progressively take on greater responsibility and accountability for management of the marine park.
- The establishment of seven management programs (management framework, education and interpretation, public participation, patrol and enforcement, management intervention and visitor services, research, and monitoring) with prioritised strategies to help achieve management objectives for the marine park.
- A conservation framework built on a collaboration between Mirning traditional ecological knowledge and western science, guided by a cultural governance structure to ensure the preservation of cultural and ecological functioning of Sea Country, and to manage existing and future pressures.
- Contribution to the fulfilment, support and promotion of Australia's responsibilities under several international conventions, such as the Convention on Biological Diversity, the International Union for the Conservation of Nature's Protected Areas Program and the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.
- Contribution to the National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas.
- The continuation and enhancement of cultural, recreational and commercial uses for the benefit and enjoyment of Mirning people, the community, and visitors.

2.2 Development of the plan

This management plan has been prepared by DBCA in consultation with MTLAC, DPIRD, and the South Coast community and stakeholders through a ministerially appointed Community Reference Committee and various sector advisory groups.

This joint management plan has been prepared in conjunction with the joint management plans for the Mamang Maambakoort Marine Park and Wudjari Marine Park and the management plan for the Western Bight Marine Park, to ensure consistency and complementarity of management arrangements across the neighbouring marine parks and Sea Countries.

2.3 Structure of the plan

This joint management plan sets a vision for the area and identifies key ecological, cultural and socio-economic values and the pressures and potential pressures acting on them. It provides strategic direction and applies seven management programs to be implemented through management strategies. It is an outcome-based plan that provides a robust framework to support adaptive management, which sets targets and performance measures to track progress against the stated management objectives over the life of the management plan. The key components of the management framework are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Structure of the plan

The management plan will guide management of the marine park for 10 years, or until a new management plan is prepared under the *Conservation and Land Management Act 1984* (CALM Act). Any amendments required during the life of the plan require a statutory public comment period and approvals from the Minister for Environment, Minister for Fisheries and Minister for Mines and Petroleum.

DBCA and MTLAC will have the primary responsibility for coordinating and implementing the management of the marine park in accordance with the management plan.

As the lead agency for the management of the State's fish and aquatic resources, DPIRD is responsible for leading, coordinating and undertaking management strategies relating to these resources.

In the case of overlapping or bordering management responsibilities, or mutual interests with other departments or organisations, collaborative operational plans and memoranda of understanding (MoUs) will be developed to ensure efficient and effective delivery of management arrangements, for example, with DPIRD and Parks Australia.

The key terms used in this plan are defined below.

| Terminology | Description |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Vision | The long-term aspiration for the marine park. |
| Strategic objectives | The broad direction required to achieve the vision. |
| Values | The cultural, ecological, biocultural, social and economic features and activities which are important to the area. |
| Pressures | Anything which affects or has the potential to affect the condition of a value. Pressures can be anthropogenic or natural. |
| Management objectives | Identify what the primary aims of management will be. |
| Management strategies | Provide direction on how the management objectives will be achieved. The prioritisation of the management strategies is based on the best available information and may change during the life of the plan. |
| Management programs | The seven categories across which management occurs (management frameworks, education and interpretation, public participation, patrol and enforcement, management intervention and visitor services, research, and monitoring). This ensures a coordinated and prioritised approach is taken to implement strategies. The management programs are consistent across all marine parks in the State and are the basis for budgeting and annual reporting. |
| Key performance indicators (KPIs) | Assigned to key values to measure overall management effectiveness. These key values reflect the highest conservation and management priorities and form an important part of the audit process (see section 10). Each KPI has three components: performance measures, targets and reporting requirements. |
| Performance measures | Performance measures are indicators of management effectiveness in achieving the marine park's objectives and targets. |
| Management targets | The long-term targets provide specific benchmarks to assess the success or otherwise of management strategies within the life of the plan. For the purposes of this management plan, 'significant change' refers to a statistically significant change beyond the limits of natural variability. Specific limits for each ecological value will be determined as long-term monitoring datasets further develop. |
| Monitoring | Monitoring will be carried out to assess the condition of values in the marine park, with the most significant values being prioritised for monitoring. If the condition of a value has significantly decreased as a result of human activities in the area, adaptive management will be carried out. |
| Responsibilities | Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Where other organisations are required to support implementation of a management strategy, their name is listed in brackets next to the strategy. When an agency is listed as a support the agency will be engaged, with the level of involvement to be determined once the project is in development. Where an agency or body is required to take a lead role in strategy implementation, their name (or acronym) is in bold. |

2.4 Vision

The vision statement represents the aspirations for the conservation and protection of the cultural and ecological values and sustainable use of the marine park, and will provide guidance for ongoing management.

"Working together to care for our shared coastal and marine environment in ways that preserve, enhance, protect and celebrate all cultural, ecological and community values, and our shared knowledge, history and heritage for our families and future generations".

2.5 Strategic objectives

The strategic objectives of this plan provide more specific direction for the long-term realisation of the vision for the marine park.

Cultural values: Protect and conserve the cultural values and heritage of Mirning Traditional Owners.

Ecological values: Enhance, maintain and conserve marine biodiversity and ecological integrity.

Socio-economic values: Provide equitable and sustainable opportunities for recreational and commercial activities by allowing communities to safely utilise the marine environment as a source of income, food and enjoyment.

Research and monitoring: To encourage collaborative research and monitoring to guide, adapt and improve management.



Mirning Coast. Painting by Naomi Bonney

3. Management setting

3.1 Definition of area and tenure

Lying in the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) Eucla mesoscale bioregion, the Mirning Marine Park is located in the Goldfields–Esperance region of Western Australia. It covers approximately 196,590 hectares adjacent to the Shire of Dundas in Mirning Country. The western boundary of the marine park extends due south from the low water mark north-east of Point Dover where the adjoining Mirning and Ngadju native title determination boundaries meet, to the limit of Western Australia's coastal waters. The eastern boundary of the marine park extends southerly from the low water mark at the Western Australian–South Australian border, to the limit of Western Australia's coastal waters.

The joint management plan sets the framework for the marine park to include intertidal areas to the high-water mark in the future, subject to adjacent terrestrial tenure and addressing native title requirements under the Commonwealth *Native Title Act 1993*. The initial reservation of the marine park does not include the intertidal area, extending only to the low-water mark. Subject to adjacent tenure, and if an Indigenous Land Use Agreement is reached between the State and MTLAC, future reservation actions can reserve the park to the high-water mark. Adjacent conservation areas include Nuytsland Nature Reserve and the Commonwealth Twilight Cove Marine Park. The outer boundary for the marine park and surrounding tenure is shown in Map 2.

The marine park is gazetted as a Class A marine park and vested in the Conservation and Parks Commission (CPC). Class A reservation provides the highest security of tenure, requiring the approval of Parliament to amend or cancel a reserve's purpose or significantly alter its boundary. However, the zoning scheme and management plan can be amended after a public consultation period with the approval of the Minister for Environment, Minister for Fisheries, and Minister for Mines and Petroleum.





Map 2 – Tenure within and adjacent to Mirning Marine Park

3.2 Legislative context

The marine park will be managed in accordance with the provisions of the CALM Act, the *Fish Resources Management Act 1994* (FRM Act), the Conservation and Land Management Regulations 2002 (CALM Regulations), the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act), DBCA policy and other relevant legislation.

The marine park will help to fulfil Australia's responsibilities and commitments under several international conventions, including the Convention on Biological Diversity, and will support the International Union for the Conservation of Nature's (IUCN) Protected Areas Program. The marine park will also contribute to Australia's National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas by conserving important marine ecosystems and protecting marine biodiversity through a comprehensive, adequate and representative system of marine reserves.

3.3 Joint management

In recognition of the significant cultural values, Mirning people's ongoing connection to Country, and their obligations to care for it, this marine park is to be jointly managed. In the context of reserves established under the CALM Act, joint management is a partnership between Traditional Owners and DBCA to work together to care for and manage a defined area of Country or Sea Country.

Joint management of the marine park is an ongoing and adaptive process which will require MTLAC and DBCA to actively work together, share decision making and undertake management activities collaboratively. Joint management provides the structure to bring together appropriate resources, combine cultural and ecological knowledge, and implement and develop innovative landscape level conservation practices to achieve the management objectives set out in this plan.

A JMA sets out how Traditional Owners and DBCA will come together to provide recommendations about how the conservation estate is managed, including how to protect cultural sites and values. The JMA enables the establishment of a joint management body (JMB) with representatives from MTLAC and DBCA to manage the marine park in accordance with the agreement, joint management plan and the CALM Act.

The JMB will oversee management of the marine park, make management decisions, provide strategic input into how management strategies are implemented, monitor implementation of the joint management plan and provide advice in accordance with the agreement and the CALM Act. DPIRD would be invited to present on fisheries management matters to the JMB, as required.

4. Caring for culture

Strategic objective: Protect and conserve the cultural values and heritage of Mirning Traditional Owners.

"The ongoing connection between the Mirning people and our land and Sea Country was first laid down through the Tjukapa (lore) and songlines during creation, thousands of years ago." Les Schultz, March 2023)

Mirning Traditional Owners have been practising their culture for thousands of years and this is recognised through native title rights determined in 2017. For Mirning Traditional Owners, Mirning Country and Sea Country is a sacred place full of energy, life and healing that they have cared for since ancient times. Mirning Elders share stories of the land from long before the last sea-level rise through to the present day. Being connected to, and being on Country, is culturally significant for the Mirning people.

DBCA and MTLAC will jointly manage the marine park and formalise this partnership via a joint management agreement.

There are likely to be many cultural sites in and around the marine park. All Aboriginal heritage sites, registered and unregistered, are protected under the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 1972* and it is an offence to alter an Aboriginal site unless permission is granted in accordance with the Act.

If management actions may disturb an Aboriginal site, an assessment is required before the operation proceeds. DBCA will work with the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) and MTLAC to ensure Aboriginal sites are not damaged. DBCA will comply with the State Government's cultural heritage due diligence guidelines when actions are proposed.

While the joint management plan focuses primarily on the marine environment, the interconnectedness of the land and sea is fundamental to how the Mirning people care for Country. For Mirning people everything is connected (community, culture, Country and heritage). To inform management arrangements in the joint management plan, the management of cultural values are addressed in this section under the following themes:

- relationship to Country (living cultural landscape)
- caring for Country
- Tjukapa (lore and knowledge) and language
- being on Country and customary activities
- customary governance see section 9.1 (Aboriginal Land Services, 2023).



Twilight Cove, Nuytsland Nature Reserve. Photo – DBCA

4.1 Relationship to Country – living cultural landscapes

| Summary of manageme | nt arrangements for relationship to Country – living c | ultural landscape | S |
|---|--|------------------------------|------------------|
| Requirements | Incorporating Mirning spiritual connection, associated values and meanings relating to the marine park's landscapes and seascapes into management planning and decision making. Recognising the cultural landscape as a living entity, being the product of change, dynamic patterns and evolving interrelationships between past ecosystems, history and cultures. Resourcing initiatives associated with conserving, protecting and promoting relationship to Country and living cultural landscapes. | | |
| Pressures Management | Limited access (i.e. vehicle access) to Country to undertake customary management activities due to existing legislation and tenure. Artificial State and Federal government management boundaries for land and waters dividing the cultural landscape and impacting on holistic management. Lack of knowledge and understanding within the broader community about the spiritual connection between Traditional Owners and Country, language and heritage. Cultural values and landscapes face a range of threats including commercial and recreational fishing and uncontrolled access. | | |
| objectives | connection to Country as integral to the management | of the marine parl | k. Priority |
| | | program | |
| Management strategies Joint management | Ensure that local Mirning spiritual connection, cultural values, knowledge and lore is embedded in management decision making. Support MTLAC to prepare and deliver cultural | Management framework | H |
| partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies | awareness training to local businesses, including commercial tour operators. | interpretation | ongoing |
| are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their | 3. Ensure that information provided on Mirning culture and heritage is appropriate, in accordance with indigenous cultural intellectual property, and approved by the MTLAC prior to public release. | Management framework | H and ongoing |
| hame is in bold. | 4. Ensure that Mirning Traditional Owners have a primary and active role in communication about their culture and heritage. | Education and interpretation | H and ongoing |
| | Where appropriate, ensure management arrangements (and research and monitoring activities) are consistent with Mirning cultural lores and protocols. | Management framework | As required |
| | 6. Support on-Country trips by younger and older generations of Mirning Traditional Owners to maintain connection to Country and support cross-generational exchange of cultural information and knowledge. | Management framework | Ongoing |
| | 7. Develop and distribute community education materials to the public, including commercial operators, about cultural awareness and Mirning connection to Country. | Education and interpretation | Н |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | To be determined in consultation with Traditional Own | ers and the MTLAC | C |
| Target | | | |
| Reporting | | | |

4.2 Caring for Country

| Summary of management arrange | ements for caring for Country | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Requirements Pressures | Marine park management guided by the cultural values and aspirations of local Mirning people. Involvement of local Mirning people in all levels of management plan implementation, from on-Country implementation to governance. Sustainable management of cultural, land, sea and natural resources by Traditional Owners. | | |
| | Illegal fishing. Climate change – coastal erosion. Unsustainable fishing practices. | | |
| Management objectives | Establish effective, meaningful and collabora Traditional Owners to protect heritage values enhance the resilience of the land and Sea C with the marine park. | tive partnerships v s, conserve biodive Country values asso | vith ersity and ociated |
| | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Support and develop learning and career pathways for Mirning people to look after their land and Sea Country in a positive and effective way. Develop interpretive signage, including at major access points, and other educational materials featuring Mirning language and perspectives relating to caring for the marine park. Establish collaborative partnerships with | Management framework Education and interpretation Management | н н |
| | neighbouring land and sea managers (pastoralists, local government, other Traditional Owner groups and so on) to better manage values and threats. 4. Leverage funding to undertake investigations/surveys to identify and record culturally important areas over Mirning Sea Country with a focus on the coastal areas south of Madura and identify sites that require additional protection and management. | framework Research | Μ |
| | Support Mirning Traditional Owners to access, identify, protect and maintain cultural heritage sites in the park (in particular identified high priority, highly significant and/or sensitive sites). Develop and implement tools to measure and monitor the condition of Mirning culturally significant sites, including the effects of visitor and management activities, and implement management actions to address issues where required. | Management framework Monitoring | H H and ongoing |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | To be determined in consultation with Tradit MTLAC. | ional Owners and | the |
| Target | | | |
| Reporting | | | |

4.3 Tjukapa (lore and knowledge) and language

| Summary of manageme | nt arrangements for Tjukapa (lore and knowledge) an | d language | |
|--|---|--|---------------------|
| Requirements | Embedding Tjukapa and language through all levels of marine park management. Involving Mirning people in all levels of management plan implementation. | | |
| Pressures | Lack of knowledge and understanding within the broader community about the spiritual connection between Traditional Owners and Country. Limited value placed on traditional ecological knowledge by contemporary western science. | | |
| Management objectives | For traditional and contemporary cultural knowledge a to and guide the implementation of the management | ind language to be plan. | e central |
| | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies | Embed Mirning language and cultural knowledge into all aspects of marine park interpretation and education initiatives and provide perspectives on how to enjoy the marine environment in a way that maintains ecological balance. Provide opportunities that promote and support inclusion of Mirning Traditional Owner knowledge | Education and interpretation Management framework | Ongoing |
| are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | in marine park decision-making. 3. Manage and protect Mirning cultural values within the marine park. 4. Include Mirning place names in management plans, parks, zoning, interpretive material and signage and other communication products. | Management framework Management framework | H As required |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | To be determined in consultation with Traditional Own | ers and the MTLA | C. |
| Target | | | |
| Reporting | | | |



Dumpling squid. Courtesy of Ocean Imaging

4.4 Being on Country and customary use

| Summary of management arrangements for being on Country and customary use | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Requirements | Community understanding of and respect for the benefits of Mirning people practising culture on Country in the marine park. | | |
| Pressures | Limited opportunities to undertake customary management activities on Country. Lack of government officers' understanding of customary rights, in particular customary fishing. Lack of knowledge and understanding for customary activities within the broader community. Inadequate involvement of Mirning people in decision making about coastal management issues. Inadequate resourcing to manage Country. | | |
| objectives | For Mirning people to be empowered to undertake customary activities on Country; and to educate the broader community about the cultural values of and customary rights in the marine park. | | |
| | | Management | Priority |
| | | program | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Ensure that special purpose zones (cultural protection) are established and maintained in a culturally appropriate way. Establish a caring for Country program to ensure there are Mirning rangers on land and Sea Country to manage and protect cultural and ecological values of the marine park. Design and implement, in collaboration with Mirning Traditional Owners, a monitoring program to assess the effectiveness of zoning and other management strategies to protect cultural and ecological values. Develop education materials to positively foster growing community pride in Mirning culture and customary access rights. Support Mirning Traditional Owners to continue to carry out customary activities, including | Management framework Management framework Monitoring Education and interpretation Management framework | H H H H and ongoing |
| | custornary fishing in the marine park. [DPIRD] | | |
| Performance measures | To be determined in consultation with Traditional Own | ers and the MTI A | C. |
| Target | | | <u>.</u> |
| Reporting | | | |



5. Caring for Country

Strategic objective: Enhance, maintain and conserve marine biodiversity and ecological integrity.

Ecological values are the physical, geological, chemical and biological characteristics of an area. These values are significant in terms of their biodiversity (representativeness, rareness, or uniqueness) and ecosystem integrity roles. Ecological values also have a social significance because many social values are functionally dependent on the maintenance of ecological values. This section includes information on specific ecological values, and the objectives, strategies and targets for managing them. These specific strategies complement the overarching strategies that apply to many of the marine park's values, particularly in the case of education and interpretation, research and monitoring.

The IMCRA is a framework developed for classifying Australia's marine environment into ecological bioregions at a scale useful for regional planning. These bioregions are used as the basis for the development of a National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas (NRSMPA). The marine park lies within the Eucla IMCRA bioregion (Map 3).





Map 3 – Marine Bioregions, bathymetry and Mirning Marine Park



Map 4 - Known marine habitats and fauna within and adjacent to Mirning Marine Park.

5.1 Geomorphology

Oceanographic processes play a major part in shaping the geomorphology of the coast, and together with the morphology of the seabed, contribute to influencing the distribution of plants and animals. For example, exposure to wave energy appears to determine the distribution of unconsolidated sediments and is the most useful regional scale predictor of rhodolith (coralline algae) and seagrass habitats (Ryan et al., 2007).

The coastal geomorphology of the marine park transitions from limestone cliff and mixed sand and reef dominant areas in the west to sandy shores, with large areas of sea wrack in the east. The impressive 80m high Baxter Cliffs, at the western end of the marine park, extend almost 200km along the coast from Point Culver (within the Western Bight Marine Park) to Twilight Cove.

The beaches along the open coast of the marine park are exposed to heavy surf and generally consist of coarse sands. Ecological communities on sandy beaches rely primarily on marine-based nutrient sources (McLachlan & Brown, 2006). Wrack is prominent on many beaches within the marine park and provides important nutrients to the generally low-productivity habitats of sandy beaches (Ince et al., 2007).

Beaches are highly valued for coastal recreation and are significant for the lifestyle of South Coast residents and visitors.

Environmental impacts on the geological/geomorphological values of the South Coast are understood to be minimal and include localised disturbance from coastal development within and surrounding the main coastal towns in the region. Threats to the geomorphology of the marine park include more severe storms and erosion due to climate change, marine debris, physical disturbance from recreational activities such as four-wheel driving and coastal development. Proposed developments likely to have a significant impact on the environment would be referred to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) and may be subject to the environmental impact assessment requirements of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).



The mobile dunes at Eucla. Courtesy of MTLAC

| Summary of manageme | nt arrangements for geomorphology | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Current status | The geomorphology of the marine park is generally undisturbed. | | |
| Pressures | Physical disturbance (such as trampling, 4WD access). Marine debris. Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Construction of general marine infrastructure (such as navigation markers, jetties). Potential ground-disturbing mining exploration/development. | | |
| Current major pressure | Climate change | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure that the geomorphology of the marine park by human activities. | is not significantly | affected |
| | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Undertake and/or support research to characterise the geomorphological features and processes in the marine park. Monitor the condition of geomorphology and the pressures acting on it within the marine park. Educate users about the ecological importance of the marine park's geomorphology and appropriate access to protect sensitive coastal landforms. Ensure advice relating to coastal and offshore development activities in the area with the potential to disturb the geomorphology of the marine park are provided to the relevant statutory authority as part of environmental impact assessment and approvals processes. Ensure effective management of commercial and recreational access and use of coastal landforms adjacent to the reserves through liaison with coastal land managers. | Research Monitoring Education and interpretation Management framework Management intervention and visitor services | As required L L As required H and ongoing |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include:area of coastal disturbancearea of seabed disturbance. | | |
| Target | No significant change of seabed structural complexity as a result of human activity in the park except for approved development sites. No significant change in coastal landform structure as a result of human activity in the park except for approved development sites. | | |
| Reporting | 5-10 years | | |



5.2 Water and sediment quality (KPI)

Water quality in the marine park is essential to maintain healthy ecosystems and support unique species that depend on the clear waters of the South Coast. Water quality in the marine park is strongly influenced by oceanographic processes including water temperature, currents, wind and wave action. As there are no estuaries in this area, there is extremely low inputs from rainfall, resulting in very low and intermittent freshwater input into the marine environment (SCRMPWG, 2010).

There are potential risks to water quality in the South Coast region from ship-sourced pollution incidents (i.e., oil spills) and operational related impacts (i.e., product spill and the release of anti-fouling biocides).

Potential sources of marine pollution and other pressures on water quality in the Mirning Marine Park include:

- marine debris and litter
- ship-sourced pollution incidents (i.e., oil spills) and operational related impacts (i.e., product spill and the release of anti-fouling biocides)
- wastewater from aquaculture projects which can potentially contain contaminants, pathogens and/or high levels of nutrients if not managed appropriately (noting there are no existing or proposed aquaculture projects within the marine park)
- dredging and dredge spoil disposal
- habitat degradation due to coastal developments.

Water quality in the marine park is believed to be relatively unaffected by marine pollution.

Sewage discharge from vessels has the potential to increase nutrient levels and to cause health problems due to elevated bacterial levels. The impact of sewage discharge from vessels will vary considerably from place to place and seasonally as a consequence of environmental factors (such as water circulation) and human usage patterns (such as number of vessels). *The Strategy for Management of Sewage Discharge from Vessels into the Marine Environment* (Department of Transport, 2009) outlines guidelines for marine sewage discharge in Western Australian waters.

In the marine park, the following sewage discharge scheme is recommended, however during the life of the management plan it may be amended if considered necessary:

- sanctuary zones and special purpose zones will be 'zone 1' (no discharge areas).
- waters in general use zones within 500m seaward of the low-water mark will be 'zone 2' (discharge only using approved treatment systems).
- waters in general use zones from 500m seaward of the low water mark will be 'zone 3' (open discharge areas).

Development and infrastructure proposals with the potential to impact on sediment and water quality in Western Australia are subject to assessment under the EP Act. The EPA can set conditions for sediment quality, which are subsequently regulated by DWER.

| Summary of management arrangements for water and sediment quality | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------|--|
| Current status | Water and sediment quality within the marine park are believed to be in generally excellent condition. | | | |
| Pressures | Introduction of nutrients and toxicants from wastewater and from potential aquaculture operations. Sewage and ballast water discharge from vessels. Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Construction of general marine infrastructure (such as navigation markers and jetties). Potential sand mining dredging and other sand bypassing works. Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spills) | | | |
| Current major pressure | Climate change.Marine debris/litter. | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure the water and sediment quality of the marine impacted by marine debris and human activities. | e park is not signifi | cantly | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies | 1. Facilitate long-term management by accumulating spatial and temporal information on impacts to water quality from various activities in the reserves. | Research | Ongoing | |
| partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If | 2. Establish a collaborative approach with adjacent land managers and relevant authorities in seeking to minimise marine debris inputs with the potential to affect the marine park's water quality. | Management framework | Н | |
| agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | 3. Educate users about regulations on boat sewage disposal and enforce controls on the discharge of sewage from vessels in the marine park. | Education and interpretation | Н | |
| | 4. As part of on-Country work, patrol the shoreline and waters of the marine park for marine debris and remove and record as necessary, and seek support of partners and marine park users to do the same. | Management intervention and visitor services | As required | |
| | 5. Develop an education campaign to encourage visitors to care for and clean the marine park, keeping all rubbish with them, and cleaning up litter when they can. | Education and interpretation | Н | |
| | 6. Support and/or promote research to establish the origin of litter, litter surveys, beach clean-ups and other waste minimisation strategies for marine debris/plastic within the marine park. | Research | H and ongoing | |
| | 7. Educate recreational fishers on responsible fishing, including ways to minimise gear loss and appropriate rubbish disposal. [DPIRD] | Education and interpretation | М | |
| | 8. Undertake and/or support research on water and sediment quality in the marine park, including establishing baselines for water and sediment quality, and understanding natural variability. | Research | Н | |
| | 9. Monitor the condition of water and sediment quality within the marine park. | Monitoring | Н | |
| | 10. Work with relevant departments, users of the marine park and stakeholders to address sources of marine debris in the marine park. | Management intervention and visitor services | Н | |

| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: sea temperature nutrient concentration toxicant concentration pathogen concentration marine debris mass. |
|----------------------|---|
| Target | No significant increase in nutrient, toxicant and pathogen concentrations. Decrease in marine debris/litter throughout the park. |
| Reporting | 3-5 years |



Eucla Jetty. Courtesty of Madison Snow/MTLAC

5.3 Seagrass communities

Seagrass communities are important benthic primary producers which provide many ecosystem services, including supporting biological productivity, carbon sequestration, fisheries, improving water quality and stabilising sandbanks (Nordlund et al., 2016). Seagrasses are influenced by changes in environmental conditions associated with water movement, nutrient availability, light and temperature (Bearham et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2007). In the marine park, they are important for providing structurally complex habitat for a diverse range of finfish and invertebrates.

Seagrasses in the marine park are highly diverse and include endemic and rare deep-water species. Seagrass species within the marine park include *Posidonia sinuosa, P. australis, P. denhartogii, P. coriacea, P. ostenfeldii, P. kirkmanii, Amphibolis griffithii, A. antarctica, Halophila* spp. and *Zostera tasmanica* (Kendrick et al., 2005). Of approximately 72 seagrass species known worldwide, almost a third are restricted to southern Australia (Short et al., 2011; Carruthers et al., 2007). Approximately half of the 19 seagrass species found in the southwest coast of Australia are endemic to the area (Carruthers et al., 2007; Kendrick et al., 2005; Kuo & McComb, 1989). Due to the exceptionally clear water of the South Coast, seagrasses can grow at depths below 40m (Kirkman & Kuo, 1990; Kilminster et al. 2018), with evidence of sparse *Halophila* spp., *Zostera tasmanica* and P. *ostenfeldii* complex growing in deep (42m) protected areas adjoining islands (Kendrick et al., 2005). Seagrass meadows growing in temperate zones, such as the South Coast, may also act as thermal refugia populations for those that are impacted by marine heatwaves and rising seawater temperatures further north.

While no seagrass species are listed as threatened in Western Australia, there is one listed priority ecological community—*Posidonia australis* complex seagrass meadows [Priority 3(i)] (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2013). The priority ecological community consists of the assemblage of flora, fauna and micro-organisms associated with the seagrass meadows (dominated by *Posidonia australis*).

Seagrass (and macroalgae, see section 5.4) that detaches from reefs often accumulates on the shore, seabed and water surface where it is known as wrack. When wrack is washed onto shorelines it supports the structure and function of ecologically significant sandy beaches and surf zones by stabilising dynamic coastlines, supporting coastal food webs and nutrient breakdown flowing back into the surf zone (Hyndes et al. 2022). Furthermore, wrack contains large invertebrate communities on which surf zone fish and birds prey (Muhling & Ryan, 2002). Consequently, the removal of wrack from the marine park will be strictly managed and only considered where public access or safety is significantly impeded.

Seagrasses are susceptible to increased nutrient levels, which can cause an increase in epiphytic loads on seagrass leaves and/or increased phytoplankton in the water column that reduces the amount of light available for photosynthesis. Other potential threats to seagrasses in the marine park include climate change (for example, extreme climatic events, gradual warming), unregulated anchoring and the construction of marine and coastal infrastructure.

Seagrasses are protected throughout the State under the BC Act and the FRM Act. Development proposals that may impact on seagrass communities are subject to an environmental impact assessment under the requirements of the EP Act.

| Summary of manageme | nt arrangements for seagrass communities | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Current status | Seagrasses are generally in good condition within the marine park. | | | |
| Pressures | Unregulated mooring and anchoring that causes scouring in seagrass dominated areas. Construction of general marine infrastructure (such as navigation markers and jetties). Commercial and recreational fishing (such as damage to habitat). Potential ground-disturbing mining exploration/development. Discharge of toxicants and physical and chemical stressors (such as sediment and nutrients from outflows). Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Sewage discharge from vessels. Pests/disease. Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spill). Potential sand mining, dredging and other sand bypassing works. | | | |
| Current major pressure | Climate change | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure seagrass communities are not significantly impacted by human activities. To improve understanding of the seagrass communities in the marine park to facilitate long-term management. | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Undertake and/or support research to characterise the diversity, density, abundance and distribution of seagrass communities in the marine park. Monitor the condition of seagrass communities and the pressures acting on them within the marine park and take remedial action if required. Educate users of the important ecological role of seagrass communities and the potential impacts of human activities, particularly vessel mooring, and nutrient and pollution inputs on these communities, and the biodiversity values of wrack. [DPIRD] Liaise with adjacent landowners and regulatory authorities to provide authorisation for wrack removal where required for public access or safety. | Research Monitoring Education and interpretation Management intervention and visitor services | H M M As required | |
| | | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include:percent covercommunity composition. | | | |
| Target | No significant decline in total cover as a result of human activity. No significant change in community composition as a result of human activity. | | | |
| Reporting | 3-5 years | | | |

5.4 Macroalgae and rhodolith communities (KPI)

The southern coast of Australia has one of the highest levels of species richness and endemism of macroalgae in the world, with around 1,000 species of benthic macroalgae identified in the region, of which 62 percent are endemic to the South Coast (Entwisle & Huisman, 1998; Kerswell, 2006; McClatchie et al., 2006; Phillips, 2001; Wormersley, 1990).

The distribution and abundance of macroalgae species on the South Coast is not recorded in detail, however, a broad picture has been formed for the South Coast. Golden kelp (*Ecklonia radiata*) often forms dense beds in shallow coastal waters, and is the dominant algae along the South Coast (CALM, 1994; McClatchie et al., 2006). Other common brown algae include *Cystoceira, Scytothallia, Cystophora* and *Hormosira banksii*. Conspicuous green algae include various species of *Caulerpa*, while red algae are represented by several temperate species (CALM, 1994). The Leeuwin and Capes currents strongly influence the distribution of macroalgae along the south-western and southern coasts of Australia (McClatchie et al., 2006).

Rhodoliths are unattached, marine, benthic algal nodules of various sizes and origins that are predominantly accreted by crustose coralline red algae precipitating calcium carbonate within their cell walls (Foster, 2001). Rhodolith beds are a unique substrate and functional habitat which support a high biodiversity of associated organisms, including macroalgae, filter-feeding communities and fish (Kendrick et al., 2005). Although little is known about offshore habitats in this area, extensive, dense rhodolith beds are likely to occur on the West Roe Terrace, which runs from just east of the South Australian border to Israelite Bay (James et al., 2001).

Macroalgae and rhodolith communities are susceptible to several impacts including heatwaves and warming ocean temperatures and ocean acidification due to climate change. They can also be impacted by physical disturbance such as from anchoring, hydrodynamic forces (such as swell), infrastructure and some fishing methods (Burnett et al. 2022).

Macroalgae and rhodoliths are protected throughout the State under the BC Act and the FRM Act. In addition, development proposals that may impact on macroalgal communities are subject to an environmental impact assessment by the EPA.

| Summary of management arrangements for macroalgae and rhodolith communities | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Current status | Macroalgae and rhodolith communities are generally in good condition within the marine park. | | | | | |
| Pressures | Unregulated mooring and anchoring that cause scouring. Construction of general marine infrastructure (such as navigation markers and jetties). Commercial and recreational fishing (such as damage to habitat). Potential ground-disturbing mining exploration/development. Discharge of toxicants and physical and chemical stressors (i.e., sediment and nutrients from outflows). Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Sewage discharge from vessels. Pests/disease. Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spill). Potential sandmining, dredging and other sand bypassing works. | | | | | |
| Current major pressure | Climate change | | | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure the diversity, cover and condition of macroalgae and rhodolith communities are not significantly impacted by human activity in the marine park. | | | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | | | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. | 1. Undertake and/or support research to characterise the diversity, community composition, condition and extent of macroalgae and rhodolith communities in the marine park. Support research to increase resilience of these communities. | Research | H | | | |
| Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their | 2. Monitor the condition, diversity and cover of macroalgae and rhodolith communities and the pressures acting on them within the marine park and take remedial action if required. | Monitoring | Н | | | |
| name is in bold. | Educate marine park users about the ecological importance of the marine park's macroalgae and rhodolith communities and the potential detrimental impacts of physical disturbance on these communities. | Education and interpretation | М | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: percent cover community composition macroalgae density (canopy forming species). | | | | | |
| Target | No significant decline in cover of macroalgae and rhodoliths as a result of human activity. No significant decline in density of macroalgae as a result of human activity. No significant change in community composition of macroalgae and rhodoliths as a result of human activity. | | | | | |
| Reporting | 3-5 years | | | | | |

5.5 Subtidal soft-sediment communities

Soft-sediment habitats typically occur in sheltered areas where sediments formed by the erosion of cliff faces, limestone and skeletal fossil fragments in sedimentary rocks build up due to the high energy of the South Coast (Sutton & Day, 2021).

Soft-sediment environments within the marine park are known to host distinct infauna and epifauna communities (Sutton & Day, 2021), however, there is little information available on the condition of these communities within the marine park. Some species important to commercial and recreational fishing, such as the southern saucer scallop (*Ylistrum balloti*), tend to occur in pockets of high abundance within soft-sediment environments.

Threats to subtidal soft-sediment communities include climate change, unregulated mooring and anchoring, the construction of marine infrastructure, commercial fishing (particularly bottom trawling) and nutrient and toxicant input. Due to the low level of industrial and coastal development in the marine park and limited size and restrictions on the South Coast Trawl Fishery it is likely that these communities are in relatively undisturbed condition (SCRMPWG, 2010).

| Summary of management arrangements for subtidal soft-sediment communities | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Current status | Limited information is available, however, subtidal soft-sediment communities within the marine park are believed to be in generally good condition. | | | | | | |
| Pressures | Climate change. Construction of general marine infrastructure (such as navigation markers and jetties). Commercial and recreational fishing (such as damage to habitat). Potential ground-disturbing mining exploration/development. Discharge of toxicants and physical and chemical stressors (such as sediment and nutrients from inlet outflow). Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Sewage discharge from vessels. Pests/disease. Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spill). Potential sand mining, dredging and other sand bypassing works. | | | | | | |
| Current major pressure | None currently identified. | | | | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure the species diversity and biomass of subtidal soft-sediment communities within the marine park are not significantly impacted by human activities. | | | | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | | | | |
| Management strategies | 1. Undertake and/or support research to better characterise the flora, fauna and distribution of subtidal soft-sediment communities within the marine park | Research | Н | | | | |
| partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies | Monitor the condition of subtidal soft-sediment communities and the pressures acting on them within the marine park [DPIRD] | Monitoring | М | | | | |
| are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Educate users about the important ecological role of subtidal soft-sediment communities and the potential impacts that human activities have on these communities. [DPIRD] | Education and interpretation | L | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include:diversityspecies abundance. | | | | | | |
| Target | No significant decline in diversity or species abundance as a result of human activity. | | | | | | |
| Reporting | 3-5 years | | | | | | |

5.6 Filter feeder communities

Filter feeder communities are comprised of species such as sponges, bryozoans, sea squirts and sea anemones. They are generally located in areas with strong water currents and hard underwater surfaces. Limited information exists on filter feeder communities found within the marine park. It is likely that filter feeder dominated habitats are present within the marine park and consist of similar assemblages to those found to the west. One of the most diverse groups of filter feeders are sponges, which can occur in dense local populations across southern Australia known as 'sponge gardens'.

Very few coral species are found within the marine park. Coral fauna diminishes rapidly south of Rottnest Island with some species flourishing in a few suitable habitats along the South Coast of Western Australia (Veron & Marsh, 1988). South Coast coral communities are generally found in the moderately sheltered waters (Ross et al., 2018). Hard corals occur sporadically, but do not form coral reefs (Wells et al., 2005). Veron & Marsh (1988) reported seven species from four genera that occur along the South Coast of Western Australia including *Coscinaraea mcneilli, Coscinaraea marshae, Plesiastrea versipora, Scolymia australis* and three species of *Turbinaria*.

Globally, filter feeder communities are susceptible to several threats, including heatwaves and warming ocean temperatures due to climate change, hydrodynamic forces, some fishing methods, unregulated anchoring and the construction of marine infrastructure. Due to the low level of industrial and coastal development in the marine park and management of the South Coast Trawl Fishery it is likely that these communities are in relatively undisturbed condition (SCRMPWG, 2010).



Telesto corals on the South Coast. Courtesy of Peter Nicholas

| Summary of management arrangements for filter feeder communities | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Current status | Limited information is available on filter feeder communities, but they are believed to be in generally good condition throughout the marine park. | | | | | | |
| Pressures | Commercial fishing (such as bottom trawling). Climate change. Discharge of toxicants and physical and chemical stressors (such as, sediment and nutrients from outflows). Potential sand mining, dredging and other sand bypassing works. Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Construction of general marine infrastructure (such as navigation markers and jetties). Potential ground-disturbing mining exploration/development. Pests/disease. Unregulated anchoring. Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spill). | | | | | | |
| Current major pressure | None currently identified. | | | | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure that filter feeder communities within the marine park are not significantly impacted by human activities. To improve understanding of the distribution and diversity of filter feeder communities in the marine park. | | | | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | | | | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Undertake and/or support research to characterise the diversity, community composition and condition of filter feeder communities in the marine park. Monitor the condition of filter feeder communities and the pressures acting on them within the marine park. [DPIRD] Educate marine park users about the ecological importance of the marine park's filter feeder communities and the potential detrimental impacts of physical disturbance (such as anchoring) on these communities. [DPIRD] | Research Monitoring Education and interpretation | M H L | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: diversity total cover community composition introduced species. No significant decline in diversity or total cover as a | a result of human | activity. | | | | |
| | No significant change in community composition as a result of human activity. No significant change in the abundance of introduced species as a result of human activity. | | | | | | |
| Reporting | 3-5 years | | | | | | |

5.7 Invertebrates

Marine invertebrates are animals without a backbone, such as sea urchins, sea stars, sea cucumbers, crabs, lobsters, octopus, abalone, jellyfish and anemones. Invertebrates have important functions within the ecosystem as a food source for other invertebrates, fish, and migratory birds, as well as in nutrient cycling. Invertebrate communities in the marine park exhibit high levels of endemism and consist of both tropical and temperate species. The presence and distribution of invertebrates within the marine park is influenced by the type of underwater surface, depth, availability of food and the temperature gradient produced by the Leeuwin Current. As such, invertebrates can be found across a wide variety of habitats in the marine park, including intertidal platforms, subtidal marine soft sediments (infauna and epifauna), subtidal reefs and pelagic zones.

While specific species' ranges within the marine park are poorly known, approximately 347 species of temperate Australian echinoderms are known to occur across the South Coast from Albany to Eucla, and 115 species of decapod crustaceans are known to occur in the area (Wells et al., 2005).

Invertebrates are vulnerable to impacts from climate change and overfishing. Commercial and recreational fisheries target species including the southern rock lobster (*Jasus edwardsii*), southern saucer scallop (*Ylistrum balloti*), greenlip abalone (*Haliotis laevigata*), brownlip abalone (*H. conicopora*), Roe's abalone (*H. roeii*) and a variety of specimen shells. In addition, bioprospecting, fisheries bycatch, siltation and pollution at the mouths of some inlets may impact invertebrates.

DPIRD is responsible for managing the recreational and commercial take of invertebrate species under the FRM Act. DPIRD's management occurs across bioregions, zones within bioregions, at a resource level and in some cases at a smaller scale where fisheries operate within restricted areas. Noting the scale of management may not be at the marine park scale (Newman et al. [2023] notes a bioregional scale for most fisheries in Western Australia), populations of some species in a reserve could become locally depleted even when the fishery and resource is being managed at a sustainable level.

Invertebrates also form part of the marine environment's overall biodiversity and are therefore managed by DBCA under the CALM Act as one of the numerous ecological values within the marine park.


| Summary of management arrangements for invertebrates | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Current status | Invertebrates are generally considered to be in good co | ondition in the ma | arine park. |
| Pressures | Climate change. Pests/disease. Discharge of toxicants and nutrients. Sewage and ballast water discharge from vessels. Physical disturbance (such as trampling). Aquaculture (potential pressure) (such as habitat exclusion, discharges). Habitat degradation. Potential ground-disturbing mining exploration/development. Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Potential sand mining, dredging and other sand bypassing works. Illegal fishing. | | |
| Current major pressure | Commercial and recreational fishing for targeted speci | es. | |
| Management objectives | To ensure non-targeted species are not significantly activities within the marine park. To manage targeted invertebrate species for ecolorial species for ecol | ly impacted by hu gical sustainability | man ′. |
| | | Management | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | See section 9.3 – Zoning and permitted activities. See section 6.3 – Recreational fishing. See section 6.4 – Commercial fishing. Undertake and/or support research to characterise the diversity, abundance, distribution and habitat requirements of invertebrates within the marine park. [DPIRD for targeted species] Monitor the condition of invertebrates and the pressures acting on them within the marine park and take remedial action if required. [DPIRD for targeted species] Educate users of the marine park about the ecological importance of invertebrates and the ways to minimise disturbance to them, and relevant fisheries regulations that apply. [DPIRD] Undertake and/or support research to characterise the sustainability of targeted invertebrate species and the consequences of their removal. [DPIRD for targeted species] Provide updates to marine park managers in relation to management of recreational and commercial fisheries, including reviews and amendments where relevant to the marine park. [DPIRD] | Research Monitoring Education and interpretation Research Management framework | н н н |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: diversity target species abundance community composition. | | |
| Target | Sanctuary zones No significant decline in diversity and abundance a No significant change in community composition a General use zones and special purpose zones No significant decline in community diversity as a r No significant change in community composition a No significant change in target species abundance sustainable levels as a result of human activity (to be consultation with DPIRD). 3-5 years | s a result of huma as a result of hum result of human ac as a result of hum beyond ecologica re determined in | in activity. an activity. stivity. an activity. ally |

5.8 Finfish, sharks and rays (KPI)

Fish communities of south-western Australia boast a remarkable diversity, with many endemic species (Hutchins, 2001; Thomson-Dans et al., 2003). This region is considered a hotspot for the discovery of species new to science (Stiller et al., 2015). The effect of the Leeuwin Current extends the range of many subtropical fish species into temperate areas of the southern coastline of Australia (Kendrick et al., 2009).

The white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) is listed as Vulnerable under both the *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) and BC Act, and is protected under the FRM Act. Most of the South Coast of Western Australia is recognised as a biologically important area for white sharks.

The shallows from east of Esperance through to the South Australian border are significant nursery areas for Australian salmon (*Arripis truttacea*) and Australian herring (*Arripis georgianus*) (Gaughan & Santoro, 2020). Both species are important to the region's fishing sector.

Three species of seadragon endemic to Australia are found along the South Coast, including the leafy seadragon (*Phycodurus eques*), weedy seadragon (*Phyllopteryx taeniolatus*), and ruby seadragon (*Phyllopteryx dewysea*). Leafy and weedy seadragons are protected under the FRM Act (DPIRD, 2021). The ruby seadragon was only described as a new species in 2015 and little is known about its distribution.

The primary pressures on finfish, sharks and rays are extraction by commercial and recreational fishing (targeted removal and bycatch). Other threats include climate change, marine debris, introduction of marine pests and habitat damage.

DPIRD is responsible for the management of the recreational and commercial take of finfish, shark and ray species under the FRM Act. DPIRD's management occurs across bioregions, zones within bioregions, at a resource level and in some cases at a smaller scale where fisheries operate within restricted areas. Noting the scale of management may not be at the marine park scale (Newman et al. [2023] notes a bioregional scale for most fisheries in Western Australia), populations of some species in a reserve could become locally depleted even when the fishery and resource is being managed at a sustainable level.

Finfish, sharks and rays also form part of the overall biodiversity and are therefore managed by DBCA under the CALM Act as one of the numerous ecological values within the marine park.



| Summary of manageme | nt arrangements for finfish, sharks and rays | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|----------|
| Current status | Finfish, sharks and rays are generally considered to be in relatively good condition within the marine park. | | |
| Pressures | Climate change. Marine debris (such as entanglement, ingestion). Introduction of marine pests. Feeding. Mooring and anchoring—habitat damage. Toxicants (such as marina or vessel discharge, untreated wastewater or stormwater). Potential sand mining, dredging and other sand bypassing works. Vessel discharge (such as sewage). Large scale coastal developments such as groynes, marinas and ports. Aquaculture (potential pressure) (such as habitat exclusion, entanglements, discharges). Vessel noise and strike. Major pollution events (such as chemical or oil spills). | | |
| Current major pressure | Recreational and commercial fishing (direct removal a | nd bycatch). | |
| Management objectives | To ensure non-targeted species are not significantly impacted by human activities within the marine park. To manage targeted species for ecological sustainability. | | |
| | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in hold | See section 9.3 – Zoning and permitted activities. See section 6.3 – Recreational fishing. See section 6.4 – Commercial fishing. Identify knowledge gaps and undertake and/ or promote research programs to characterise finfish, shark and ray diversity, abundance, biomass and behaviour within the marine park, and conduct research to understand the ecological role of targeted finfish species and the consequences of their removal. [DPIRD for targeted energies] | Research | Н |
| name is in bold. | targeted species] Undertake research on sea dragons, investigating their behaviour, population numbers, ecological relationships, and threats | Research | н |
| | Monitor the biodiversity, current fish health and abundance of finfish, sharks and rays and the pressures acting on them in the marine park. [DPIRD for targeted species] | Monitoring | Н |
| | 7. Educate users about recreational fishing rules, the ecological importance of finfish, sharks and rays and responsible fishing behaviour. [DPIRD] | Education and interpretation | Н |
| | Provide updates to marine park managers in relation to management of recreational and commercial fisheries, including reviews and amendments where relevant to the marine park. [DPIRD] | Management framework | H |

| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: diversity species abundance species size distribution community composition. |
|----------------------|--|
| Target | Parkwide No significant loss in diversity or abundance of protected species as a result of human activity. Sanctuary zones No significant decline in diversity, species abundance or species size distribution as a result of human activity. No significant change in community composition as a result of human activity. General use zones and special purpose zones No significant decline in abundance of non-target species or species diversity as a result of human activities. No significant change in community composition as a result of human activity. Mo significant change in community composition as a result of human activity. No significant change in target species abundance or target species biomass beyond ecologically sustainable levels as a result of human activity (to be determined in consultation with DPIRD). |
| Reporting | 3-5 years |



Little penguin. Photo – Samille Mitchell/DBCA

5.9 Seabirds and shorebirds

Seabirds generally forage at sea for the greater part of their lives, whereas shorebirds commonly feed by wading in shallow water along the shore. The sandy beaches and intertidal reef platforms of the marine park provide important feeding, roosting and nesting habitat for seabirds and shorebirds.

Of the 110 species of seabirds that comprise the Australian seabird fauna, 81 can be found in the south-west region of Australia (McClatchie et al., 2006). The fleshy-footed shearwater (*Ardenna carneipes*) is listed as Vulnerable under the BC Act. The south-western population nests on islands between Cape Leeuwin and the South Australian border (Lavers, 2015).

The little penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) is endemic to southern Australia and New Zealand (McClatchie et al., 2006). The little penguin has been reported nesting at coastal sites in the vicinity of Twilight Cove, the only known mainland breeding area for this species in Western Australia (CALM, 1994; Colman, 1998).

Other threatened seabird and shorebird species known to occur on the South Coast include:

- the wandering albatross (*Diomedea exulans*)
- grey-headed albatross (Diomedea chrysostoma)
- black-browed albatross (Diomedea melanophris)
- northern giant petrel (Macronectes halli)
- fairy tern (Sterna nereis nereis) (DPaW, 2016; Dutson et al., 2009).

Some seabirds are highly dependent on specific prey species (Gaughan & Santoro, 2020) or on predatory fish driving bait fish to the surface. There is concern that declines in the number of predatory fish may have implications for seabird prey availability (Commonwealth of Australia, 2012).

The decline in some species of seabirds and shorebirds is caused by a variety of factors including overfishing of their prey, entanglement in fishing gear, plastic pollution, introduction of non-native predators to seabird colonies, destruction and changes to seabird habitat, and environmental and ecological changes caused by climate change.

In July 2021, DPIRD convened an ecological risk assessment (ERA) of the fisheries that access the small pelagic scalefish resource including the West Coast Purse Seine Fishery, South Coast Purse Seine Fishery, purse seine development zones and recreational fishers (Blazeski et al., 2021). A medium/high risk was given to fleshy-footed shearwaters due to the potential interaction with purse seine nets and uncertainty associated with population modelling and fishery-dependent data. A voluntary code of practice in the South Coast Purse Seine Managed Fishery has been put in place.

The national threat abatement plan for the incidental catch of seabirds during oceanic longline fishing operations (2018) has been developed and implemented (Commonwealth of Australia, 2018). All seabird species and their eggs are protected under State and Federal Government legislation.

| Summary of management arrangements for seabirds and shorebirds | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Current status | Several seabird and shorebird species known to occur on the South Coast are listed as threatened or are declining. | | | |
| Pressures | Entanglement in and ingestion of marine debris. Climate change. Introduction of non-native predators to seabird colonies. Disturbance to feeding, roosting and nesting activity by people, vehicles, vessels, low flying aircraft (including remotely piloted aircraft). Commercial fishing—bycatch and prey availability. Infrastructure development. Large scale coastal developments. Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spills). Removal of wrack from beaches (important for foraging birds). | | | |
| Current major pressure | None currently identified. | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure that the abundance and diversity of seabirds marine park are not significantly impacted by human a | and shorebirds in ctivity. | the | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. | Undertake and/or support research to characterise bird diversity, abundance, natural variability, movement patterns and critical habitats within the marine park. Monitor human impacts to seabird and shorebird breeding and feeding habitat and regulate if required. | Research Monitoring | M H | |
| Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | 3. Design and implement an education and interpretation program that increases public awareness of the national and international significance of waterbird populations and informs visitors about impacts human activities can have on birds. | Education and interpretation | Μ | |
| | 4. Undertake research on the behaviour, population numbers, ecological relationships, threats to shearwaters, and their capacity to act as bio-indicators | Research | L | |
| | 5. Assess the nature, level and potential impacts of human activities to the seabird and shorebird populations in the marine park and implement an appropriate seabird and shorebird monitoring program | Research | L | |
| | Ensure that management of migratory shorebirds in the marine park supports relevant international agreements (Ramsar Convention, Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals). | Management framework | Н | |
| | Liaise with land managers, undertake complementary management actions on adjacent land and terrestrial reserves to manage potential detrimental impacts on seabirds and shorebirds. | Management framework | L | |
| | | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed may include:abundancediversitybreeding success. | | | |
| Target | No significant loss of diversity and abundance of seas a result of human activity. No significant decline in breeding success of key sease beyond the limits of natural variation due to human | eabird and shoreb eabird and shoreb activities in the p | ird species ird species bark. | |
| Reporting | 3-5 years. | | | |

5.10 Pinnipeds (KPI)

Two species of pinniped, the Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) and long-nosed fur seal (*Arctocephalus forsteri*), can be found in the waters of the marine park.

The Australian sea lion is endemic to Australia and listed as an Endangered species under the EPBC Act and the BC Act. Surveys of known Australian sea lion breeding sites estimate an overall population of several thousand. About 20 percent of the Australian population occurs at sites in Western Australia and 80 percent in South Australia. The Australian sea lion population is declining (DSEWPaC 2013; Goldsworthy et al., 2021).

There are reports of an Australian sea lion breeding colony on rocks at the base of the Baxter Cliffs, adjacent to the marine park, and a recently confirmed breeding colony at Twilight Cove (CALM, 1994; Colman, 1998; Dennis & Shaughnessy, 1999; Goldsworthy et al., 2014; Goldsworthy et al., 2021).

Entanglement in fishing equipment has been identified as one of the largest threats to the Australian sea lion population, as it often results in injury or death (Campbell et al., 2008; Hesp et al., 2012). To assist in mitigating these risks, in June 2018 DPIRD implemented fisheries management changes which created a network of 33 Australian sea lion gillnet exclusion zones through the known range of Western Australia's Australian sea lion colonies (Watt et al, 2021). Waters within the zones are closed to gillnet fishing by commercial demersal gillnet and demersal longline operators to reduce the risk of interaction between nets and sea lions. These zones range from 6-33km in radius around known breeding colonies and cover a total of 17,300km² around Western Australia (Watt et al., 2021).

Sea lion exclusion devices are also a legislative requirement for operators in the commercial rock lobster fishery, within a specified zone, to reduce the risk of Australian sea lions drowning in pots. A range of studies (How et al., 2023) have demonstrated the effectiveness of these devices in mitigating interactions.

The long-nosed fur seal is listed as 'Other Protected Fauna' under the BC Act. It is found in New Zealand and Australia, with an estimated population of 50,000 in New Zealand (including outlying islands), and >15,000 in Western Australia (Campbell et al., 2014). In Western Australia, long-nosed fur seals are found from the South Australian border to Jurien Bay (Campbell et al., 2014). Though there are no recorded breeding or haul-out sites in the marine park, it is likely that they may travel through these waters to forage. Within the state, the long-nosed fur seal population is thought to be stable or increasing (Campbell et al., 2014).

Current threats to both species include habitat and prey availability, fisheries bycatch, entanglement in demersal gillnets and marine debris, disturbed habitats and introduced diseases (DoPW, 2016; Hamer et al., 2013; Osterrieder et al., 2017; Shaughnessy et al., 2013). It has also been recognised that tourism interactions can disturb sea lions (Lovasz et al., 2008).

| Summary of manageme | nt arrangements for pinnipeds | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Current status | Australian sea lion numbers do not appear to be recovering in terms of population size and are listed as Endangered under the BC Act, EPBC Act and the IUCN Red List. Long-nosed fur seal numbers in Western Australia appear to be stable and are increasing in range. | | | |
| Pressures | Commercial fishing (bycatch, prey availability). Marine debris ingestion, entanglement. Discharge of toxicants and nutrients. Disturbance (wildlife watching and interactions). Vessel strike. Large scale coastal developments. Aquaculture (potential pressure) (such as habitat exclusion or entanglements). Major pollution event (such as oil or chemical spills). Provisioning (causing a change in behaviour). Illegal culling. Disease or pathogens such as tuberculosis (<i>Mycobacterium pinnipedii</i>), Q fever (<i>Coxiella burnetii</i>) hookworm and avian influenza). | | | |
| Current major pressure | None known | by human activity | in the | |
| objectives | marine park. | by numair activity | | |
| | | Management | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Educate users of the marine park about pinnipeds and the potential detrimental impacts of human activities (such as feeding and discarding of offal and bait, disturbance, marine debris, fishing gear) on the marine park's pinnipeds, and regulations for pinniped interactions under the BC Act. Implement an 8-knot speed limit within 500m of pinniped breeding and haul-out sites. [Department of Transport (DoT)] Conduct targeted compliance within gillnet exclusion zones around sea lion colonies. [DPIRD] Investigate sources of injury and causes of mortality of pinnipeds and maintain records of them in the marine park. Undertake and/or support research and monitoring projects on pinnipeds where they contribute to management effectiveness. [DPIRD] Regulate access of recreational visitors to marine park areas at, and adjacent to breeding grounds and haul-out sites. Assess and respond to marine fauna entanglements in collaboration with other agencies, considering capacity and circumstances as appropriate. | Education and interpretation Management intervention and visitor service Patrol and enforcement Research Research and Monitoring Management framework Management intervention and visitor service | H H As required H and ongoing H As required | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: number of reported pinniped injuries and deaths number of Australian sea lion pups at breeding sites and adults at haul-out sites | | | |
| Target | No significant increase in the number of reported pinniped injuries or deaths as a result of human activity. No significant decline in the number of pinnipeds at haul-out or pupping sites and islands over the course of a breeding cycle within the marine park. | | | |
| Reporting | 3-5 years | | | |

5.11 Cetaceans (KPI)

Humpback (*Megaptera novaengliae*) and southern right (*Eubalaena australis*) whales are the most common whale species that occur within the marine park.

Whales are culturally significant to Mirning Traditional Owners and are valued as family. Mirning Sea Country is the place of the Dreamtime white whale Jeedara. Every year, Mirning people celebrate the return of the whales as a reunion of family (Mirning, 2023).

The humpback whale breeding population of Western Australia (Southern Hemisphere Group IV) is one of the only heavily exploited whale populations to recover post whaling (Bejder et al., 2015), with last estimates of more than 30,000 (Hedley et al., 2011; Salgado-Kent et al., 2012). Humpback whales are frequently seen as they migrate along the South Coast to and from their summer feeding grounds in Antarctica to breeding and calving grounds in the north of Western Australia between May and November each year. The humpback whale is listed as Vulnerable under the EPBC Act and Conservation Dependent under the BC Act (Western Australia).

Southern right whales visit the South Coast between June and November each year. The females use sheltered bays on the South Coast as birthing and nursery areas and cows and calves are often seen close to the shore from August to October (CALM, 1994). Southern right whales form large aggregations in coastal bays along the South Coast during the 'over-wintering months' (i.e., June to November) where breeding, calving and rearing of young takes place. An estimated 55,000–70,000 southern right whales could be found in the southern hemisphere in the late 1700s, however, whaling in the nineteenth century reduced southern right whale numbers in Australian waters. Current estimates of the south-western Australian subpopulation are at approximately 2,500 individuals. The population is increasing at a rate of ~5.3 percent annually (Smith, et al., 2023) and the South Coast is the stronghold of the Australian population, given the 'eastern' population is estimated at only about 268 (CI 146-650) breeding females (Stamation et al. 2020).

The southern right whale is listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act and Vulnerable under the BC Act.

Common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*) are predominantly offshore inhabitants and are one of the world's most abundant dolphin species. They are commonly sighted throughout the South Coast region. Within Western Australian waters there are no estimates of population size, population trends, or information on specific calving areas or reproductive cycle for this species.

Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus*) typically occupy coastal waters <20m deep. This species is known to have a slow reproductive rate, with prolonged maternal investment and a long interbirth interval of about 3-6 years, and relatively high calf mortality (Connor et al., 2000; Wells & Scott, 2000).

Threats to whales and dolphins include entanglement in marine debris and set fishing gear, climate change, overfishing, which reduces prey availability, habitat loss from coastal construction, disturbance, noise and vessel strike. Bottlenose and common dolphins can also be caught as bycatch in trawl, gillnet, purse seine and trap fisheries (Kemper & Gibbs, 2001; Kemper et al., 2003; Jaiteh et al., 2013; Jaiteh et al., 2014; Waples & Raudino, 2018).

DPIRD assesses fishing-related threats to species and ecosystems and identifies and implements a range of management actions to mitigate impacts, and undertakes ongoing monitoring to review the effectiveness of measures put in place (How et al., 2021). The Australian Fisheries Management Authority initiated a bycatch action plan for several fisheries in 2001 to reduce bycatch of dolphins and other marine animals (Ross, 2006).

| Summary of management arrangements for cetaceans | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Current status | The humpback whale population that uses the marine park as part of its range is believed to be the largest breeding population in the world and has been downlisted to Conservation Dependent under the BC Act, as the population is beginning to recover from whaling impacts. The southern right whale is listed as Vulnerable under the BC Act and while slowly recovering, population estimates are still low compared to the estimated population in the 1700s. Little is known about the size or health of other cetacean species in the marine park, but they are assumed to be in a stable condition. | | | |
| Pressures | Marine debris (ingestion, entanglement). Climate change. Discharge of toxicants and nutrients. Disturbance (wildlife watching and interactions, noise from vessel traffic). Vessel strike. Potential mining exploration/development (seismic surveys). Large scale coastal developments (habitat loss and/or modification, disturbance). Major pollution event (such as chemical or oil spills). Commercial fishery, including aquaculture (entanglement, bycatch and prey depletion). | | | |
| Current major pressure | None currently identified. | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure that cetaceans are not significantly impacted by human activity in the marine park. | | | |



| | | Management program | Priority |
|--|---|--|------------------------|
| Management strategies | Undertake and/or support research characterising cetacean diversity, abundance, natural variability and habitat use within the marine park. Undertake monitoring to: | Research | Н |
| partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their | assess the condition of cetaceans and the pressures acting on them within the marine park monitor the effectiveness of any management responses to address pressures and issues involving cetaceans within the marine park | monitoring | |
| name is in bold. | develop and maintain records on the incidence of entanglement, vessel strike, strandings or mortalities of cetaceans in the marine park. | | |
| | Report on cetacean monitoring, population assessments and management outcomes to other government agencies and the wider community. | Management framework | Μ |
| | Assess and respond to marine fauna entanglements, injuries and mortality events in collaboration with other agencies, considering capacity and circumstances as appropriate. [DPIRD] | Management intervention and visitor services | As required |
| | 5. Educate marine park users and commercial tour operators about cetaceans, the potential detrimental impacts of human activities on cetaceans, responsible marine mammal viewing, and regulations relating to marine mammals under the BC Act. | Education and interpretation | Μ |
| | Enforce marine mammal regulations in place under the BC Act. Investigate the extent and significance | Patrol and enforcement Research | Н |
| | of interactions between commercial and recreational fishing and cetaceans and address as required. [DPIRD] | | |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | Indicators to be developed but may include: diversity species local abundance species local distribution. | | |
| Target | No significant decline in diversity or species local al human activity. No significant change to species local distribution a | bundance as a resi as a result of huma | ult of In activity. |
| Reporting | 10 years | | |

6. People on Country

Strategic objective: Provide equitable and sustainable opportunities for recreational and commercial activities by allowing communities to utilise the marine environment as a source of enjoyment, income and food.

Maintaining a healthy environment, respecting Mirning cultural values and ensuring safe access for all users are essential to support the range of socio-economic values within the marine park.

6.1 Mirning economic development opportunities

This joint management plan recognises that Mirning Traditional Owners have a need and intergenerational obligation to maintain family livelihoods and sustain existence from their land and Sea Country and its resources.

The identification and creation of economic development opportunities that can deliver income and capacity to sustain Traditional Owners on Country will be an early and ongoing strategic focus of the JMB.

Creation of the marine park may contribute to the provision of long-term employment for Mirning Traditional Owners through the provision of jobs associated with the marine park, including direct employment and fee-for-service work for management purposes.



Mirning Traditional Owners Kathleen Coleman, Travis Tucker and Shilloh Peel. Courtesty of Madison Snow/MTLAC

| Summary of management arrangements for Mirning economic development opportunities | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Requirements | High environmental and aesthetic quality.Strong customary governance and cultural leaders | ship. | | |
| Management objectives | To enable Mirning people to achieve economic benefits consistent with the purpose of the marine park. | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Support and develop learning and career pathways, training, education and mentoring [DPIRD]: to enable positive and effective approaches for Mirning people to look after their land and Sea Country for Mirning people to take advantage of opportunities for all levels of on-Country management in the contemporary context for Mirning people to attain marine biology qualifications and other research-oriented qualifications as part of contemporary land management approaches that provide employment, business and training opportunities in cultural ecotourism and visitor services for Mirning people on Country. Support the establishment of cultural tourism and cultural education businesses owned by local Mirning people that educate marine park visitors about the cultural significance of the area to Mirning people. Encourage and support MTLAC to develop and enhance existing business opportunities, including fee exemptions or commercial fishing and aquaculture and tour operator licencing. Support the establishment of a dedicated Mirning ranger workforce on Country to assist in marine park management. Ensure that industry, development and other resource use activities in and adjacent to the park encourage economic development, employment or capacity building opportunities for Traditional Owners. | Management framework Management framework Management framework Management framework Management framework | H and ongoing H and ongoing M H Ongoing | |



6.2 Visitation, tourism and safety

Tourism has become one of the most significant economic sectors on the South Coast (SCRMPWG, 2010). Patterns of recreational activity are mostly influenced by season/holiday periods, weather, access, and proximity to population centres. The distance from a major population centre and road access to the coast is currently a limiting factor for visitation to the Mirning Marine Park. Most coastal recreational activity is centred around campgrounds. Marine based activities carried out in the marine park include fishing, swimming, coastal walks, beachgoing and four-wheel driving.

Marine nature-based tourism has the potential to make an important contribution to protecting the region's ecosystem by fostering a greater understanding of the environment. However, if tourism is carried out inappropriately it has the potential to reduce the quality of the features visitors seek to experience. Examples include visitors leaving litter, interacting inappropriately with wildlife and physically disturbing or damaging marine habitats.

The CALM Act and CALM Regulations require commercial businesses operating in marine parks and reserves to have a commercial operations licence and abide by the conditions outlined in DBCA's *Commercial Operator Handbook – Marine*, which provides specific information for commercial businesses operating in a marine park or reserve.

Recreation and tourism within the marine park will be in accordance with DBCA's Policy No. 18 – Recreation, Tourism and Visitor Services, which focuses on both the management of activities consistent with protecting the marine park's values (the values on which commercial nature-based marine tourism depend), and maintenance of a viable tourism product.

6.2.1 Visitor safety

The remote nature of the marine park, combined with extreme weather conditions like strong winds, large swells and storms, poses a risk to visitors and other marine park users. This is particularly dangerous for visitors who may be inexperienced in, or unprepared for, such conditions. Visitors are advised to be mindful of risks that Australian sea lions and other wildlife can pose to their safety, and the effects of inappropriate interactions with them, such as feeding them or not maintaining separation distances.

Risks to visitors are managed under the framework of DBCA's Policy Statement No. 53 – Visitor Risk Management Policy. Other departments and organisations which have a shared responsibility for visitor safety in the marine park include:

- DoT, which is responsible for installing and maintaining navigation aids and other boating safety measures in all State waters
- The Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA), which is responsible for ensuring domestic commercial vessels comply with the requirements of *the Marine Safety* (*Domestic Commercial Vessel*) National Law Act 2012.

| Summary of manageme | nt a | rrangements for recreation, tourism and visitor sa | fety | |
|---|------------------|---|---|--|
| Requirements | • • • • | High water quality. Healthy marine and estuarine communities. Clean beaches and coastal areas. High aesthetic quality of the marine environment. Provision of 'undisturbed' areas for nature apprecia Appropriate infrastructure and activities. Equitable access to the natural values in an approp Avoidance or minimisation of visitor injury. | tion. riate zone. | |
| Management objectives | • | Ensure that tourism activities and recreational use a consistent with maintaining the cultural, ecological marine park. To maintain the ecological values of the marine pa and nature-based and cultural tourism. To minimise risk to visitors and encourage appropri- To manage activities in a manner that minimises co- users. | are managed in a r l and social value c rk important for re- iate behaviour. onflict between ma | nanner of the creation ırine park |
| | | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies | 1. | Promote awareness of Mirning cultural lores and protocols regarding visitor risk and safety. | Management intervention and visitor services | Η |
| Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold | 2. | Ensure opportunities for recreation and tourism: are culturally appropriate where possible, provide visitors with the opportunity to learn about and experience Mirning cultural heritage promote culturally respectful behaviour do not significantly affect the rights of Mirning Traditional Owners to have ongoing cultural connection to Country do not impact on Mirning cultural heritage values and sites. | Management intervention and visitor services | H and ongoing |
| | 3. | Ensure the granting and renewal of commercial tour licences is consistent with the provisions of this management plan. | Management framework | As required |
| | 4. | Establish customary approaches to maintaining sustainable use of the marine park by the broader community, especially in relation to fishing and access. | Management framework | Ongoing |
| | 5. | Develop and maintain a database of the spatial and temporal patterns and potential environmental impacts of commercial tourism operations within the marine park. | Management intervention and visitor services | L |
| | 6. | Work with relevant agencies and industry bodies to adapt and improve existing mapping programs or apps reflecting marine park risks and management arrangements including zoning. [DoT] | Education and interpretation | Μ |

| | | Management | Priority |
|----------------------|--|--|----------------|
| | | program | |
| | 7. Work with commercial operators to promote appropriate visitation and facilitate the establishment of high-quality commercial tourism operations that: increase visitor enjoyment and safety demonstrate a commitment to protect and promote the park's cultural, natural, recreational and tourism values ensure operations are conducted according to DBCA licence conditions foster community stewardship of the marine park. conduct information exchange workshops and interpretation training for marine nature-based | Management intervention and visitor services | As required |
| | 8. Guided by the <i>Commercial operators</i> handbook – marine, develop codes of practice for commercial marine nature-based tourism operations in the marine park, including performance measures, desired trends, short- term and long-term management targets, monitoring and reporting requirements. | Management framework | Μ |
| | Investigate opportunities to run safety campaigns to educate visitors on safe practices in and around Mirning Sea Country. | Education and interpretation | Μ |
| | 10. Implement interpretative signage at access points around Mirning Sea Country, with the aim of promoting Mirning protocol, and educating marine users on how to properly respect and care for Country. | Education and interpretation | Η |
| | 11. Conduct visitor surveys to gather data on use of the marine park, including visitor numbers, locations and anchoring points to understand potential impacts and direct monitoring programs | Research | L |
| | Designate vessel speed restrictions for wildlife protection and/or for safety requirements if necessary. [DoT] | Management intervention and visitor services | As required |
| | 13. Collaborate with the charter boat sector in managing the marine park, particularly in key areas such as visitor education programs, mooring arrangements, compliance and monitoring programs. [Charter sector] | Management intervention and visitor services | Μ |
| | 14. Educate marine park users about protocols and regulations for the use of remote piloted aircrafts (drones) to minimise impacts and disturbance to marine park values. | Education and interpretation | Н |
| | Conduct periodic visitor risk assessment in the marine park as required and mitigate identified issues. [AMSA, DoT, DPIRD] | Management intervention and visitor services | Н |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | Visitor satisfaction (for example, experiences and experiences and experiences and experiences human use monitoring. Number of visitor safety incidents reported to DBC | xpectations) as det A. | ermined |
| Target | Visitor satisfaction is 85 percent or above within 5 y No significant increase in the total number of serio per capita compared to baseline levels. | vears. us visitor safety inc | idents |
| Reporting | Annually | | |

6.3 Recreational fishing

Recreational fishing is of great importance to the Western Australian community and to South Coast residents and visitors, and generates significant economic activity in regional centres. The annual economic contribution to Western Australia from recreational fishing is estimated to be between \$1.1 billion (Moore et al., 2023) and \$2.4 billion, including a total of approximately \$146.6 million in the Goldfields-Esperance region (McLeod & Lindner, 2018). The South Coast offers a diverse array of recreational fishing experiences and many South Coast recreational fishers also seek to obtain a 'fresh feed' or 'fresh seafood'. This continued access for the community to undertake recreational fishing is important for food security, ensuring the community's access to healthy and affordable food.

Other primary motives for recreational fishing include to relax and unwind, to be outdoors, for solitude, or to be with family and friends, highlighting the important social and mental health benefits recreational fishing provides.

Due to the remoteness of much of the coastline and limited access to many areas, recreational beach and boat fishing in the marine park tends to be concentrated at Twilight Cove and camping areas along the coast. The main species targeted by beach and rock fishers on the South Coast include Western Australian salmon (*Arripis truttaceus*), Australian herring (*Arripis georgianus*), whiting (*Sillaginidae* spp.) and silver trevally (*Pseudocaranx* spp.). Common species targeted by boat-based fishers include pink snapper (*Chrysophrys auratus*), queen snapper (*Nemadactylus valenciennesi*), bight redfish (*Centroberyx gerrardi*) and King George whiting (*Sillaginodes punctata*), with mullet (*Muglidae* spp.) and black bream (*Ancanthopagrus butcheri*) targeted in rivers and estuaries (Newman et al., 2021).

The potential pressures associated with recreational fishing in the marine park include bycatch of unwanted non-target species, overfishing of targeted species, and associated impacts on other ecological values (i.e., from litter, discarded/broken off fishing gear, and disturbance of sensitive habitats).

Sanctuary zones, which prohibit extractive activities including recreational fishing, will be used to ensure ecologically important and representative areas of ecosystems are protected from a variety of pressures, including recreational fishing. Many boat-based fishers elsewhere on the South Coast focus their fishing efforts in marine park waters near larger regional towns, whilst within the Mirning Marine Park, shore-based recreational fishing effort is predominant.

DPIRD is responsible for managing target fish stocks for sustainability, with fisheries rules continuing to apply both within and outside the marine park. Fish stocks are managed through a wide range of management tools, including size and bag limits, gear restrictions, licences and closed seasons.

Commercial tour operators offering recreational fishing who wish to operate in the marine park require a licence from DBCA under the CALM Act and must also adhere to the rules, provisions and regulations outlined by DPIRD and the FRM Act.

| Summary of manageme | nt a | rrangements for recreational fishing | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|-------------------|
| Requirements | • • • | Maintenance of key habitats (such as nursery and spawning areas). Equitable and safe access to fishing grounds in appropriate zones. Maintenance of sustainable targeted fish stocks. Maintenance of recreational fishing experience. Appropriate provision and placement of infrastructure and facilities. | | |
| Management objectives | • | To ensure that, in collaboration with the community and DPIRD, recreational fishing in the marine park is managed in a manner that is consistent with maintaining the marine parks cultural and ecological values. To maintain ecological values of the marine park that support recreational fishing. To work collaboratively (with agencies, stakeholders and the community) to maintain and promote safe and enjoyable recreational fishing opportunities in the marine park. | | |
| | | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If | 1. 2. 3. 4. | See section 9.2 – zoning and permitted activities. Educate recreational fishers on recreational fishing rules, including in the marine park. [DPIRD] Educate recreational fishers on customary fishing and rights of Traditional Owners. [DPIRD] Conduct and/or support research to determine if ecosystem effects from recreational fishing | Education and interpretation Education and interpretation Research | H H Ongoing |
| agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | 5. | adaptive management actions if required. [DPIRD] Implement safety signage in dangerous areas in | Education and | Н |
| | 6. | and around Mirning Sea Country. [LGA] Engage with local recreational fishing groups to promote responsible fishing behaviour (best | interpretation Management framework | Μ |
| | 7. | Monitor recreational fishing catch and effort in | Monitoring | Н |
| | 8. | Review existing fisheries management and seek to amend if there are sustainability concerns. [DPIRD] | Management framework | Н |
| | 9. | Provide updates to marine park managers in relation to fisheries management and monitoring. [DPIRD] | Management framework | Η |
| | 10. | Assess possible displacement of fishing effort, changes in fishery dynamics (exploitation patterns) and other impacts that may be influenced by restrictions on fishing access in the marine park to ensure ongoing efficacy of stock assessment data-inputs and examine potential management responses. IDPIRDI | Research | Η |



6.4 Commercial fishing

Commercial fishing is recognised as an important social and economic contributor to Western Australia's regional communities, generating more than half a billion dollars of income directly into the State economy. It supplies locally caught, fresh and sustainable seafood to Western Australian communities, provides employment, training and career opportunities for regional youth, and contributes to the diversity and resilience of local economies. Community access to fish is a key value of the marine park for its importance in food security as a healthy, sustainable and affordable food source.

Western Australia's commercial fishing industry is based on a mix of products and markets, with many products that have traditionally accessed overseas markets transitioning in recent years to focus on increased local supply to support community access to sustainable seafood. This is particularly important for food security in regional towns where cafes, restaurants, fish and chip shops and tourism businesses need to be able to access Western Australian caught fish to make their business viable.

Commercial fishing in Western Australia is managed by DPIRD under the FRM Act using an ecosystem-based fisheries management approach. DPIRD's management of all commercial fishing is underpinned by scientific research, with 98 percent of Western Australia's aquatic resources currently being sustainably managed. Commercial fishing is managed through a wide range of fisheries management tools, including gear restrictions, licences, spatial closures, temporal closures, quota allocations and/or bag and size limits. Twelve commercial fisheries operate in the region (see Appendix 2 for details).

Further information about each of these fisheries and status assessments are publicly available in DPIRD's annual *Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia: The State of the Fisheries.*

Various aquaculture leases exist across the South Coast, however, none lie in the marine park.

Approximately 80 percent of the combined South Coast marine parks are available for commercial fishing. Sanctuary zones, which prohibit extractive activities, will be used to ensure ecologically important and representative areas of ecosystems are protected from a variety of pressures, including commercial fishing.

DBCA will work with DPIRD to ensure the continued sustainability of commercial fishing practices in the marine park. Unsustainable fishing practices can result in unwanted bycatch, habitat damage, ecosystem impacts, altered food web dynamics and a decline in stocks.

| Summary of management arrangements for commercial fishing | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Requirements | Maintenance of sustainable, targeted fish stocks. Equitable access to fishing grounds in appropriate zones, across all extractive activities. Appropriate provision and placement of infrastructure and facilities. | | | |
| Management objectives | To ensure that, in collaboration with industry and DPIRD, commercial fishing in the marine park is managed in a manner that is consistent with maintaining the ecological and cultural values of the marine park. | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies | See Section 9.3 – zoning and permitted activities. Work with commercial fishers through peak bodies to ensure operations are done in a culturally sensitive manner. [DPIRD] Monitor commercial fishing catch and effort in the marine park to inform periodic reviews of its management of commercial fisheries and aquatic | Management framework Monitoring | H and ongoing H | |
| are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | resources. [DPIRD] Investigate the extent and significance of interactions between commercial fishing and threatened, endangered or protected species and address as required. [DPIRD] | Research | Н | |
| | Conduct research to determine if ecosystem effects from commercial fishing occur in the marine park and undertake adaptive managemen actions if required. [DPIRD] | Research | Н | |
| | Provide updates to marine park managers in relation to fisheries management and monitoring [DPIRD] | Management framework | Н | |
| | 7. Assess possible displacement of fishing effort, changes in fishery dynamics (exploitation patterns) and other impacts that may be influenced by restrictions on fishing access in the marine park to ensure ongoing efficacy of stock assessment data-inputs and examine potential management responses. [DPIRD] | Research | Η | |



6.5 Industry, mining and development proposals

6.5.1 Development proposals

During the life of the management plan there may be proposals to install or construct infrastructure in or adjacent to the marine park. The nature of the proposed development will determine the appropriate level of assessment. DoT and DPLH are responsible for planning and development of coastal infrastructure. Any developments with the potential to have environmental impacts may be subject to an environmental impact assessment under the EP Act.

One such proposal before government is the Western Green Energy Hub which will contain an onshore/offshore hub located around 20km west of Eucla within a 10km-wide ocean frontage. If the final development proposal attains all necessary environmental, planning and development approvals, an amendment to the marine park will be made to accommodate the creation of port waters which will be managed by an appropriate port authority.

6.5.1.1 Proposed Western Green Energy Hub (WGEH)

The WGEH project is a large-scale renewable energy project which, if approved, will stimulate the State's economy and contribute to a cleaner future.

The project is being developed in partnership between InterContinental Energy, CWP Global and Mirning Green Energy Limited, the dedicated commercial entity for MTLAC. The project contemplates an onshore/offshore hub located around 20km west of Eucla within an approximate 10km-wide ocean frontage.

Planning for the WGEH has commenced, and to accommodate its potential future footprint in the marine environment a marine buffer area (approximately 20km wide and out to the limit of State waters) around the conceptual development footprint will be excised from the Mirning Marine Park. Subject to WGEH gaining all necessary approvals, this excision will allow for the future creation of port waters, which will be managed by an appropriate port authority. The area remains of interest for inclusion in the marine park. If the WEGH project does not proceed, the excision area will be added to the marine park at a later date. Likewise, if the excision area is larger than required for port operations, waters not included in the future port will be added to the marine park.

6.5.2 Mining exploration and development

The CALM Act specifies that mining and petroleum exploration and production is permitted in a marine park general use zone if it is compatible with the specified purpose of that zone. The environmental and cultural impacts of mining and petroleum exploration or production proposals within or adjacent to the marine park will be subject to evaluation through the normal assessment and approvals process under Western Australian and Commonwealth legislation. Mineral, petroleum and pipeline activities are regulated by DEMIRS under the *Mining Act 1978, Offshore Minerals Act 2003*, the *Petroleum and Geothermal Energy Resources Act 1967*, the *Petroleum (Submerged Lands) Act 1982* and *Petroleum Pipelines Act 1969*. Projects of state significance may be administered by the Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation under project specific agreement acts. Exploration and development proposals that may cause significant impact on key biodiversity values should be referred to the EPA for environmental impact assessment under the EP Act. Applications to explore or mine within parks vested in the CPC may also be referred to the Minister for Environment as required under environmental, mining and petroleum legislation. Exploration and development that may have a significant impact on matters of national environmental significance may also require approval under the EPBC Act.

The oil and gas industry uses seismic surveys to explore for natural resources. Marine seismic surveys can increase background noise levels while they are in progress and have the potential to impact marine wildlife by disrupting communication, navigation, and foraging habits. Some marine species such as whales may temporarily move away from the affected area. Any seismic survey in the marine park will be subject to evaluation as part of the applicable State and Commonwealth government approvals processes.



Eucla Jetty. Courtesy of Madison Snow/MMTLAC

6.5.3 Mooring and anchoring

Management of moorings and anchoring is a key aspect of managing increasing vessel use in Western Australia's marine parks. With an expected increase in commercial and recreational vessels visiting and operating on the South Coast, it is expected that mooring and anchoring activities will increase over time.

The marine park allows for mooring and anchoring activities, however, if not installed and maintained correctly moorings may cause irreversible damage to the surrounding habitat and pose a risk to marine park users and property. Refer to DBCA's Policy Statement 59: Mooring policy for further information regarding the management of moorings within marine parks.

| Summary of management arrangements for industry, mining and development proposals | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------|--|
| Requirements | Access to suitable and culturally appropriate locations for current and future activities. | | | |
| Management | To ensure industry, development and associated activities are managed in a | | | |
| objectives | manner consistent with the objectives of the marine pa | ark. | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead | 1. Provide formal advice to the CPC and the EPA relating to industry, mineral, petroleum and renewable energy resources and coastal development activities in and adjacent to the marine park. [DPIRD, DWER, DEMIRS] | Management framework | As required | |
| for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | 2. If required, develop a mooring and anchoring plan with appropriate consultation, which will include an assessment of areas in which moorings would be acceptable from an ecological and social perspective and the capacities of these areas. [DoT] | Management intervention and visitor services | L | |
| | 3. Refer all exploration or development proposals, that may impact significantly on the values of the park, to the EPA for consideration under the EP Act or to the Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water for assessment under the EPBC Act. | Management framework | As required | |
| | 4. Ensure industry and resource development activities do not significantly impact Mirning cultural heritage values, and are conducted in a culturally sensitive manner. | Management framework | As required | |
| | 5. Ensure that license conditions of approved industry activities include appropriate environmental performance measures, desired trends, short-term and long-term management targets, and monitoring and reporting requirements. [DWER] | Management framework | As required | |
| | 6. Where required, provide support in the implementation of the <i>State Hazard Plan – Maritime Environmental Emergencies</i> , and assist in the management of any significant pollution event that occurs within or adjacent to the marine park. [DoT] | Management framework | As required | |

7. Understanding Country

Strategic objective: Encourage and promote collaborative research, monitoring and the sharing of knowledge between Traditional Owners, scientists, marine park users and the local community to guide, adapt and improve Mirning Sea Country management.

7.1 Research and education

The diversity of marine habitats, flora and fauna, combined with the range of human activities which occur in the marine park, provide excellent opportunities for research and education.

The marine park is located within the IMCRA Eucla mesoscale bioregion, which is influenced by the Leeuwin Current. The influence of this current provides a temperature gradient along the length of the Eucla bioregion and, as a result, the area is of significant scientific interest. The sanctuary zones will provide an opportunity for scientists to undertake research on the recovery/maintenance of marine ecosystems over time when pressures (with the exception of climate change) are removed. All zones provide the opportunity for social research with regard to use patterns and community perceptions.

Research and education can empower people to become stewards for marine parks and increase public understanding. Research and education can also help to create an affinity and respect for marine life and encourage participation in marine park use and management, and better compliance with marine park rules.

With pressures likely to increase, an increased understanding of the cultural, ecological and social values of the marine park will be critical to effective management. Research in the marine park, informed by traditional ecological knowledge, will assist with continuous improvement of management practices and decisions and ensure the marine park is effectively managed.



Research within the marine park will require a licence issued by DBCA. This will enable DBCA to:

- maintain an understanding of research effort
- direct research effort, where necessary, so it is relevant to management
- collaborate with researchers where possible
- share research outcomes with others.

Additional permits or special permission may also be required from DBCA to take flora and fauna, and from DPIRD to carry out research on fish in the marine park. These additional requirements are particularly relevant if the activity would otherwise be prohibited, such as the take of protected fish or the use of prohibited fishing gear.

In all research projects where specific Mirning community members, rangers, and/or Elders have made a significant contribution to a research project (including data collection and processing), they should be included as co-authors in any associated output/publication. In addition, Mirning cultural and intellectual property should never be used or published by non-Mirning persons without the explicit informed consent of the MTLAC and appropriate acknowledgment as to ownership. Where possible, research and monitoring conducted on Mirning Sea Country should include provisions for Elders to be involved in activities and ensure cultural safety and opportunities for two-way science. Where local baseline ecological data is not available, or efforts to source this data are not possible (due to resourcing or time limitations), Mirning knowledge, particularly that of Elders, should be drawn on for expert elicitation.

Research strategies specific to particular values of the marine park are detailed in sections 4 to 6. A summary of the generic management objectives, strategies and targets for the research program are described in the table below.

| Summary of management arrangements for research and education | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Requirements | Equitable access to the full range of research opportunities in appropriate zones. Access to representative sites covering the range of major human activity in the marine park. Access to representative sites free of major human influences. Recognition of the role and importance of traditional ecological knowledge in research. | | | |
| Management objectives | To obtain increased understanding of the biodiversity, biocultural and cultural values, key ecological processes and socio-economic uses within the marine park to inform management. To promote research that improves knowledge of the values of the marine park and effectiveness of current management strategies to inform future management decisions. To maximise the integration of conservation science with traditional ecological knowledge in all aspects of research in the marine park. To promote and facilitate the use of the park for education. | | | |

| | | Management program | Priority |
|---|---|--|------------------|
| Management strategies | 1. Develop a shared understanding of culturally significant habitats and communities in the park, including an understanding of their cultural and | Research | H |
| partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If | ecological health (establish baselines). Identify, prioritise and communicate high priority ecological and social research projects relevant to the management of the marine park to appropriate research organisations. | Research | H and ongoing |
| agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Develop a research and engagement web portal, detailing relevant Mirning cultural protocols, research expectations, ongoing research and engagement news, interpretation and education | Research | Η |
| | content, and upcoming research opportunities.4. Ensure MTLAC are briefed on research activities and outcomes. | Research | H and ongoing |
| | 5. Develop collaborative research relationships with marine researchers and their institutions. | Research | M |
| | Encourage community and local industry involvement in research and education programs. Develop and implement education and | Public participation Education and | Ungoing |
| | interpretation programs to: ensure users of the marine park are aware of and understand the values of the marine park ensure users are aware of management zones and regulations and the reasons for these controls improve community knowledge of Mirning | interpretation | |
| | protocols and how to respect Country.8. Develop and distribute to the local community and visitors a range of education materials about the matrice part/or place and materials about | Education and interpretation | м |
| | 9. Encourage commercial tour operators to provide educational courses/ materials to their staff and customers to foster community stewardship of the marine park | Education and interpretation | Μ |
| | 10. Encourage and support Traditional Owner participation in the development and implementation of research and education programs and identify appropriate opportunities for integrating traditional knowledge | Education and interpretation | Н |
| | Facilitate knowledge transfer and uptake of research findings to adaptive marine park management and planning | Research | Н |
| | Develop and implement an integrated education and interpretation program that complements existing initiatives (such as Healthy Country Plans) and increases local community and visitor cultural awareness, knowledge and understanding of cultural values, cultural landscape/seascapes and cultural laws and protocol. | Education and interpretation | Η |
| | | | |
| Performance measures | Research plans have been developed and approve Research activities, as detailed in the plan, have be | d. en implemented. | |
| Target | Preparation and implementation of a research planOngoing and completed research projects. | l. | |
| Reporting | To be determined | | |

7.2 Monitoring

Long-term monitoring of the condition of values in the marine environment and the pressures that impact those values is essential to understand natural variation, to evaluate management effectiveness and inform an adaptive management approach. Monitoring enables the detection of detrimental impacts and can determine trigger points for corrective management action before cultural, ecological or social values of a marine park become significantly degraded. Where changes have occurred and remediation measures are required, a monitoring program should also determine the rate of recovery of an affected area or value. The detection of human induced changes requires an understanding of what is 'natural' as a benchmark and this information should be progressively established through ongoing monitoring across spatial zone types that limit human activities, and through the research program.

DBCA, in collaboration with joint management partners around the State, is progressively implementing the DBCA Marine Monitoring Program in the State's marine parks and reserves, designed to improve understanding of management effectiveness, and to inform future research, monitoring and decision making.

Monitoring of the marine park will be limited due to the remoteness and exposure of this coast. However, in addition to joint management partners, other organisations involved in monitoring include DPIRD (for targeted species as defined in the FRM Act), universities and community groups. Monitoring of the marine park will focus on determining trends in key ecological, cultural and social values within a 'condition-pressure-management-response' framework that measures the 'health' of values against defined management targets.



Baxter Cliffs. Courtesy of Tourism Western Australia

| Summary of management arrangements for monitoring | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| Requirements | Equitable access to the full range of monitoring opportunities in appropriate zones. Access to representative sites covering the range of major human activity in the marine park. Access to representative sites free of major human influences. | | | |
| Management objectives | To monitor key cultural, ecological and social values in the marine park within a 'condition-pressure-management response' framework, to provide a basis to assess, adapt and improve management. | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies | 1. Facilitate knowledge transfer and uptake of research and monitoring findings to adaptive marine park management, planning and policy, and where relevant report on conservation achievements and challenges. [DPIRD] | Management framework | Н | |
| Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their | 2. Monitor the health and condition of culturally significant habitats and communities (and implement management actions to address issues where required). | Monitoring | H and ongoing | |
| name is in bold. | Prepare a monitoring plan which considers existing information and the strategies and priorities listed in this management plan. | Monitoring | Н | |
| | Develop a cultural values monitoring framework (and data storage and access process) to guide these activities, with respect to cultural lore and governance | Monitoring | Η | |
| | Investigate opportunities and develop a process to integrate traditional ecological knowledge in monitoring, where appropriate. | Research | As required | |
| | 6. Provide necessary information and support for assessments of management plan implementation by the CPC. [DPIRD] | Management framework | Н | |
| | 7. Design and implement monitoring programs to assess the effectiveness of zoning and other management arrangements for protection of cultural and ecological values | Monitoring | H and ongoing | |
| | Co-design monitoring programs for key threats to ecological and cultural values of the marine park. | Monitoring | Н | |
| | | | | |
| Performance measures | The development and implementation of a prioritised r | nonitoring program | m. | |
| Target | Preparation and implementation of a monitoring plan. Ongoing and completed monitoring projects. Number of values, including KPIs, currently being monitored. | | | |
| Reporting | To be determined. | | | |

8. Climate change

Climate change refers to changes in weather patterns (i.e., temperature, rainfall) and associated changes in oceans, land surfaces and ice sheets, occurring over a period of decades or longer (CSIRO & BoM 2015; Australian Academy of Science, 2020). The effects of ocean warming and sea level rise due to climate change are currently impacting the marine environment globally and climate change is considered to be one of the greatest threats to marine life (Pörtner et al., 2019). It is possible that the impacts of climate change may limit the extent to which management objectives stated in the plan can be achieved.

The ecological impact of climate change effects, including increased temperatures and frequency of episodic events such as heatwaves, can range from species shifting their geographic ranges, seasonal activities and migration patterns, decreased ocean productivity, altered habitats and greater incidence of disease or mortality (Hoegh-Guldberg & Bruno, 2010). This can in turn affect cultural and social values by changing the ecological health of the marine resources upon which customary, recreational and commercial activities rely. Ocean warming is occurring not only in shallow ecosystems but in environments exceeding 2000m deep in the Southern Ocean (Cooley et al., 2022).

Oceans uptake more carbon in response to the increasing concentrations of greenhouse gas, that can lead to ocean acidification. Acidification can impact the growth of shells, slow embryo development (Auzoux-Bordenave et al., 2020) and even impact sound waves in the ocean (Brewer & Hester, 2009). Climate change can also reduce oxygen content in the ocean which in general makes it harder for marine species to survive. Shallow-water communities may be more acutely impacted by deoxygenation. Changes in sea surface temperatures and currents are a concern if they result in shifting key species that are valued and/or used in the waters.

Establishing marine parks can contribute to maintaining climate change resilience and rebuilding ecological and social resilience (IUCN, 2017). Protection of coastal carbon habitats such as seagrass and kelp can help to ensure that carbon is not released as a result of the loss and degradation of those areas, while maintaining the carbon pathways in the fauna associated with these critical habitats. Effective management of human use and local pressures can also help to maintain or increase ecosystem health, thereby increasing resilience to external pressures such as climate change. Although marine protected areas can contribute to reducing local stressors and build ecological resilience to change, the protection they provide against the broadscale impacts of climate change is less known, which is one of the biggest challenges that marine protected area managers face.

Little is known about the current impact of climate change on the marine park. Research and monitoring programs contribute to our understanding of the effects of climate change, as well as the development of effective adaptive management responses. Management to reduce the impacts of climate change on the marine park will focus on:

- increasing knowledge and understanding of the effects of climate change on the values
- monitoring the effects of climate change on the values and pressures
- increasing the health and resilience of ecosystems through the sound management of human uses and local pressures
- undertaking local adaptive management.

| Summary of management arrangements for climate change | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Management objectives | To increase understanding of climate change on the marine park and increase the resilience of ecological values to climate change. | | |
| | | Management Priority program | |
| Management strategies | Support international and national climate change initiatives, where relevant, in marine pa research and adaptive management | Research H ark | |
| Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. | Ensure that impacts of climate change are considered in monitoring programs for the marine park KPIs. | Monitoring H | |
| Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their | Assess areas, habitats and species which are most at risk from the effects of climate change and increase their resilience by reducing other pressures where possible. IDPIRDI | Research H | |
| name is in bold. | Monitor values of the marine park and the climate-related pressures acting on them to inform the development of local and regional- level adaptive management responses. | Monitoring H | |
| | Educate Mirning Traditional Owners and users of the marine park about the effects of climate change on the values of the marine park. | Education and H interpretation | |
| | 5. Support or provide necessary information to contribute to climate forecast models to help predict the impacts of climate change on the values of the marine park. | Research As required | |



Hooded plover. Courtesy of Lori-Anne Shibush

9. Plan implementation and operation

Sections 4 to 8 outline the management objectives, strategies, performance measures and targets required to achieve the strategic objectives for the marine park. To successfully implement these strategies a number of supporting management strategies are required to effectively administer the marine park, support overall management and ensure compliance with management arrangements. The implementation of all strategies is ultimately subject to resource availability.

9.1 Customary governance

Mirning people are the Traditional Owners of their Country, now also recognised in Australian law under their native title determination in 2017, which recognises that since time immemorial Mirning people have maintained a living cultural, spiritual, familial and social relationship with Country and have an ongoing cultural obligation to care for and manage their lands and waters (Aboriginal Land Services, 2023). This timeless management right and responsibility means that management of the Mirning Marine Park must recognise and support the importance of traditional and customary approaches to land and Sea Country that involves Mirning people.

| Summary of management arrangements for customary governance | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Requirements | Respect for Mirning customary governance and cultural protocols in decision- making about cultural knowledge. Reflect traditional land management practices in management. | | | |
| Pressures | Impact of colonisation and history on relationship between Mirning people and government. Misalignment between traditional Mirning lore and contemporary law. | | | |
| Management objectives | MTLAC and government working together to create a new, holistic management model for the marine park that aligns customary and contemporary management. | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies | Develop long-term strategies and plans for building customary governance as core to the management of the marine park. Ensure that Mirning customary lore is recognised and provided for in management where appropriate. Establish a Mirning Elder mentoring program for younger Mirning people employed in marine park management, where resources allow. Develop a cultural education program for government staff with Mirning Elders and/or senior Mirning people. Regularly report back to the Mirning community on progress of management plan implementation. Support Mirning Traditional Owners to identify and record cultural lores and protocols relevant to park management. Support the establishment of a Mirning cultural program by which customary lore is re- established to manage and protect Country. | Management framework Management framework Management framework Education and interpretation Management framework Management framework Management framework | H H M and ongoing Ongoing M and ongoing Ongoing | |
| | | | | |
| Performance measures | To be determined in consultation with Traditional Own | ners and the MTLA | C | |
| Target | To be determined in consultation with Traditional Owners and the MTLAC | | | |
| Reporting | To be determined in consultation with Traditional Owners and the MTLAC | | | |

9.2 Administration and governance

The following strategies will ensure appropriate arrangements are in place to effectively implement management actions and manage the marine park in a collaborative setting.

| Summary of management arrangements for administration and governance | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|------------------|
| Management To ensure the marine park has appropriate legal, administrative, financial, | | | | |
| | managed in a collaborative setting. | | | |
| | | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies | 1. Ir si | mplement all statutory notices required to upport implementation of the management plan | Management framework | Н |
| Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | 2. C st p | Collaborate with and provide advice to agencies, takeholders and adjacent land managers, where necessary, to ensure the protection of marine wark values and complementary management of idjacent reserves | Management framework | H and ongoing |
| | 3. S st ve | taff structures, operational equipment, including essels, and infrastructure to adequately mplement the management plan. [DPIRD] | Management framework | Η |
| | 4. Ir ir ir tł | nvestigate the possibility of developing an nformation sharing platform for all agencies nvolved in managing the marine park to share heir data (such as a data dashboard). | Management framework | Μ |
| | 5. D | Develop annual work plans. | Management framework | Н |
| | 6. D ir [[| Develop collaborative operational plans for mplementation of relevant strategies in the plan. DPIRD] | Management framework | Н |
| | 7. E b h r o c | insure cultural safety protocols are observed by marine park managers including developing health and safety plans and protocols for all nanagement and research operations conducted on Mirning Sea Country, which incorporates cultural safety provisions. | Management framework | Η |
| | 8. D fc m a' | Develop a communications plan and protocol or management actions, research and decision naking, to ensure that Traditional Owners are ware of work on Country and are afforded | Management framework | H and ongoing |
| | 9. P 0 | Pursue external funding and partnership opportunities to implement strategies in the joint nanagement plan. | Management framework | Н |
| | 10. A m a sj | Assess impacts on marine park values and nanage appropriately as required (speed limits nd/or other measures to protect threatened pecies, ecological communities, and natural eatures or for safety reasons) [DoT] | Management intervention and visitor services | As required |
| | 11. L h | ocal Mirning spiritual connection, cultural heritage, knowledge, and lore is embedded in nanagement decision making. | Management framework | H and ongoing |
| | 12. R c d | Regular reporting back to the Mirning community on the progress of management plan levelopment and implementation. | Management framework | H and ongoing |

| 13. Ensuring that special purpose cultural protection zones are established and maintained in a culturally appropriate way. Where possible, collaborate with MTLAC to ensure management of the marine park is carried out in a culturally appropriate way. | Management framework | H and ongoing |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|
| 14. Work with MTLAC to provide cultural awareness and competency training to marine park management staff. | Management framework | H and ongoing |

9.3 Zoning and permitted activities

The implementation of an appropriate zoning scheme is an important strategy for the conservation of marine biodiversity, increased recognition and protection of culturally significant areas and customary practices, and the management of human use in the marine park. Importantly the application of the zoning scheme should not be viewed in isolation, but as one tool in a suite of complementary management tools available to marine park managers to achieve desired ecological, cultural and social outcomes.

9.3.1 Zoning design

Multiple use zoning and other management strategies work together to protect and manage the values and uses of the area. Zoning is a key strategy for protecting the health and resilience of the marine park, while supporting ongoing tourism, recreation, commercial activities and fishing.

The CALM Act requires marine parks to be zoned as one or a combination of sanctuary, recreation, special purpose or general use zones. The zones provide for varying levels of conservation, recreational and commercial use. Through multiple-use zoning, marine parks will provide economic, recreational and cultural benefits for local communities, as well as environmental benefits. Where possible and appropriate, the development of the marine park zoning seeks to accommodate existing uses.

The zoning scheme for the combined Mamang Maambakoort, Wudjari, Western Bight and Mirning marine parks is comprised of:

- 29 sanctuary zones covering approximately 261,730 hectares or 20 percent of the parks.
- Nine special purpose zones (cultural protection) covering approximately 52,460 hectares or four percent of the parks.
- Three special purpose zones (whale conservation) covering approximately 75,180 hectares or six percent of the parks.
- Two special purpose zones (wildlife conservation) covering approximately 3,710 hectares or less than one percent of the parks.
- General use in the remainder of the parks, covering approximately 899,980 hectares or 70 percent of the parks.

Map 5 shows the zoning scheme for the Mirning Marine Park. A summary of the activities permitted in each zone is presented in Table 1.

Design of the zoning scheme was guided by a set of principles which aim to provide for ecological, cultural, recreation, tourism and other sustainable use values (see Appendix 1).

The zoning scheme is based on a comprehensive, adequate and representative (CAR) approach. It aims to protect ecologically and culturally important high-priority values such as seagrass, macroalgal, reef, soft sediment and filter feeding communities and considers the level of current and projected future pressures on these values. The zoning scheme is designed to provide connectivity out to deeper water and provide complementarity to adjacent marine and terrestrial reserves.

The zoning scheme recognises and allows for recreation and tourism, and allows for ongoing sustainable use by considering the needs of marine park users, including commercial and recreational fishers. Where possible, the zoning scheme has been designed to be easy for users to understand and comply with, for example, by creating zones with straight line boundaries which align with degrees of longitude and latitude and/or aligning boundaries with prominent features on the coast or islands.

The national guidelines for establishing marine protected areas recommend that IMCRA bioregions form the basis for reserve design, with one or more examples of conservation features (such as habitats and ecosystems) found in each bioregion represented in highly protected zones (ANZECC, 1999). The Mirning Marine Park falls within the IMCRA Eucla mesoscale bioregion. To complement the bioregional framework, a network-based approach was taken, considering the adjacent marine parks, which were developed concurrently.

Ultimately the zoning scheme aims to ensure the marine park is managed to maintain ecosystem function and increase ecosystem resilience.





Map 5 – Zoning for Mirning Marine Park.

72

Mirning Marine Park • Management plan 2024
9.3.2 Sanctuary Zones

The sanctuary zones play an important role in protecting areas of critical habitat to maintain the healthy functioning of the complex ecosystems that make up the marine park. Sanctuary zones act as benchmarks to compare to other areas with similar habitats and ecosystems that are subject to extractive use. This allows managers to better understand local and regional pressures on the marine environment over time. As such, sanctuary zones provide important opportunities for education, research and monitoring.

For Mirning Traditional Owners, many ecological values also have a particular cultural significance, and the sanctuary zoning will also contribute to the protection and conservation of Mirning cultural heritage values. Sanctuary zones can help to increase ecosystem health by reducing pressures on the ecosystems protected, thereby increasing resilience to external pressures such as climate change.

Twilight Cove Sanctuary Zone

The coastline of the Twilight Cove Sanctuary Zone (approximately 19,906 hectares) transitions from cliff and mixed sand and reef to a depositional sandy coast environment. The zone will protect representative examples of highly productive marine habitats, including subtidal platform reef, high profile nearshore reef communities and soft sediment communities, in the Eucla bioregion. The Twilight Cove Sanctuary Zone will protect features of ecological importance, including breeding and foraging areas for flesh-footed shearwaters, little shearwaters and Pacific gulls. The sanctuary zone will also protect the only known mainland breeding site for Australian sea lions and little penguins. Bordering the Twilight Cove Sanctuary Zone will provide connectivity between these marine and terrestrial conservation reserves.

The Twilight Cove area is of significant cultural importance to Mirning Traditional Owners. The Mirning have concerns regarding the level and appropriateness of access to the adjacent Nuytsland Nature Reserve for camping. DBCA will work with Mirning to further investigate these issues and put terrestrial management measures in place where required.

Madura Beach to Red Rocks Point Sanctuary Zone

The Madura Beach to Red Rocks Point Sanctuary Zone (approximately 22,956 hectares) represents a change in coastal aspect to predominantly south facing with gradually sloping coastline. The coastline is known to accumulate large volumes of wrack which are ecologically important for marine food webs. The zone protects representative examples of marine habitats, including mixed soft-sediment and macroalgae reef communities, and shallow and intertidal shoreline platform reefs. The sanctuary zone is adjacent to the Nuytsland Nature Reserve, providing connectivity between these important marine and terrestrial conservation reserves.

Noonaera Sanctuary Zone

The Noonaera Sanctuary Zone (approximately 4,926 hectares) represents a geomorphic transition from soft-substrate to cliff and will protect representative examples of marine habitats, including mixed soft-sediment and macroalgae reef communities, and shallow and intertidal shoreline platform reefs. The sanctuary zone helps to protect an area of Mirning Country that has been identified as having high cultural significance by the Traditional Owners.

9.3.3 Special Purpose Zones (cultural protection)

The four special purpose zones (cultural protection) help to protect the value of Mirning Sea Country to the culture and heritage of Mirning people. The special purpose zones (cultural protection) protect and conserve culturally sensitive geographical areas and features significant to Mirning people. These may contain tangible and intangible values.

| Name | Area (hectares) approximately |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Kaniaal Beach West Special Purpose Zone (cultural protection) | 7,918 |
| Noonaera Beach Special Purpose Zone (cultural protection) | 33,665 |
| Red Rocks Special Purpose Zone (cultural protection) | 516 |
| Eucla Special Purpose Zone (cultural protection) | 7,444 |

9.3.4 General use zones

All areas in the marine park not included in sanctuary or special purpose zones are zoned as general use (approximately 99,261 hectares). Management of general use areas is provided for through mechanisms under the CALM Act and CALM Regulations, as well as the implementation of management strategies. The general use areas provide for biodiversity conservation and for a higher level of scrutiny over development activities. They allow a range of uses including recreational and commercial fishing, and aquaculture.

9.3.5 Permitted uses

The permitted uses table (Table 1) summarises the range of permitted activities in the different zone types in the marine park. Users should be aware that many of the listed activities are also regulated under complementary legislation and regulations, such as those regarding wildlife interactions, the disposal of sullage, and size and bag limits for recreational fishing. In accordance with the CALM Act, a licence is required to carry out some activities (such as commercial tourism and research) in State marine parks. The implementation of the management plan may include management actions such as temporal closures. Development of such management actions will aim to limit the impacts on the permitted activities whilst meeting the management objectives.

An activity marked as 'assess' indicates an assessment is required by the appropriate agencies in accordance with relevant legislation and the management objectives and targets in this plan.

Any changes to the permitted activities and uses table requires a statutory two-month public comment period and approvals from the Minister for Environment, Minister for Fisheries and Minister for Mines and Petroleum.

Table 1. Summary of permitted uses for the Mirning Marine Park.

| Activity | Sanctuary zones | Special purpose zones (cultural protection) | General use zones |
|--|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Customary | | | |
| Customary activities (for example, sustainable harvesting and fishing) | Yes [a] | Yes [a] | Yes [a] |
| Commercial fishing and aquaculture [b] | | | |
| Commercial abalone fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial crustacean fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial line and trap fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial nearshore net fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial purse seine fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial salmon fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial demersal longline (shark) fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial demersal gillnet (shark) fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial trawl fishing (scallop) | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial octopus fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial specimen shell fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial marine aquarium fishing | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial fishing (other) | No | Yes | Yes |
| Aquaculture | No | Assess | Yes |
| Commercial—other | | | |
| Ground-disturbing mining and petroleum exploration and development [c] | No | No | Assess |
| Non-ground-disturbing activities including geophysical surveys, geological mapping, sampling and geochemical surveys [d] | No | No | Assess |
| Ship loading and other mining related infrastructure (such as ship loading docks, cabling or pipelines) | No | No | Assess |
| General marine infrastructure (such as groynes, jetties and boat launching facilities) | No | Assess | Assess |
| Artificial structures) | No | Assess [e] | Assess |
| Dredging and dredge spoil dumping | No | Assess [f] | Assess |
| Scenic flights (charter) [b] | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial tour operators – fishing [b] | No | Yes | Yes |
| Commercial tour operators – non-extractive (such as wildlife viewing) [b] | Yes | Assess [f] | Yes |
| Commercial use of remotely piloted aircraft (drones) [b] | Assess | Assess | Assess |
| Commercial (other) [b] | Assess | Assess | Assess |
| Wildlife/fish feeding | No | No | No |

| Activity | Sanctuary zones | Special purpose zones (cultural protection) | General use zones |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Recreational | | | |
| Boating (motorised and non-motorised) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Nature appreciation and wildlife viewing | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recreational fishing [b- if from a boat] | No | Yes | Yes |
| Remotely piloted aircraft (drone) launching and landing [g] | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recreational live mollusc collecting | No | No | Yes |
| Other use | | | |
| Access | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vessel transit | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Navigation aids | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Research and monitoring [b] | Yes [h] | Assess [f] | Yes |
| Anchoring [i] | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Mooring | Assess | Assess | Yes |
| Seaplane and helicopter launching and landing [j] | Assess | Assess | Assess |
| Vessel sewage discharge and de-ballasting | No | No | Yes [k] |
| Permitted activities provisions | | | - |

[a] Customary take is confined to Mirning Traditional Owners, or where Traditional Owners have provided consent to another Aboriginal person or group.

- [b] Licence or permit required under the *Conservation and Land Management Act* 1984 and/or *Fish Resources Management Act* 1994 and related regulations.
- [c] Ground-disturbing mining and petroleum exploration and development activities include any activity that disturbs the land, seabed and/or subsoil within the marine park (such as drilling).
- [d] Geophysical surveys will be assessed by the Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety.
- [e] Any new proposals to also be referred to marine park managers.
- [f] Activity permitted if activity is shown to be compatible with the specified purpose of the zone. Only small-scale dredging for the purpose of public access and safety will be considered.
- [g] Recreational use of RPAs must comply with Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) rules as well as legal requirements under the CALM Act, BC Act, and the *Bushfires Act 1954* and related regulations. Restrictions on the use of RPAs may be applied in some areas or for certain periods of time subject to the *Civil Aviation Regulations 1988* and *the Civil Aviation Safety Regulations 1998*, under the *Civil Aviation Act 1988*.
- [h] Non-extractive/destructive research and monitoring activities only.
- [i] Except where restrictions are put in place for the protection of ecological and/or cultural values.
- [j] Lawful authority must be obtained to launch, land or touchdown in an aircraft on CALM Act lands and waters.
- [k] Only in gazetted sewage discharge areas.
 - Consideration will be given where existing permissions relating to animal exercise areas are in effect.
 - 'Assess' is denoted where matters require statutory assessment and approval according to other regulatory processes; or where an activity is to be assessed against the primary conservation purpose of a zone.

9.4 Community stewardship and compliance

Education and public participation will help to increase public awareness and understanding of the values and management issues in the marine park. Increased understanding helps to ensure appropriate behaviour and develop a sense of community stewardship and lead to better protection and management of the marine park. While most users comply with management arrangements when they understand why they are implemented, it is important to monitor compliance and mitigate inappropriate or illegal behaviour. It will also be important for users of the marine park to play self-regulatory and peer surveillance roles.

| Summary of management arrangements for community stewardship and compliance | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Management objectives | To enhance community understanding of and support for the marine park and achieve a high level of compliance with regulations, permitted uses and other management arrangements within the marine park. | | | |
| | | Management program | Priority | |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | Install zone markers and educational signage for the marine park where appropriate. [DPIRD for signage] Develop and implement a collaborative compliance program. [DPIRD] Ensure marine park users, including researchers, obtain and comply with appropriate formal permissions. [DPIRD] Encourage voluntary compliance and peer enforcement of regulations. [DPIRD, DoT] Develop and implement a public participation plan for the marine park, which encourages community involvement in management through a range of opportunities including in education, research and monitoring. Develop an education and interpretation plan which communicates: the importance of the marine park's values Mirning culture and values the purposes of management zones and regulations the appropriate behaviour to reduce human impacts and ensure public safety considers all education and interpretation strategies listed in the management plan. Maintain a database of compliance statistics and adapt management strategies to address any non-compliance issues. [DPIRD] Identify opportunities to provide specific training | programEducation and interpretationPatrol and enforcementPatrol and enforcementPatrol and enforcementPublic participationEducation and interpretationPatrol and enforcementPublic participationEducation and interpretationManagement | H As required H L H | |
| | 8. Identify opportunities to provide specific training opportunities for Mirning to build the skills required to assist with DPIRD compliance and education activities on the South Coast. [DPIRD] | Management framework | H and ongoing | |
| | 9. Develop a caring for Country program that ensures there are rangers on land and Sea Country to manage and protect cultural, ecological and socio-economic values of the marine park. | Management framework | H and ongoing | |
| | | | | |
| Performance measures | To be determined | | | |
| Target | To be determined | | | |

10. Assessing management effectiveness

Progress in implementing the final management plan and in assessing management effectiveness against stated objectives will be regularly reviewed through a formal process consisting of annual management effectiveness reports, as well as periodic and ten-year reviews of the final management plan.

10.1 Annual reviews

The prioritised management strategies contained in the final management plan will be implemented by the joint management partners, primarily through the collaboration of DBCA's Esperance district, Marine Science Program and other specialist branches guided by the JMB. The JMB, with the assistance from these partners and DPIRD will prepare an annual review of the implementation of the management plan which will be considered by the CPC. Key parts of the annual review will include:

- progress in implementing the management plan strategies
- assessment of the condition of values, the pressures acting on values, management response and management effectiveness
- identifying issues affecting implementation
- resource allocation.



Mirning Traditional Owners Kathleen Coleman and Shilloh Peel. Courtesy of Madison Snow/MTLAC

10.2 Periodic assessments

The CPC has a statutory responsibility to periodically assess the implementation and effectiveness of joint management plans. DBCA will provide information from monitoring and other operational programs to the CPC to enable an assessment of the plan's implementation.

10.3 Revision of the management plan

This management plan will guide management of the marine park for 10 years, or until a statutory revision is undertaken and a new management plan is prepared. If such a revision does not occur by the end of the plan's specified lifespan, the plan will remain in force in its original form unless it is revoked by the Minister for Environment, or a new plan is approved. Full public consultation will occur at the time of revision, and endorsement of a revised joint management plan will be sought from the CPC. Approval of the Minister for Environment for Environment for Environment is approved. If such a revised is also required.

| Summary of management arrangements for assessing management effectiveness | | | | |
|---|------|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| Management objectives | To a | assess and evaluate management effectiveness. | | |
| | | | Management program | Priority |
| Management strategies Joint management partners are the lead | 1. | Develop and implement a management effectiveness reporting process that is consistent with DBCA and CPC policy and ensure results are reported back to the Mirning community. [CPC] | Monitoring | Н |
| for all strategies. Supporting agencies are listed in brackets. If agencies are required | 2. | Support MTLAC to conduct periodic reviews of the effectiveness of plan implementation in meeting cultural, capacity building and other priority objectives. [DPIRD] | Monitoring | H and ongoing |
| to take a lead role, their name is in bold. | 3. | Review and where necessary, refine zoning arrangements in the marine park in light of cultural knowledge about caring for Sea Country. | Monitoring | As required |
| | 4. | Provide necessary information and support for the management effectiveness reporting process. [DPIRD] | Monitoring | As required |



References

Australian Academy of Science. (2020). *The science of climate change*. www.science.org.au/education/ immunisation-climate-change-genetic-modification/science-climate-change. Accessed 21 October 2020.

Aboriginal Land Services. (2023). Mirning Traditional Lands Aboriginal Corporation – Cultural Values Consultation and Mapping to Advise on the Establishment of a Marine Park and Management Plan on Mirning Sea Country: FINAL Cultural Values Interpretation Report. Report prepared by Aboriginal Land Services for Mirning Traditional Lands Aboriginal Corporation.

Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC) Task Force on Marine Protected Areas. (1999). *Strategic plan of action for the national representative system of marine protected areas: guide for action by Australian Governments*. Environment Australia, Canberra.

Auzoux-Bordenave, S., Wessel, N., Badou, A., Martin, S., M'zoudi, S., Avignon, S., ... & Dubois, P. (2020). Ocean acidification impacts growth and shell mineralization in juvenile abalone (*Haliotis tuberculata*). *Marine Biology*, *167*, 1-14.

Bearham, D., Vanderklift, M. A., & Gunson, J. R. (2013). Temperature and light explain spatial variation in growth and productivity of the kelp *Ecklonia radiata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *476*, 59–70. doi.org/10.3354/ meps10148.

Bejder, M., Johnston, D. W., Smith, J., Friedlaender, A., & Bejder, L. (2015). Embracing conservation success of recovering humpback whale populations: Evaluating the case for downlisting their conservation status in Australia. *Marine Policy*. *66*, 137-141. 10.1016/j.marpol.2015.05.007

Blazeski, S., Norriss, J., Smith, K.A. & Hourston, M. (2021). Ecological Risk Assessment for the State-Wide Small Pelagic Scalefish Resource. Fisheries Research Report No. 320 Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. 115 pp.

Brewer, P. G., & Hester, K. (2009). Ocean acidification and the increasing transparency of the ocean to low-frequency sound. *Oceanography, 22*(4), 86-93.

Burnett, N. P., & Koehl, M. A. R. (2022). Ecological biomechanics of damage to macroalgae. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 13.*

Campbell, R. A., Gales, N. J., Lento, G. M., and Baker, C. S. (2008). Islands in the sea: extreme female natal site fidelity in the Australian sea lion, *Neophoca cinerea*. *Biology Letters*, *4*(1), 139-142. doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2007.0487

Campbell, R., Holley, D., Collins, P., & Armstrong, S. (2014). Changes in the abundance and distribution of the New Zealand fur seal (*Arctocephalus forsteri*) in Western Australia: are they approaching carrying capacity? *Australian Journal of Zoology 62*(4), 261-267. dx.doi.org/10.1071/ZO14016

Carruthers, T. J. B., Dennison, W. C., Kendrick, G. A., Waycott, M., Walker, D. I., & Cambridge, M. L. (2007). Seagrasses of south–west Australia: A conceptual synthesis of the world's most diverse and extensive seagrass meadows. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 350*(1-2), 21-45. DOI:10.1016/j. jembe.2007.05.036

Colman, J. G. (1998). South Coast Terrestrial and Marine Reserve Integration Study. National Reserves System Cooperative Program Project #713. MRIP/SC-10/1997.

Commonwealth of Australia. (2012). Marine Bioregional Plan for the South-west Marine Region.

Commonwealth of Australia. (2018). Threat Abatement Plan for the incidental catch (or bycatch) of seabirds during oceanic longline fishing operations.

Connor, R. C., Wells, R. S., Mann, J., & Read, A. J. (2000). The bottlenose dolphin: Social relationships in a fission-fusion society. *Cetacean societies: Field studies of whales and dolphins.* The University of Chicago Press.

Conservation and Land Management (1994). A representative marine reserve system for Western Australia. Report of the Marine Parks and Reserves Selection Working Group. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth.

Cooley, S., D. Schoeman, L. Bopp, P. Boyd, S. Donner, D.Y. Ghebrehiwet, S.-I. Ito, W. Kiessling, P. Martinetto, E. Ojea, M.-F. Racault, B. Rost, & M. Skern-Mauritzen, (2022): Oceans and Coastal Ecosystems and Their Services. In: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability.* Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C.

Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 379–550, DOI:10.1017/9781009325844.005.

CSIRO & Bureau of Meteorology. (2015). *Climate Change in Australia Information for Australia's Natural Resource Management Regions: Technical Report*. CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology, Australia.

Dennis, T. & Shaughnessy, P. (1999). Seal survey in the Great Australian Bight region of Western Australia. *Wildlife Research, 26*, 383-388. DOI:10.1071/WR98047.

Department for Environment & Heritage (DEH). (2009). A technical report on the outer boundaries of South Australia's marine parks network. Department for Environment and Heritage, South Australia

Department of Parks & Wildlife (DoPW). (2016). *Esperance and Recherche Parks and Reserves Management Plan 84*. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

Department of Primary Industries & Regional Development (DPIRD). (2021). *Ecological Risk Assessment for the State-Wide Small Pelagic Scalefish Resource: Fisheries Research Report No. 320.* Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. 115 pp.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPaC) (2013). *Recovery* plan for the Australian sea lion (Neophoca cinerea).

Department of Transport. (2009). *Strategy for Management of Sewage Discharge into the Marine Environment.* www.transport.wa.gov.au/mediaFiles/marine/MAC-IS-SewageStrategy.pdf

Dutson, G. C., Garnett, S. T., & Gole, C. (2009). *Australia's Important Bird Areas: Key sites for bird conservation*. BirdLife Australia, Australia.

Entwisle, T. J., & Huisman, J. M. (1998). Algal systematics in Australia. Australian Systematic Botany, 11, 203-124.

Foster, M. S. (2001). Rhodoliths: Between rocks and soft places. Journal of Phycology, 37(5), 659-667.

Gaughan, D. J., & Santoro, K. (2020). Status reports of the fisheries and aquatic resources of Western Australia 2018/19: State of the fisheries. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Perth.

Goldsworthy, S. D., Kennedy, C., & Lashmar, K. (2014). Monitoring the status, trends in abundance and key demographic rates of the Australian sea lion population at Seal Bay – Kangaroo Island. Report to the Nature Foundation *SA*. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2014/000787-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 815. 29pp.

Goldsworthy, S. D., Shaughnessy, P. D., Mackay, A. I., Bailleul, F., Holman, D., Lowther, A. D., Page, B., Waples, K., Raudino, H., Bryars, S., & Anderson, T. (2021). Assessment of the status and trends in abundance of a coastal pinniped, the Australian sea lion *Neophoca cinerea*. *Endangered Species Research*, 44, 421-437. DOI:10.3354/ esr01118

Hamer, D. J., Goldsworthy, S. D., Costa, D. P., Fowler, S. L., Page, B., & Sumner, M. D. (2013). The endangered Australian sea lion extensively overlaps with and regularly becomes by-catch in demersal shark gill-nets in South Australian shelf waters. *Biological Conservation*, *157*, 386-400.

Hedley, S. L., Bannister, J. L., & Dunlop, R. A. (2011). Abundance estimates of Southern Hemisphere Breeding Stock 'D' humpback whales from aerial and land-based surveys off Shark Bay, Western Australia 2008. *Journal* of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue), *3*, 209-221.

Hesp, S. A., Tweedley, J. R., McAuley, R., Tink, C. J., Campbell, R. A., Chuwen, B. M., & Hall, N. G. (2012). *Informing Risk Assessment Through Estimating Interaction Rates Between Australian Sea Lions and Western Australia's Temperate Demersal Gillnet Fisheries*. Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bruno J. F. (2010). The Impact of Climate Change on the World's Marine Ecosystems. Science, *328*, 1523-1528.

How, J. R., de la Mare, W. K., Coughran, D. K., Double, M. C., & Lestang, S. (2021). Gear modifications reduced humpback whale entanglements in a commercial rock lobster fishery. *Marine Mammal Science, 37*, 782–806. doi.org/10.1111/mms.12774

How J., Smith K.A., Donnelly H., Wiberg L. & Oliver R. (2023). Ecological Risk Assessment for the Western Australian Offshore Crustacean Resource. Fisheries Research Report No. 332. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. 104 pp. Hutchins, J. B. (2001). Biodiversity of shallow reef fish assemblages in Western Australia using a rapid censusing technique. *Records of the Western Australian Museum, 20,* 247-270.

Ince, R., Hyndes, G. A., Lavery, P. S., & Vanderklift, M. A. (2007). Marine macrophytes directly enhance abundances of sandy beach fauna through provision of food and habitat. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science,* 74(1-2), 77-86.

Hyndes, G. A., Berdan, E. L., Duarte, C., Dugan, J. E., Emery, K. A., Hambäck, P. A., ... & Schlacher, T. A. (2022). The role of inputs of marine wrack and carrion in sandy-beach ecosystems: a global review. *Biological Reviews*, *97*(6), 2127-2161.

IUCN (2017). Marine protected areas and climate change. Marine protected areas and climate change - resource | IUCN. Accessed March 2020.

Jaiteh, V. F., Allen, S. J., Meeuwig, J. J., & Loneragan, N. R. (2013). Subsurface behaviour of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) interacting with fish trawl nets in north-western Australia. *Marine Mammal Science*, *29*(3), E266-E281. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-7692.2012.00620.x

Jaiteh, V. F., Allen, S. J., Meeuwig, J. J., & Loneragan, N. R. (2014). Combining in-trawl video with observer coverage improves understanding of protected and vulnerable species by-catch in trawl fisheries. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65(9), 830-837. dx.doi.org/10.1071/MF13130

James, N. P., Bone, Y., Collins, L, B., & Kurtis Kyser, T. (2001). Surficial Sediments of the Great Australian Bight: Facies Dynamics and Oceanography on a Vast Cool-Water Carbonate Shelf. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, *71*(4), 549-567. DOI:10.1306/102000710549.

Kemper, C. M., & Gibbs, S. E. (2001). Dolphin interactions with tuna feedlots at Port Lincoln, South Australia and recommendations for minimising entanglements. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 3*(3), 283-292.

Kemper, C. M., Pemberton, D., Cawthorn, M., Heinrich, S., Mann, J., Wursig, B., Shaughnessy, P., & Gales, R. (2003). Chapter 11. Aquaculture and marine mammals: Co-existence or conflict? In N. J. Gales, M. Hindell, & R. Kirkwood (Eds.), *Marine Mammals: Fisheries, Tourism and Management Issues*, pp 208-225. CSIRO Publishing.

Kendrick, G. A., Goldberg, N., Harvey, E. S., & Mcdonald, J. I. (2009). Historical and contemporary influence of the Leeuwin Current on the marine biota of the southwestern Australian Continental Shelf and the Recherche Archipelago. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia, 92*, 209-217.

Kendrick, G. A., Harvey, E. S., Mcdonald, J. I., Pattiaratchi, C. B., Cappo, M., Fromont, J., ...& Butler, J. (2005). *Characterising the fish habitats of the Recherche Archipelago*. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation Report Project No. 2001/060. Retrieved from www.marine.uwa.edu.au/recherche/

Kerswell, A. P. (2006). Global biodiversity patterns of benthic marine algae. *Ecology*, 87(10), 2479-2488.

Kilminster, K., Hovey, R., Waycott, M., & Kendrick, G. A. (2018). Seagrasses of southern and south-western Australia. In Seagrasses of Australia: structure, ecology and conservation (pp. 61-89). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Kirkman, H., & Kuo, J. (1990). Pattern and process in southern Western Australian seagrasses. *Aquatic Botany, 37*(4), 367-382. DOI:10.1016/0304-3770(90)90022-d

Lavers, J. L. (2015). Population status and threats to Flesh-footed Shearwaters (*Puffinus carneipes*) in South and Western Australia, *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *72*(2), 316–327, doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu164

Lee, S., & Bancroft, K. (2001). *Review of the existing ecological information for the proposed Recherché Archipelago marine conservation reserve*. Unpublished report. Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management. 110 pp.

Lee, K. S., S. R. Park, & Y. K. Kim. (2007). Effects of irradiance, temperature, and nutrients on growth dynamics of seagrasses: A review. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 350*, 144–175. DOI:10.1016/j. jembe.2007.06.016

Lovasz, T., Croft, D. B., & Banks, P. (2008). Establishing tourism guidelines for viewing Australian Sea Lions Neophoca cinerea at Seal Bay Conservation Park, South Australia. In Lunney, D., Munn, AJ, Meikle, W., (Eds), Too Close for Comfort: Contentious Issues in Human-Wildlife Encounters (pp 225-232). Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales.

McClatchie, S., Middleton, J., Pattiaratchi, C. B., Currie, D., & Kendrick, G. A. (2006). The south-west marine region: ecosystems and key species groups: Report prepared for the National Oceans Office.

McLachlan, A. & Brown, A.C. (2006). The Ecology of Sandy Shores. Academic Press, Burlington, MA, USA, 373 pp.

McLeod, P., & Lindner, R. (2018). Economic dimension of recreational fishing in Western Australia: Research report for the recreational fishing initiatives fund.

Mirning. (2023). Wenyo, Welcome, mirning.org, accessed 23 March 2023.

Moore, A., Schirmer, J., Magnusson, A., Keller, K., Hinten, G., Galeano, D., Woodhams, J., Wright, D., Maloney, L., FRDC, ABARES & UC. (2023). National Social and Economic Survey of Recreational Fishers 2018-2021, February. CC BY 3.0.

Muhling, B. & Ryan, K. (2002). A preliminary investigation of issues associated with the deposition of wrack on Perth metropolitan beaches. Internal Report for the Department of Conservation and Land Management.

Newman, S.J., Wise, B.S., Santoro, K.G. & Gaughan, D.J. (2021). *Status reports of the fisheries and aquatic resources of Western Australia 2020/21*. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. Book. library.dpird.wa.gov.au/an_sofar/15

Newman, S.J., Santoro, K.G. and Gaughan, D.J. (2023). *Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2022/23*. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. Book. library.dpird.wa.gov.au/an_sofar/16

Nordlund M. L., Koch E. W., Barbier E. B., & Creed J.C. (2016). Seagrass ecosystem services and their variability across genera and geographical regions. *PLOS ONE, 12*(1). doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163091

Osterrieder, S. K., Salgado-Kent, C., & Robinson, R. W. (2017). Responses of Australian sea lions, *Neophoca cinerea*, to anthropogenic activities in the Perth metropolitan area, Western Australia. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, *27*, 414–435.

Phillips, J. C. (2001). Marine macroalgal biodiversity hotspots: why is there high species richness and endemism in southern Australian marine benthic flora?. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 10, 1555–1577.

Pörtner, H. O., Roberts, D. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E., ... & Weyer, N. M. (2019). *IPCC special report on the ocean and cryosphere in a changing climate*. IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Geneva, Switzerland, 1(3), 1-755.

Ross, G. J. B. (2006). Review of the Conservation Status of Australia's Smaller Whales and Dolphins.

Ross, C. L., Schoepf, V., DeCarlo, T. M., & McCulloch, M. T. (2018). Mechanisms and seasonal drivers of calcification in the temperate coral *Turbinaria reniformis* at its latitudinal limits. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 285. DOI:10.1098/rspb.2018.0215.

Ryan, D. A., Brooke, B. P., Collins, L. B., Kendrick, G. A., Baxter, K. J., Bickers, A. N., Siwabessy, P. J. W., & Pattiaratchi, C. B. (2007). The influence of geomorphology and sedimentary processes on shallow-water benthic habitat distribution: Esperance Bay, Western Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 72*(1-2), 379-386. DOI:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.10.008

Salgado-Kent, C., Jenner, C., Jenner, M., Bouchet, P., & Rexstad, E. (2012). Southern Hemisphere breeding stock "D" humpback whale population estimates from North West Cape, Western Australia. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, *12*, 29-38.

SCRMPWG (2010). Oceans of Opportunity: A proposed strategic framework for marine waters of Western Australia's south coast.

Shaughnessy, P. D., Goldsworthy, S. D., Burch, P., & Dennis, T. E. (2013). Pup numbers of the Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) at The Pages Islands, South Australia, over two decades. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, *61*(2), 112-118.

Short, F. T., Polidoro, B., Livingstone, S. R., Carpenter, K. E., Bandeira, S., Bujang, J. S., ... & Zieman, J. C. (2011). Extinction risk assessment of the world's seagrass species. *Biological Conservation*, 144(7), 1961–1971

Smith, J.N., Double, M., Evans, K. and Kelly, N. (2023) *Relative abundance of the 'western' population of southern right whales (Eubalaena australis) from an aerial survey off southern Australia: Final Report on 2022 survey.* Report to the National Environmental Science Program. Murdoch University (Lead organisation).

Stamation, K., Watson, M., Moloney, P., Charlton, C., & Bannister, J. (2020). Population estimate and rate of increase of southern right whales *Eubalaena australis* in southeastern Australia. *Endangered Species Research*, *41*, 373-383. doi.org/10.3354/esr01031

Stiller, J., Wilson, N. G., & Rouse, G. W. (2015). A spectacular new species of seadragon (Syngnathidae). *Royal Society Open Science*, *2*(2). DOI:10.1098/rsos.140458

Sutton, A.L., & Day, P.B. (2021). A review of the south coast marine environment and proposed areas for state marine reservation between Albany and Eucla, Western Australia. Report prepared for the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Western Australia. Carijoa Marine Consulting, Fremantle, WA, 169pp.

Thomson-Dans, C., Kendrick, G. A., & Bancroft, K. P. (2003). Researching the Recherche.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee. (2013). *Conservation advice for subtropical and temperate coastal saltmarsh*. Australian Government Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. (n.d.). Posidonia australis complex seagrass meadows ecological community. Australian Government. Retrieved October, 2021, from www. dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/nominations/comment/posidonia-australis-seagrass-meadows

Veron, J. E. N., & Marsh, L. M. (1988). Hermatypic corals of Western Australia. Records and annotated species list. *Records of the Western Australian Museum. Supplement No. 29*, 136.

Waples, K., & Raudino, H. (2018). Setting a course for marine mammal research in Western Australia. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 24(3), 289-303.

Watt, M., Braccini, M., Smith, K.A. & Hourston, M. (2021). *Ecological Risk Assessment for the Temperate Demersal Elasmobranch Resource*. Fisheries Research Report No. 318. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. 110 pp.

Wells, F. E., Longbottom, A. F., & Longbottom, J. (2005). The marine molluscs of Esperance Bay and the Recherche Archipelago, Western Australia. In *The Marine Flora and Fauna of Esperance, Western Australia* (pp. 289-313): Western Australian Museum.

Wells, R. S., & Scott, M. D. (2000). Common bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*. In W. Perrin, B. Wursig, & J. G. M. Thewissen (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals* (pp. 249-255).

Wormersley, H. B. S. (1990). Biogeography of Australasian Marine Macroalgae. In M. N. Clayton & R. J. King (Eds.), *Biology of Marine Plants* (pp. 368-381). Melbourne, Australia: Longman Cheshire Pty Limited.



Appendix 1 – Design principles

Comprehensiveness: The full range of ecosystems, habitats and communities present within and across each bioregion are represented within the network.

Adequacy: The network includes enough of each component of biodiversity (enough of each habitat) to maintain a healthy functioning marine ecosystem.

Representativeness: Biodiversity features should be represented across their natural range, biological and genetic diversity and variability. For example, habitats and communities should be represented across a range of depths and across different wave exposures.

Precautionary principle: Lack of scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to protect the marine environment. A precautionary approach is a proactive (rather than reactive) approach designed to protect areas that are currently in relatively good condition, helping to ensure they stay that way into the future. Where biodiversity data is limited, a precautionary approach uses surrogates (e.g., mapped and unmapped habitats, geomorphology or other physical or environmental gradients) for biodiversity.

Ecological importance, vulnerability and resilience: Biologically and ecologically important areas play an essential role in sustaining populations and maintaining ecosystem function. Likewise, the inclusion of natural areas, with a higher degree of integrity and resilience, as well as areas with vulnerable habitats or vulnerable life stages will help protect and sustain marine environments. Ecologically important features may include known nursery, foraging, breeding and calving areas; areas that are unique, unusual or highly productive; and areas that are important for or where known aggregations occur of rare, threatened or protected species.

Connectivity: Connectivity refers to the way components of a marine ecosystem are connected through tides, currents and the behaviour of plants and animals (DEH 2009). Key considerations for connectivity may include: dispersal ranges for different marine organisms; distances between and within marine parks and sanctuary zones; benthic-pelagic linkages; connections between catchments to the coast to deep water environments; physical oceanography, such as tides and currents; and foraging areas and migratory pathways for a range of marine animals.

Protect and conserve Aboriginal culture and heritage: The protection of cultural and heritage values including:

- conserving culturally significant sites and areas important for culturally significant species
- respecting and providing for ongoing connection to Country and culture, including customary activities
- where culturally appropriate, providing consistency with cultural laws, lore and protocols, including cultural management arrangements
- where culturally appropriate, contributing to raising awareness of Aboriginal culture and heritage values
- respecting current and future aspirations and arrangements for Sea Country, including opportunities for economic development, training and management.

Provide for ongoing ecologically sustainable use: The zoning scheme should:

- consider the full diversity of marine uses, including economic use, social use and ecosystem services
- have complementarity
- promote opportunities for recreation and appreciation of the marine environment
- provide for natural and maritime heritage values
- provide for education and research
- be designed so that it is easy for users to identify, understand and comply with zoning and management arrangements.

Appendix 2 – Commercial fisheries operating on the South Coast

The South Coast Crustacean Managed Fishery (SCCMF)

The SCCMF extends from Augusta to the South Australian border. This multi-species, effort-controlled potbased fishery targets southern rock lobster (*Jasus edwardsii*), western rock lobster (*Panulirus cygnus*) and deep-sea species such as giant crab (*Pseudocarcinus gigas*), crystal crab (*Chaceon albus*) and champagne crab (*Hypothalassia acerba*). This fishery is managed through limited entry as well as size limits and ITQ (Individually Transferable Quota). (How and Baudains, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

Abalone Managed Fishery

Abalone species targeted by commercial abalone divers are greenlip (*Haliotis laevigata*), brownlip (*H. conicopora*) or Roe's (*Haliotis roei*) abalone on the south-west and South Coast of Western Australia. The abalone fishery is a dive fishery that operates in the shallow coastal waters off the coast, with the abalone collected by hand. This fishery is managed through Total Allowable Commercial Catches, meaning it is a quota-based fishery (Strain, Fabris and Jones, Status of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Estuarine Managed Fishery (SCEMF)

This fishery operates within the South Coast bioregion in 13 estuaries between Cape Beaufort on the southwest and the South Australian border. It targets estuarine fish species and blue swimmer crabs (*Portunus armatus*) via gill netting, purpose-designed crab traps and haul netting. This fishery is managed through input controls (restrictions on the number, length and mesh size of nets used, and the number of crab traps used), size limits and temporal closures (Duffy, Harris, and Blay, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Salmon Managed Fishery (SCSMF)

This fishery operates between Cape Beaufort on the south-west and the South Australian border using beach seine nets to target Western Australian salmon (*Arripis truttaceus*). This fishery is managed through input controls (restrictions on the type, length and mesh size of nets) and size limits (Duffy, Harris and Blay, Status of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Purse Seine Managed Fishery (SCPSMF)

The SCPSMF operates between Cape Leeuwin and the South Australian border, catching pilchards (*Sardinops sagax*) and other small pelagic fish with purse seine nets, and is managed through limited entry (with a restricted number of licences issued) and Total Allowable Commercial Catches (it is a quota-based fishery). Other input controls include restrictions on the number, length and mesh size of nets, and size limits. There are five management zones for this fishery – King George Sound (Zone 1); Greater Albany (Zone 2); Bremer Bay and Esperance (Zones 3 and 4); and Augusta (Zone 5) (Norriss and Blazeski, Status of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Long Line Managed Fishery (SDGDLF)

This fishery operates between 33°S in the south-west to the South Australian border. Demersal gillnets are used to target primarily sharks, with scalefish as a by-product, or operators can use demersal longline. The main targeted species include gummy (*Mustelus antarcticus*), dusky (*Carcharhinus obscurus*), whiskery (*Furgaleus macki*), and sandbar (*C. plumbeus*) sharks. This fishery is managed through the use of input controls with restrictions of the number, length, drop and mesh size of nets, and the size of hooks on longlines. There are also other controls in the form of limited effort and size limits (Braccini and Watt, Status of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Line and Fish Trap Managed Fishery (SCLFTMF)

The SCLFTMF operates between Black Point in the south-west and the South Australia border (excluding the waters of the South Coast Estuarine Fishery). The fishery is divided across four licence classes: Class A (line and hook); Class B (line and jig for squid); and Class C and D (fish trap in oceanic waters and King George Sound). This fishery is managed through limited entry (with a restricted number of licences issued) and input controls with restrictions of the number of lines and hooks, jigs and traps used, as well as size limits (Duffy, Harris, and Blay, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Nearshore Net Managed Fishery (SCNNMF)

Operators are licenced to fish by net in the SCNNMF between Black Point and the South Australian border. They target scalefish (excluding Western Australian salmon and small pelagic fish) and squid (*Sepioteuthis australis*) using beach seine, haul and gill nets. The fishery is managed through limited entry (with a restricted number of licences issued) and input controls (restrictions on the number, length and mesh size of nets), and size limits (Duffy, Harris, and Blay, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

Octopus Interim Managed Fishery (OIMF)

The OIMF is a state-wide fishery that targets the western rock octopus (*Octopus djinda*), using trigger traps or unbaited, passive shelter pots. Commercial octopus catch is harvested from three different fisheries, however, most of the commercial catch comes from the OIMF. This fishery is managed through input controls with restrictions of the number of pots or traps permitted (Newman, Wise, Santoro, and Gaughan, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

Specimen Shell Managed Fishery (SSMF)

Shell licence holders can operate throughout Western Australia. About 200 species of specimen shell are collected each year, using a variety of methods. The main methods are by hand, by wading along coastal beaches or, in some instances, by use of remotely operated underwater vehicles. While the fishery covers the entire Western Australian coastline, some concentration of effort occurs in areas adjacent to population centres such as Broome, Exmouth, Shark Bay, Geraldton, Perth, Mandurah, the Capes area, Albany and Esperance. This fishery is managed via limited entry (with a restricted number of licences issued) and input controls such as restrictions on the gear used as well as closed areas (Hart, Bruce, and Steele, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery (MAFMF)

The MAFMF operates in all State waters between the Northern Territory border and South Australian border. The fishery is typically more active in waters south of Broome with higher levels of effort around the Capes region, Perth, Geraldton, Exmouth, Dampier and Broome. The MAFMF resource potentially includes more than 1,500 species of marine aquarium fish and uses small nets or hand collection techniques. Operators in the MAFMF are also permitted to take coral, live rock, algae, seagrass and invertebrates (Newman, Bruce and Bissell, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).

The South Coast Trawl Fishery (SCTF)

The SCTF targets saucer scallops (*Ylistrum balloti*, formerly *Amusium balloti*) using otter trawl nets on the South Coast of Western Australia from 115° 30' E to 125° E east of Augusta to east of Israelite Bay. Key fishing areas include Bremer Bay (Doubtful Islands), the Recherche Archipelago and Israelite Bay. This fishery is managed through limited entry (with a restricted number of licences issued) and input controls with restrictions of the length and mesh size of nets used, as well as seasonal closures. The nets used must also have bycatch reduction devices incorporated, in the form of a grid (Kangas, Wilkin, Breheny, Cavalli, Grounds and Brown, State of the Fisheries Report 2020/21).



